

FEDERAL REGISTER

THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES
 OF THE UNITED STATES
 1934

VOLUME 23
 NUMBER 153

Washington, Wednesday, August 6, 1958

TITLE 3—THE PRESIDENT PROCLAMATION 3252

NATIONAL DAY OF PRAYER, 1958

BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA
A PROCLAMATION

Our fathers trusted in God, and they were not confounded. Believing that all men are "endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights," they conquered the wilderness, built homes, charted the course of free institutions, and defended their liberties against forces which threatened from within and from without.

In our time, buffeted by unprecedented changes and challenged by an aggressive denial of Divine Providence, we have continuing need of the wisdom and strength that come from God, and we shall always find our highest satisfaction in a steadfast purpose to know and to do His will.

In this spirit, the Congress, by a joint resolution approved April 17, 1952 (66 Stat. 64), has provided "That the President shall set aside and proclaim a suitable day each year, other than a Sunday, as a National Day of Prayer, on which the people of the United States may turn to God in prayer and meditation at churches, in groups, and as individuals."

It is a privilege to carry out this resolution, and I accordingly set aside Wednesday, the first day of October 1958, as a National Day of Prayer; and I call upon my fellow Americans and all who may be visitors in our country, each according to his own faith, to join in prayer for our Nation and for all mankind, and especially:

First, that we may be humbly mindful that this Nation, under God, arrived at its present position by the toil and sacrifice of many citizens who subordinated personal interests to the common welfare and passed on to us a heritage with which we are singularly blessed;

Second, that we may have Divine guidance in our efforts to lead our children in the paths of truth and to provide a healthy environment in which they may grow in body, mind, and spirit;

Third, that we may ever exercise a compassionate concern for human suf-

fering, whether at home or in distant lands, and that we may strive to alleviate hunger, eradicate disease, and advance the well-being of our neighbors;

Fourth, that we may be saved from blinding pride and from any act hurtful to the concord of free nations joined in building a just and durable peace under the guiding hand of Almighty God;

And, finally, that we may have courage to move forward in the unconquerable spirit of a free people, strong in faith, having a will to endure the burdens which have been given us to carry in our day, and to work toward goals of human betterment which may be attained only beyond our span of years.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Seal of the United States of America to be affixed.

DONE at the City of Washington this first day of August in the year of our Lord nineteen hundred and [SEAL] fifty-eight, and of the Independence of the United States of America the one hundred and eighty-third.

DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER

By the President:

JOHN FOSTER DULLES,
Secretary of State.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6317; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
9:33 a. m.]

TITLE 50—WILDLIFE

Chapter I—Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior

Subchapter F—Alaska Commercial Fisheries

PART 109—COOK INLET AREA

ADDITIONAL FISHING TIME

AUGUST 4, 1958.

Basis and purpose. Field observations indicate a continuing good run of pink salmon at Port Dick and good escapements have already been realized. It has been determined, therefore, that additional fishing time can be permitted.

Subparagraph (2) of paragraph (d) of § 109.5 is amended by changing the period after August 2 to a colon and adding the following proviso: "Provided,

(Continued on p. 5949)

CONTENTS

THE PRESIDENT

Proclamation	Page
National Day of Prayer, 1958.....	5947

EXECUTIVE AGENCIES

Agricultural Marketing Service	
Proposed rule making:	
Milk; in St. Louis, Mo., market-	
ing area.....	5960

Agriculture Department	
See Agricultural Marketing Serv-	
ice; Commodity Credit Corpora-	
tion; Commodity Stabilization	
Service.	

Alien Property Office

Notices:	
Vesting orders:	
Hungarian Commercial Bank	
of Pest.....	5984
Hungarian General Credit-	
bank (2 documents).....	5984
Mobiliare Verkehrs A. G. (4	
documents).....	5985, 5986

Atomic Energy Commission

Notices:	
University of Oklahoma; utili-	
zation facility license.....	5965

Civil Aeronautics Administra-

tion	
Rules and regulations:	
Standard instrument approach	
procedures.....	5954

Civil Aeronautics Board

Rules and regulations:	
Certificated air carriers; revised	
uniform system of accounts	
and reports; capitalization of	
interest.....	5952

Commerce Department

See also Civil Aeronautics Admin-	
istration; Federal Maritime	
Board; Maritime Administra-	
tion.	

Notices:	
Vander Heide, John S.; state-	
ment of changes in financial	
interests.....	5964

Commodity Credit Corporation

Rules and regulations:	
Rice; 1958 crop price support	
program.....	5955



Published daily, except Sundays, Mondays, and days following official Federal holidays, by the Federal Register Division, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U. S. C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

The **FEDERAL REGISTER** will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the **CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS**, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953. The **CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS** is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements vary.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the **FEDERAL REGISTER**, or the **CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS**.

Now Available

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT ORGANIZATION MANUAL

1958-59 Edition

(Revised through June 1)

Published by the Federal Register Division,
the National Archives and Records Service,
General Services Administration

791 pages—\$1.50 a copy

Order from Superintendent of Documents,
United States Government Printing Office,
Washington 25, D. C.

CONTENTS—Continued

Commodity Stabilization Service	Page
Rules and regulations:	
Soil bank; conservation reserve program; miscellaneous amendments.....	5955
Customs Bureau	
Notices:	
Deputy Commissioner, Division of Management and Controls; designation to make decisions on requests for permission for scheduled aircraft to land elsewhere than international airport.....	5962

CONTENTS—Continued

Customs Bureau—Continued	Page
Rules and regulations:	
Commissioner to the Deputy Commissioner, Management and Controls Division; delegation of authority to grant landing rights to scheduled aircraft.....	5952
Federal Communications Commission	
Notices:	
Class B FM broadcast stations; revised tentative allocation plan:	
Gainesville and Sherman, Tex.....	5964
Winston-Salem, N. C.....	5964
Hearings, etc.:	
Clarion Broadcasting Co. and County Broadcasting Co.....	5964
Wittenberg, Armin H., Jr., and Pasadena Presbyterian Church.....	5964
Proposed rule making:	
Aviation services; exemption of airdrome control stations from maintenance of listening watch on certain frequency.....	5960
Certain uniform system of accounts; accounting for certain of amounts charged customers upon termination of service.....	5960
Rules and regulations:	
Aviation services; posting station licenses and transmitter identification cards.....	5956
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation	
Rules and regulations:	
Counties designated for crop insurance; 1958 and succeeding crop years:	
Bean, dry edible.....	5949
Corn.....	5949
Cotton.....	5950
Flax.....	5950
Orange.....	5951
Soybean.....	5951
Tobacco.....	5951
Federal Maritime Board	
Notices:	
Hearings, etc.:	
Atlantic & Gulf/Hawaii conference; reduction in rates on plasterboard and wall-board.....	5963
Waterman Steamship Corp.....	5963
Federal Power Commission	
Notices:	
Northern States Power Co.; application for order with respect to common stock.....	5965
Federal Trade Commission	
Rules and regulations:	
Cease and desist orders:	
Accurate Style Manufacturing Co., Inc., et al.....	5954
Pacific American Fisheries, Inc., and Deming & Gould Co.....	5954
Sylvette Watch Co.....	5955

CONTENTS—Continued

Fish and Wildlife Service	Page
Rules and regulations:	
Alaska commercial fisheries; Cook Inlet area; pink salmon; additional fishing time.....	5947
General Services Administration	
Notices:	
Director, Office of Defense and Civilian Mobilization; delegation of authority in connection with certain contracts involving Office of Defense and Civilian Mobilization.....	5965
Federal Civil Defense Administration; delegation of authority in connection with certain purchases and contracts.....	5965
Interior Department	
See Fish and Wildlife Service; Land Management Bureau; Mines Bureau.	
International Cooperation Administration	
Notices:	
Directors and acting directors of United States Operations Missions; delegation of authority to execute certain contracts.....	5965
Interstate Commerce Commission	
Notices:	
Fourth section applications for relief.....	5981
Motor carrier alternate route deviations.....	5966
Motor carrier applications.....	5967
Motor carrier transfer proceedings.....	5965
Justice Department	
See Alien Property Office.	
Labor Department	
See Wage and Hour Division.	
Land Management Bureau	
Notices:	
Alaska; revocation of small tract classification.....	5962
Colorado; proposed withdrawal and reservation of lands (3 documents).....	5962
Maritime Administration	
Rules and regulations:	
Documentation, transfer or charter of vessels; statement of policy; new construction of vessel.....	5956
Mines Bureau	
Proposed rule making:	
Multiple-shot blasting units; revision of procedures for testing and approval.....	5957
State Department	
Rules and regulations:	
Nonimmigrant visas; issuance.....	5952
Treasury Department	
See Customs Bureau.	
Wage and Hour Division	
Notices:	
Learner employment certificates; issuance to various industries (2 documents).....	5982

CONTENTS—Continued

Wage and Hour Division—Con.	Page
Rules and regulations:	
Puerto Rico; women's and children's underwear and women's blouse and neckwear industry; wage order.....	5957

CODIFICATION GUIDE

A numerical list of the parts of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in this issue. Proposed rules, as opposed to final actions, are identified as such.

Title 3	Page
Chapter I (Proclamations):	
3252	5947
Title 6	
Chapter IV:	
Part 421	5955
Part 485	5955
Title 7	
Chapter IV:	
Part 401 (7 documents)	5949-5951
Chapter IX:	
Part 903 (proposed)	5960
Title 14	
Chapter I:	
Part 241	5952
Chapter II:	
Part 609	5954
Title 16	
Chapter I:	
Part 13 (3 documents)	5954, 5955
Title 19	
Chapter I:	
Part 6	5952
Title 22	
Chapter I:	
Part 41	5952
Title 29	
Chapter V:	
Part 609	5957
Title 30	
Chapter I:	
Part 25 (proposed)	5957
Title 46	
Chapter II:	
Part 221	5956
Title 47	
Chapter I:	
Part 9	5956
Proposed rules	5960
Part 31 (proposed)	5960
Part 34 (proposed)	5960
Part 35 (proposed)	5960
Title 50	
Chapter I:	
Part 109	5947

That fishing is permitted from 9 a. m. August 4 to 9 a. m., August 6, 1958 and from 9 a. m. August 7 to 9 a. m. August 9, 1958."

In order to permit full utilization of the run, immediate action is necessary on this relaxation, and it shall become effective immediately upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. (60 Stat. 237; 5 U. S. C. 1001 et seq.).

(Sec. 1, 43 Stat. 464, as amended; 48 U. S. C. 221)

HAROLD E. CROWTHER,
Acting Director,
Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6154; Filed, Aug. 4, 1958;
4:15 p. m.]

TITLE 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter IV—Federal Crop Insurance Corporation

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

SUBPART—REGULATIONS FOR THE 1958 AND SUCCEEDING CROP YEARS

COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR DRY EDIBLE BEAN CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in paragraph (a) of § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended (22 F. R. 6557, 7210, 8473, 9515, 11024; 23 F. R. 289, 869, 1943, 2373, 2481, 2586, 2635, 2769, 3143, 5114, 5183), the following counties have been designated for dry edible bean crop insurance for the 1959 crop year. The class(es) of beans on which insurance is offered is shown opposite the name of the county.

State and county	Class(es) of beans insured
Colorado:	
Dolores	Pinto.
Montezuma	Do.
Idaho:	
Cassia	Great Northern, Pinto, Small Red.
Gooding	Do.
Jerome	Do.
Minidoka	Do.
Twin Falls	Do.
Michigan:	
Bay	Pea and Medium White.
Gratiot	Do.
Huron	Do.
Saginaw	Do.
St. Clair	Do.
Sanilac	Do.
Shiawassee	Do.
Nebraska:	
Morrill	Great Northern, Pinto.
Scotts Bluff	Do.
Washington:	
Grant	Great Northern, Pinto, Small Red, Flat Small White.
Wyoming:	
Goshen	Great Northern, Pinto.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 1506, 1516. Interprets or applies secs. 507-509, 52 Stat. 73-75, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 1507-1509)

[SEAL] F. N. MCCARTNEY,
Manager,
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6135; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:50 a. m.]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

SUBPART—REGULATIONS FOR THE 1958 AND SUCCEEDING CROP YEARS

COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR CORN CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in paragraph (a) of § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended (22 F. R. 6557, 7210, 8473, 9515, 11024; 23 F. R. 289, 869, 1943, 2373, 2481, 2586, 2635, 2769, 3143, 5114, 5183), the following counties have been designated for corn crop insurance for the 1959 crop year.

Illinois:	Iowa:
Adams.	Adair.
Bond.	Audubon.
Carroll.	Boone.
Cass.	Buchanan.
Christian.	Buena Vista.
Clinton.	Calhoun.
Effingham.	Carroll.
Fayette.	Cass.
Fulton.	Cerro Gordo.
Greene.	Chickasaw.
Jasper.	Clay.
Jersey.	Clayton.
Livingston.	Crawford.
McDonough.	Delaware.
McLean.	Emmett.
Macoupin.	Fayette.
Madison.	Floyd.
Mason.	Franklin.
Menard.	Premont.
Monroe.	Guthrie.
Montgomery.	Hancock.
Morgan.	Hardin.
Pike.	Howard.
St. Clair.	Humboldt.
Sangamon.	Ida.
Schuyler.	Jones.
Scott.	Linn.
Shelby.	Lyon.
Tazewell.	Madison.
Vermillion.	Mitchell.
Indiana:	O'Brien.
Allen.	Osceola.
Blackford.	Polk.
Boone.	Poweshiek.
Carroll.	Shelby.
Clinton.	Sac.
Clay.	Sioux.
Decatur.	Story.
DeKalb.	Tama.
Delaware.	Union.
Howard.	Warren.
Huntington.	Washington.
Jackson.	Webster.
Johnson.	East Pottawattamie.
Kosciusko.	West Pottawattamie.
Madison.	Winneshiek.
Marshall.	Worth.
Miami.	Kansas:
Montgomery.	Atchison.
Noble.	Bourbon.
Pulaski.	Brown.
Randolph.	Franklin.
Ripley.	Jackson.
Rush.	Linn.
Shelby.	Marshall.
Sullivan.	Nemaha.
Vigo.	Washington.
Wayne.	Maryland:
Wells.	Kent.
Whitley.	

Michigan:
Branch.
Calhoun.
Gratiot.
Hillsdale.
Jackson.
Lenawee.
Monroe.
Saginaw.
St. Clair.
St. Joseph.
Minnesota:
Blue Earth.
Brown.
Chippewa.
Cottonwood.
Dakota.
Dodge.
Faribault.
Goodhue.
Jackson.
Kandiyohi.
Lincoln.
Lyon.
McLeod.
Martin.
Meeker.
Mower.
Murray.
Nicolet.
Nobles.
Pipestone.
Redwood.
Renville.
Rice.
Rock.
Stearns.
Steele.
Stevens.
Swift.
Wabasha.
Watsonwan.
Yellow Medicine.
Missouri:
Atchison.
Audrain.
Bates.
Buchanan.
Calloway.
Carroll.
Cass.
Chariton.
Cooper.
Franklin.
Gentry.
Henry.
Howard.
Holt.
Jasper.
Johnson.
Lafayette.
Lawrence.
Marion.
Macon.
Nodaway.
Pettis.
Pike.
Ralls.
St. Charles.
Saline.
Shelby.
Vernon.
Worth.

Nebraska:
Boone.
Butler.
Cass.
Cedar.
Colfax.
Cuming.
Dodge.
Pawnee.
Pierce.
Richardson.
Saunders.
Stanton.
Washington.
Wayne.
Ohio:
Allen.
Ashland.
Auglaize.
Delaware.
Erie.
Fayette.
Franklin.
Greene.
Hancock.
Hardin.
Henry.
Huron.
Knox.
Marion.
Medina.
Mercer.
Montgomery.
Morrow.
Paulding.
Pickaway.
Preble.
Putnam.
Sandusky.
Seneca.
Stark.
Tuscarawas.
Union.
Van Wert.
Wayne.
Williams.
Pennsylvania:
Chester.
Lancaster.
South Dakota:
Brookings.
Clay.
Kingsbury.
Lincoln.
Minnehaha.
Moody.
Union.
Yankton.
Tennessee:
Obion.
Wisconsin:
Columbia.
Dane.
Grant.
Green.
Iowa.
Lafayette.
Pierce.
Rock.
Sauk.
Trempealeau.

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE
SUBPART—REGULATIONS FOR THE 1958 AND
SUCCEEDING CROP YEARS

COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR COTTON CROP
INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in paragraph (a) of § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended (22 F. R. 6557, 7210, 8473, 9515, 11024; 23 F. R. 289, 869, 1943, 2373, 2481, 2586, 2635, 2769, 3143, 5114, 5183), the following counties have been designated for cotton crop insurance for the 1959 crop year.

Alabama:
Blount.
Cherokee.
Colbert.
Cullman.
De Kalb.
Etowah.
Franklin.
Jackson.
Lauderdale.
Lawrence.
Limestone.
Madison.
Marshall.
Morgan.
Tuscaloosa.
Arkansas:
Chicot.
Craighead.
Crittenden.
Desha.
Putnam.
Jefferson.
Lee.
Lincoln.
Monroe.
Phillips.
Saint Francis.
California:
Tulare.
Georgia:
Bulloch.
Colquitt.
Laurens.
Worth.
Louisiana:
Avoyelles.
Caddo.
East Carroll.
Franklin.
Morehouse.
Natchitoches.
Rapides.
Richland.
Saint Landry.
Mississippi:
Alcorn.
Bolivar.
Coahoma.
De Soto.
Hinds.
Holmes.
Humphreys.
Jefferson Davis.
Lee.
Leflore.
Madison.
Marion.
Marshall.
Monroe.
Panola.
Pontotoc.
Prentiss.
Quitman.
Sunflower.
Tallahatchie.
Tunica.
Union.
Washington.
Yazoo.

New Mexico:
Chaves.
Dona Ana.
Eddy.
North Carolina:
Cleveland.
Edgecombe.
Franklin.
Harnett.
Iredell.
Johnston.
Lincoln.
Mecklenburg.
Nash.
Robeson.
Rutherford.
Sampson.
Wayne.
Oklahoma:
Beckham.
Tillman.
Washita.
South Carolina:
Anderson.
Chesterfield.
Clarendon.
Darlington.
Dillon.
Florence.
Greenville.
Lee.
Marlboro.
Marion.
Orangeburg.
Spartanburg.
Sumter.
Williamsburg.
York.
Tennessee:
Carroll.
Fayette.
Gibson.
Hardeman.
Haywood.
McNairy.
Madison.
Obion.
Shelby.
Tipton.
Texas:
Bell.
Castro.
Collin.
Ellis.
Falls.
Fannin.
Floyd.
Grayson.
Hale.
Hill.
Hockley.
Hunt.
Lamar.
Lamb.
Limestone.
Lubbock.
McLennan.
Milam.
Navarro.

Texas—Continued
Nueces.
San Patricio.
Swisher.

Texas—Continued
Travis.
Williamson.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 1506, 1516. Interpretations or applies secs. 507-509, 52 Stat. 73-75, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 1507-1509)

[SEAL] F. N. McCARTNEY,
Manager,
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6137; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:50 a. m.]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE
SUBPART—REGULATIONS FOR THE 1958 AND
SUCCEEDING CROP YEARS

COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR FLAX CROP
INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in paragraph (a) of § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended (22 F. R. 6557, 7210, 8473, 9515, 11024; 23 F. R. 289, 869, 1943, 2373, 2481, 2586, 2635, 2769, 3143, 5114, 5183), the following counties have been designated for flax crop insurance for the 1959 crop year.

Minnesota:
Becker.
Big Stone.
Brown.
Chippewa.
Clay.
Cottonwood.
Grant.
Jackson.
Kittson.
Lac Qui Parle.
Lincoln.
Lyon.
Mahomen.
Marshall.
Martin.
Murray.
Nobles.
Norman.
Pennington.
Pipestone.
Polk, East.
Polk, West.
Pope.
Redwood.
Renville.
Rock.
Roseau.
Stevens.
Swift.
Traverse.
Wilkin.
Yellow Medicine.
North Dakota—Con.
Cavaller.
Dickey.
Eddy.
Emmons.
Foster.
Grand Forks.
La Moure.
Logan.
McIntosh.
McLean.
Nelson.
Pembina.
Pierce.
Ramsey.
Ransom.
Richland.
Rolette.
Sargent.
Steele.
Stutsman.
Towner.
Traill.
Walsh.
Ward.
South Dakota:
Brookings.
Brown.
Clark.
Codington.
Day.
Deuel.
Grant.
Hamilin.
Kingsbury.
Marshall.
Roberts.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 1506, 1516. Interpretations or applies secs. 507-509, 52 Stat. 73-75, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 1507-1509)

[SEAL] F. N. McCARTNEY,
Manager,
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6138; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:50 a. m.]

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 1506, 1516. Interpretations or applies secs. 507-509, 52 Stat. 73-75, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 1507-1509)

[SEAL] F. N. McCARTNEY,
Manager,
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6136; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:50 a. m.]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

SUBPART—REGULATIONS FOR THE 1958
AND SUCCEEDING CROP YEARSCOUNTY DESIGNATED FOR ORANGE CROP
INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in paragraph (a) of § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended (22 F. R. 6557, 7210, 8473, 9515, 11024; 23 F. R. 289, 869, 1943, 2373, 2481, 2586, 2635, 2769, 3143, 5114, 5183), the following county has been designated for orange crop insurance for the 1959 crop year.

California:

Tulare.
(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 1506, 1516. Interprets or applies secs. 507-509, 52 Stat. 73-75, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 1507-1509)

[SEAL]

F. N. MCCARTNEY,

Manager,

Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6139; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:50 a. m.]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

SUBPART—REGULATIONS FOR THE 1958
AND SUCCEEDING CROP YEARSCOUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR SOYBEAN CROP
INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in paragraph (a) of § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended (22 F. R. 6557, 7210, 8473, 9515, 11024; 23 F. R. 289, 869, 1943, 2373, 2481, 2586, 2635, 2769, 3143, 5114, 5183), the following counties have been designated for soybean crop insurance for the 1959 crop year.

Illinois:

Adams.
Bond.
Cass.
Christian.
Clinton.
Effingham.
Fayette.
Greene.
Jasper.
Jersey.
Livingston.
McDonough.
Macoupin.
Madison.
Mason.
Menard.
Monroe.
Montgomery.
Morgan.
Pike.
St. Clair.
Sangamon.
Schuyler.
Scott.
Shelby.
Tazewell.
Vermillion.

Indiana:

Allen.
Boone.
Clay.
Carroll.
Clinton.
Decatur.
DeKalb.
Delaware.
Howard.
Huntington.

Indiana—Continued

Jackson.
Johnson.
Kosciusko.
Madison.
Marshall.
Miami.
Montgomery.
Noble.
Pulaski.
Randolph.
Ripley.
Rush.
Shelby.
Sullivan.
Vigo.
Wayne.
Wells.
Whitley.

Iowa:

Boone.
Buena Vista.
Buchanan.
Calhoun.
Carroll.
Cerro Gordo.
Chickasaw.
Clay.
Emmett.
Fayette.
Floyd.
Franklin.
Guthrie.
Hancock.
Hardin.
Howard.
Humboldt.
Ida.
Lyon.

Iowa—Continued

Madison.
Mitchell.
Osceola.
Polk.
East Pottawattamie.
West Pottawattamie.
Poweshiek.
Sac.
Sioux.
Story.
Tama.
Union.
Warren.
Washington.
Webster.
Winnebago.
Worth.

Kansas:

Bourbon.
Franklin.
Linn.

Michigan:

Gratiot.
Monroe.
Saginaw.
St. Joseph.

Minnesota:

Big Stone.
Blue Earth.
Brown.
Chippewa.
Cottonwood.
Dakota.
Dodge.
Faribault.
Goodhue.
Lac qui Parle.
Lincoln.
Lyon.
McLeod.
Martin.
Meeker.
Mower.
Nicollet.
Pope.
Redwood.
Renville.
Steele.
Traverse.
Watsonwan.
Yellow Medicine.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 1506, 1516. Interprets or applies secs. 507-509, 52 Stat. 73-75, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 1507-1509)

[SEAL]

F. N. MCCARTNEY,

Manager,

Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6140; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:50 a. m.]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

SUBPART—REGULATIONS FOR THE 1958 AND
SUCCEEDING CROP YEARSCOUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR TOBACCO CROP
INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in paragraph (a) of § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended (22 F. R. 6557, 7210, 8473, 9515, 11024; 23 F. R. 289, 869, 1943, 2373, 2481, 2586, 2635, 2769, 3143, 5114, 5183), the following counties have been designated for tobacco crop insurance for the 1959 crop year. The type(s) of tobacco on which insurance is offered in each county is shown opposite the name of the county.

Connecticut:

Hartford 51, 52

Florida:

Alachua 14
Columbia 14
Madison 14
Suwannee 14

Georgia:

Berrien 14
Brooks 14
Bulloch 14
Candler 14
Coffee 14
Colquitt 14
Cook 14
Lowndes 14
Mitchell 14
Tift 14
Worth 14

Kentucky:

Adair 31
Allen 31, 35
Anderson 31
Barren 31
Bath 31
Bourbon 31
Bracken 31
Breckenridge 31
Caldwell 22, 31, 35
Calloway 23, 35
Casey 31
Christian 22, 31, 35
Clark 31
Davies 31, 36
Fleming 31
Franklin 31
Garrard 31
Grant 31
Graves 23, 31, 35
Green 31
Harrison 31
Hart 31
Henry 31
Larue 31
Lincoln 31
Logan 22, 31, 35
Mason 31
Mercer 31
Metcalfe 31
Montgomery 31
Nelson 31
Nicholas 31
Owen 31
Pendleton 31
Pulaski 31
Russell 31
Scott 31
Simpson 31, 35
Todd 22, 31, 35
Warren 31, 35
Washington 31
Wayne 31
Woodford 31

Maryland:

Charles 32
Calvert 32
St. Marys 32

Massachusetts:

Hampshire 52

North Carolina:

Alamance 11a
Beaufort 12
Bladen 13
Brunswick 13
Buncombe 31
Caswell 11a
Columbus 13
Duplin 12
Edgecombe 12
Forsyth 11a
Franklin 11b
Granville 11b
Greene 12
Guilford 11a
Harnett 11b
Iredell 11a
Jones 12
Johnston 12
Lee 11b
Lenoir 12
Moore 11b
Nash 12
Person 11a

North Carolina—Continued

Pitt	12
Robeson	13
Rockingham	11a
Sampson	12
Stokes	11a
Surry	11a
Vance	11b
Wake	11b
Warren	11b
Wayne	12
Wilson	12
Yadkin	11a

Ohio:

Adams	31
Brown	31
Highland	31

Pennsylvania:

Lancaster	41
-----------	----

South Carolina:

Chesterfield	13
Clarendon	13
Darlington	13
Dillon	13
Florence	13
Horry	13
Lee	13
Marion	13
Marlboro	13
Sumter	13
Williamsburg	13

Tennessee:

Chalborne	31
DeKalb	31
Dickson	22
Grainger	31
Greene	31
Hamblen	31
Hawkins	31
Johnson	31
Loudon	31
Marshall	31
McMinn	31
Maury	31
Monroe	31
Montgomery	22, 31
Obion	23, 35
Putnam	31
Robertson	22, 31, 35
Sevier	31
Smith	31
Stewart	22, 31
Sullivan	31
Sumner	22, 31, 35
Trousdale	31
Unicoi	31
Washington	31
Williamson	31
Wilson	31

Virginia:	
Appomattox	11a, 21
Brunswick	11a, 21
Campbell	11a, 21
Charlotte	11a, 21
Cumberland	11a, 21, 37
Dinwiddie	11a, 21
Halifax	11a
Lee	31
Lunenburg	11a
Mecklenburg	11a
Pittsylvania	11a
Prince Edward	11a, 21, 37
Russell	31
Scott	31
Washington	31

Wisconsin:

Dane	54
Vernon	55

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 1506, 1516. Interprets or applies secs. 507-509, 52 Stat. 73-75, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 1507-1509)

[SEAL] F. N. MCCARTNEY,
Manager,
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6141; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:50 a. m.]

TITLE 19—CUSTOMS DUTIES

Chapter I—Bureau of Customs,
Department of the Treasury

[T. D. 54653]

PART 6—AIR COMMERCE REGULATIONS

LANDING RIGHTS OF SCHEDULED AIRLINES

The authority to grant landing rights to scheduled aircraft is being delegated by the Commissioner to the Deputy Commissioner, Management and Controls Division. Consistent with that action the following changes are necessary in the language of §§ 6.2 and 6.3, Customs regulations.

Section 6.2 (a) is amended by substituting "Bureau" for "Commissioner" in the second and third sentences and by substituting "the Bureau" for "he" in the third sentence.

Section 6.3 (b) is amended by deleting the last five words and placing a period after the word "purpose".

(R. S. 161, 251, secs. 624, 644, 46 Stat. 759, 761, sec. 7, 44 Stat. 572, as amended; 5 U. S. C. 22, 19 U. S. C. 66, 1624, 1644; 49 U. S. C. 177)

[SEAL]

RALPH KELLY,
Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: July 30, 1958.

A. GILMORE FLUES,
Acting Secretary of the Treasury.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6130; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:49 a. m.]

TITLE 22—FOREIGN RELATIONS

Chapter I—Department of State

[Departmental Reg. 108.371]

PART 41—VISAS: DOCUMENTATION OF NON-
IMMIGRANT ALIENS UNDER THE IMMIGRA-
TION NATIONALITY ACT

ISSUANCE OF NONIMMIGRANT VISAS

Part 41, Chapter I, Title 22 of the Code of Federal Regulations, is amended in the following respect:

Section 41.11 *Authority to issue non-immigrant visas in the Department*, is amended to read as follows:

§ 41.11 *Authority to issue nonimmigrant visas in the Department.* The Director of the Visa Office of the Department and such members of his staff as he may designate are authorized, in their discretion, to issue nonimmigrant visas, or to revalidate nonimmigrant visas previously issued, to qualified aliens in the United States (a) who are within a class of nonimmigrants described in section 101 (a) (15) (A), section 101 (a) (15) (G), or section 101 (a) (15) (I) of the Act, or within a class of nonimmigrants classifiable under the visa symbol NATO-1, NATO-2, NATO-3, or NATO-4, (b) who have been duly notified to the Secretary of State in such nonimmigrant status, or who, in cases of aliens classifiable under section 101 (a) (15) (I), are bearers of passports containing a used I visa which was, on a basis of reciprocity, limited in validity to a single application

for admission into the United States, or an A or G visa, and who have been duly accredited by a foreign information medium, and (c) who, after a temporary absence, desire to reenter the United States in the nonimmigrant status specified in the visa.

(Sec. 104, 66 Stat. 174; 8 U. S. C. 1104)

The regulation contained in this order shall become effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (60 Stat. 238; 5 U. S. C. 1003) relative to notice of proposed rule making and delayed effective date are inapplicable to this order because the regulation contained therein involves foreign affairs functions of the United States.

Dated: July 31, 1958.

RODERIC L. O'CONNOR,
Administrator,
Bureau of Security,
and Consular Affairs.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6213; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:51 a. m.]

TITLE 14—CIVIL AVIATION

Chapter I—Civil Aeronautics Board

Subchapter B—Economic Regulations

[Regulation No. ER-234]

PART 241—UNIFORM SYSTEM OF ACCOUNTS
AND REPORTS FOR CERTIFICATED AIR
CARRIERS

CAPITALIZATION OF INTEREST

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D. C., on the 1st day of August 1958.

A notice of proposed rule-making to amend the Board's revised accounting manual was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (23 F. R. 1627) and circulated to the industry as Economic Regulations Draft Release No. 90, dated March 3, 1958.

Draft Release No. 90 proposed to remove the existing prohibition against capitalization of interest by air carriers (except as to inclusion in capitalized extension and development costs of interest actually paid by newly certificated carriers before inauguration of service) to the extent of premitting capitalization of interest on funds deposited with aircraft manufacturers or contractors and on funds segregated from working capital prior to their actual use for such purposes, both within stated limitations as to amounts and time. An interest rate representative of the carrier's long term debt is to be used, and there are provisions requiring in substance that earnings realized, or constructive earnings, on deposited or segregated funds must be offset against the amount of interest capitalized.

Written comments were received from interested parties. In substance, the comments generally favor the regulation on its merits except that some of them urge that the cost of equity capital should be a factor in determining the

allowable rate of interest.¹ Several of the air carriers contend that there is an issue in the General Passenger Fare Investigation, Docket 8008, of whether or not for rate making purposes funds committed for equipment purchases should be included in the investment base, or whether or not the interest cost thereof should be capitalized. These carriers express apprehension that by adopting this regulation the Board would prejudge the issue in the fare case. They urge, therefore, that the Board defer decision on this rule-making project until conclusion of the fare case and, in the meantime, grant relief to air carriers on an individual basis by waivers of the prohibition against capitalization of interest.

Upon due consideration of all comments, the Board has concluded that the regulation should be adopted in the form as proposed. The merits of the abstract argument in favor of an interest rate which takes into account the air carrier's cost of equity capital are overcome by the practical considerations that the yardstick for ascertaining the interest rate must be sufficiently simple and definite to be applied by the carrier itself without involving the controversial question of the fair cost of equity capital for particular carriers; that the cost of equity financing always is an uncertain and fluctuating item and may not even be susceptible of estimation in the case of some air carriers which have not enjoyed a stable earnings record; that debt-financing is the method chiefly used by air carriers to finance equipment purchases and major construction; and that on the other hand, the difference in amounts capitalized is not likely to be very substantial in light of the relatively short periods of time during which interest is capitalized.

We cannot agree with the contention that adoption of this accounting regulation would involve prejudgment of the issues in the General Passenger Fare Investigation. Since accounting is to reflect the Board's regulatory policies as nearly as may be, and not vice versa, an inference from the adoption of an accounting rule to the future adoption of a rate policy can not be drawn unless it were assumed that the Board had already considered and made up its mind on the policy issue. Of course, no serious contention to this effect can be made. The correct inference from the suggested comparison with ER-230 is not that promulgation of this regulation should be deferred but that the regulation should be adopted, since there as here the regulation accomplished a liberalization of a previously effective prohibition in the accounting rules pending the policy decision in the fare case; and

there as here this liberalization relieves the Board from the necessity of passing on requests for waivers and determining issues of fact similar to those pending in the rate case. Depending on the outcome of the General Passenger Fare Investigation, amending these or other accounting regulations at that time may be in order.

Since this regulation does not impose an additional burden on any person but liberalizes existing rules, observance of the statutory 30-day period between promulgation and effective date is not required and the regulation may be made effective immediately.

Interested persons have been afforded opportunity to participate in the making of this rule and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Civil Aeronautics Board hereby amends Part 241 of the Economic Regulations, effective August 1, 1958, by amending § 241.2-10 to read as follows:

§ 241.2-10 Capitalization of interest.

(a) The cost of operating property and equipment may include, for accounting purposes, a component for capitalized interest properly computed in accordance with the provisions of this section upon funds actually committed as equipment purchase deposits or actually used to finance construction work in progress from the date when such funds are first employed for either of these purposes to the date upon which the unit of equipment or property concerned is ready to be placed in operation: *Provided, however,* That the charging of interest on all funds thus committed or used shall be limited in both time and amount to the air carrier's reasonable requirements therefor.

(b) The cost of operating property and equipment may also include a component for interest upon all funds segregated from working capital and carried in account 1550 Special Funds—Other, in advance of the date when such funds are scheduled under a legally binding contract to be committed as bona fide deposits with an aircraft manufacturer or as sums payable to contractors for construction work: *Provided, however,* That the charging of interest upon such funds shall be limited in amount to the carrier's reasonable requirements therefor, as well as limited to a reasonable period which, in no event, shall exceed six months.

(c) In determining the amount of interest to be capitalized under the provisions of paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, the air carrier shall utilize an interest rate which is representative of the current rate for long term debt for such air carrier. Any interest or other earnings realized upon equipment purchase funds on deposit with, or for the account of, an aircraft manufacturer or construction contractor shall be offset against the amount of such capitalized interest. The amount of interest capitalized with respect to funds segregated prior to their actual employment as deposits or investments in construction

work shall also be reduced by an amount equal to the constructive earnings on such segregated funds, to be calculated as the product of the amount of such segregated funds multiplied by the average rate of earnings on the carrier's investment in interest-bearing securities (if any) but not to exceed the actual amount of such interest earned.

(d) Interest capitalized in accordance with provisions of paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of this section, shall be charged to balance sheet account 1689, Construction Work in Progress, and cleared to separate sub-accounts of the appropriate property and equipment balance sheet account when each unit of property or equipment is placed in operation and shall be credited to an Interest Charged to Construction-Credit sub-account of profit and loss account 83, Interest Income. The amount of cumulated interest charged to account 1689 shall be identified in each balance sheet by appropriate notations to the Form 41 report. The amount capitalized shall be amortized, together with other capital costs, over the useful life of the asset as a part of depreciation expense: *Provided,* That the air carriers shall reverse all entries capitalizing interest in the event that funds segregated in advance are not ultimately used as equipment purchase deposits or on-account payments to contractors, or in the event that equipment or property for the acquisition or construction of which funds were deposited or paid on account is not actually acquired, or if the amounts on which interest has been capitalized are allowed by the Board as a part of the rate base during all or a part of the period for which interest has been capitalized.

(e) In the case of a newly certificated carrier, interest on funds actually employed prior to inauguration of services, in developmental projects not covered in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, may be capitalized as developmental cost in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (c) of this section. Such capitalized interest shall be charged to balance sheet account 1830, Developmental and Preoperating Costs, and credited to an Interest Charged to Development sub-account of profit and loss account 83, Interest Income, and amortized as a part of extension and development cost to profit and loss account 74, Amortization.

(f) Any capitalization of interest permitted under this section shall be entered in the air carrier's accounts on a current basis and may not be recorded retroactively, except that as to funds which are on deposit during the carrier's accounting year in which this section takes effect, interest may be capitalized from the time the funds were first so deposited.

(Sec. 205, 52 Stat. 984; 49 U. S. C. 425. Interprets or applies Sec. 407, 52 Stat. 1000; 49 U. S. C. 487)

By the Civil Aeronautics Board,

[SEAL]

MABEL McCART,
Acting Secretary.

[P. R. Doc. 58-6094; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958; 8:46 a. m.]

¹A statement in one of the comments (Ozark) that the total period for which interest may be capitalized is limited to six months, is erroneous. This limitation applies only to the period during which funds are segregated from working capital but not yet committed as deposits with a manufacturer or contractor.

Chapter II—Civil Aeronautics Administration, Department of Commerce

[Amdt. 82]

PART 609—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

PROCEDURE ALTERATIONS

The standard instrument approach procedures appearing hereinafter are adopted to become effective when indicated in order to promote safety. Compliance with the notice, procedures, and effective date provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act would be impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and therefore is not required.

Part 609 is amended as follows:

NOTE: Where the general classification (L/MFR, ADF, VOR, TerVOR, VOR/DME, ILS, or RADAR), location, and procedure number (if any) of any procedure in the amendments which follow, are identical with an existing procedure, that procedure is to be substituted for the existing one, as of the effective date given, to the extent that it differs from the existing procedure; where a procedure is cancelled, the existing procedure is revoked; new procedures are to be placed in appropriate alphabetical sequence within the section amended.

1. The very high frequency omnirange (VOR) procedures prescribed in § 609.100 (c) are amended to read in part:

VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of Civil Aeronautics. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums		
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	3-engine or less	
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots

PROCEDURE CANCELLED, EFFECTIVE JULY 3, 1958.

City, Muskegon; State, Mich.; Airport Name, Muskegon County; Elev., 628'; Fac. Class, BVOR; Ident., MKG; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 2; Eff. Date, Nov. 26, 1955; Sup. Amdt. No. 1; Dated, Mar. 30, 1954

These procedures shall become effective on the dates indicated on the procedures.

(Sec. 205, 52 Stat. 984; 49 U. S. C. 425. Interpret or apply sec. 601, 52 Stat. 1007, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 551)

[SEAL]

S. A. KEMP,
Acting Administrator of Civil Aeronautics.

JULY 31, 1958.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6150; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958; 8:50 a. m.]

TITLE 16—COMMERCIAL PRACTICES

Chapter I—Federal Trade Commission

[Docket 6942]

PART 13—DIGEST OF CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS

PACIFIC AMERICAN FISHERIES, INC., ET AL.

Subpart—Discriminating in price under section 2, Clayton Act, as amended—Payment or acceptance of commission, brokerage, or other compensation under 2 (c): § 13.820 Direct buyers.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U. S. C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 2, 38 Stat. 730, as amended; 15 U. S. C. 13) [Cease and desist order, Pacific American Fisheries, Inc., et al., Bellingham, Wash., Docket 6942, June 12, 1958]

In the Matter of Pacific American Fisheries, Inc., a Corporation, and Deming & Gould Company, a Corporation

This proceeding was heard by a hearing examiner on the complaint of the Commission charging a packer of canned salmon and other sea food products and its corporate sales agent in Bellingham, Washington, with discriminating in price in violation of sec. 2 (c) of the Clayton Act by making direct sales to certain favored customers at prices lower than those paid by buyers purchasing through brokers, the reduced prices reflecting in

whole or in part the customary brokerage paid on sales to the non-favored customers.

Following acceptance of an agreement containing consent order, the hearing examiner made his initial decision and order to cease and desist which became on June 12 the decision of the Commission.

The order to cease and desist is as follows:

It is ordered, That Pacific American Fisheries, Inc., a corporation, and Deming & Gould Company, a corporation, and Respondents' officers, directors, agents, representatives or employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the sale of their sea food products in commerce as "commerce" is defined in the aforesaid Clayton Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

Paying, granting, or allowing, directly or indirectly, to any buyer, or to anyone acting for or in behalf of, or who is subject to the direct or indirect control of such buyer, anything of value as a commission, brokerage, or other compensation, or any allowance or discount in lieu thereof, upon or in connection with any sale of their seafood products to such buyer for his own account.

By "Decision of the Commission", etc., report of compliance was required as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents Pacific American Fisheries, Inc., a corporation, and Deming & Gould Company, a corporation, shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist.

Issued: June 12, 1958.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT M. PARRISH,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6084; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958; 8:46 a. m.]

[Docket 7015]

PART 13—DIGEST OF CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS

ACCURATE STYLE MANUFACTURING CO., INC., ET AL.

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleadingly: § 13.80 Earnings and profits; § 13.115 Jobs and employment service; § 13.143 Opportunities.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U. S. C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U. S. C. 45) [Cease and desist order, Accurate Style Manufacturing Company, Inc., et al., Freeport, Long Island, N. Y., Docket 7015, June 11, 1958]

In the Matter of Accurate Style Manufacturing Company, Inc., a Corporation, and Mrs. D. T. Ruhl and Joseph Soviero, Individually and as Officers of Said Corporation

This proceeding was heard by a hearing examiner on the complaint of the Commission charging a concern engaged in Freeport, Long Island, N. Y., in the mail order sale of pre-cut fabrics for making dresses at home, with representing falsely in "Help Wanted" advertisements in newspapers that it was offering to employ persons to sew ready-cut housecoats in their homes and would pay "from \$17.40 to \$26.16 dozen" for such services.

Following acceptance of an agreement for consent order, the hearing examiner made his initial decision and order to cease and desist which became on June 11 the decision of the Commission.

Said order to cease and desist is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondent, Accurate Style Manufacturing Company, Inc., a corporation, and its officers, and Mrs. D. T. Ruhl, individually and as an officer of said corporation, and respondents' agents, representatives and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the offering for sale, sale or distribution of pre-cut fabrics, or any other article of merchandise in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from representing, directly or by implication, that respondents are offering employment or payment for services to be rendered, when, in fact, the offer is to sell merchandise.

It is further ordered, That the complaint, insofar as it relates to respondent Joseph Soviero, be, and the same hereby is, dismissed.

By "Decision of the Commission", etc., report of compliance was required as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents Accurate Style Manufacturing Company, Inc., a corporation, and Mrs. D. T. Ruhl, individually and as an officer of said corporation, shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist.

Issued: June 11, 1958.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT M. PARRISH,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6085; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:46 a. m.]

[Docket 7033]

PART 13—DIGEST OF CEASE AND DESIST
ORDERS

SYLVETTE WATCH CO.

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleadingly: § 13.15 Business status, advantages, or connections: Foreign status, branches, etc.; § 13.70 Fictitious or mis-

leading guarantees; § 13.235 Source or origin: Place: Foreign, in general. Subpart—Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure: § 13.1845 Composition.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U. S. C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U. S. C. 45) [Cease and desist order, Saul Frisch trading as Sylvette Watch Co., New York, N. Y., Docket 7033, June 11, 1958]

In the Matter of Saul Frisch, an Individual trading as Sylvette Watch Co.

This proceeding was heard by a hearing examiner on the complaint of the Commission charging an importer in New York City with selling watches of simulated gold or gold alloy without disclosing that the cases were composed of base metal; and with representing falsely by the words "Sylvette of Switzerland" on the cardboard boxes packaging the watches that he maintained a place of business in Switzerland, and representing falsely on counter display cards widely distributed to jobbers and dealers that the watches were "Guaranteed".

Following acceptance of an agreement containing consent order, the hearing examiner made his initial decision and order to cease and desist which became on June 11, the decision of the Commission.

The order to cease and desist is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondent Saul Frisch, an individual trading as Sylvette Watch Co., or under any other name, and his agents, representatives and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the offering for sale, sale and distribution of merchandise in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Offering for sale or selling watches, the cases of which are composed of base metal, manufactured or otherwise processed to simulate or have the appearance of precious metal, without marking such cases so as to disclose clearly the true metal composition thereof;

2. Representing in any manner that respondent maintains an office and place of business in Switzerland;

3. Representing that merchandise is guaranteed, unless the nature and extent of the guarantee and the manner in which the guarantor will perform thereunder are clearly and conspicuously disclosed.

By "Decision of the Commission", etc., report of compliance was required as follows:

It is ordered, That Saul Frisch, an individual trading as Sylvette Watch Co., shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon him of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which he has complied with the order to cease and desist.

Issued: June 11, 1958.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT M. PARRISH,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6086; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:46 a. m.]

TITLE 6—AGRICULTURAL CREDIT

Chapter IV—Commodity Stabilization Service and Commodity Credit Corporation, Department of Agriculture

Subchapter B—Loans, Purchases, and Other Operations

[C. C. C. Rice Bulletin A, Amdt. 1]

PART 421—GRAINS AND RELATED COMMODITIES

SUBPART—1958 CROP RICE PRICE SUPPORT PROGRAM

DEFINITION OF "RICE ACREAGE"

The purpose of this amendment is to exclude from the definition of "rice acreage" certain acreages outside field border levees or inside of drainage ditch banks under certain conditions when such acreages cannot be harvested or destroyed by mechanical means.

Section 421.3328 (f) (definition of "rice acreage") is amended by striking out the word "and" immediately preceding the language "(5)", by changing the period at the end thereof to a comma, and by adding the following language: "and (6) any acreage seeded to rice outside of the field border levee where such levee is bounded by a fence or other barrier which would make it impossible to harvest or destroy the rice from such area by mechanical means, and any acreage seeded to rice inside of drainage ditch banks where the topography would make it impossible to harvest or destroy the rice from such acreage by mechanical means: *Provided*, That the seeding operations have been performed with an end gate seeder or by airplane."

(Sec. 4, 62, Stat. 1070 as amended; 15 U. S. C. 714b. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 62 Stat. 1072, secs. 401, 408, 63 Stat. 1054, 68 Stat. 904, sec. 125, 70 Stat. 198; 15 U. S. C. 714c, 7 U. S. C. 1421, 1423, 1374, 1813)

Issued this 1st day of August 1958.

[SEAL] MARVIN L. McLAIN,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6133; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:49 a. m.]

Subchapter D—Regulations Under Soil Bank Act
[Amdt. 22]

PART 485—SOIL BANK

SUBPART—CONSERVATION RESERVE PROGRAM

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

The regulations governing the conservation reserve part of the Soil Bank Program, 21 F. R. 6289, as amended, are hereby further amended as follows:

1. Section 485.156 (b) is amended by deleting the period at the end of the fifth sentence and adding the following: "except that the Administrator, CSS, may, in order to prevent undue hardship, authorize the county committee to accept such application or contract after the dates specified in this sentence if, because of misinformation or misunderstanding, the producer was under the

impression that he had filed such application or contract, or did not realize that he had to file such application or contract by the dates specified."

2. Section 485.165 (a) is amended by deleting the period at the end of the last sentence and adding the following: "except that the Administrator, CSS, may authorize payment of cost-shares upon application submitted after such date if, in his judgment, such action is necessary in order to prevent undue hardship."

3. Section 485.165 (b) is amended by deleting the period at the end of the last sentence and adding the following: "except that the Administrator, CSS, may authorize payment of the annual payment upon application after such date if, in his judgment, such action is necessary in order to prevent undue hardship."

4. Section 485.181 is deleted in its entirety.

(Sec. 124, 70 Stat. 198; 7 U. S. C. 1812)

Issued at Washington, D. C., this 1st day of August 1958.

[SEAL] MARVIN L. McLAIN,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6132; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:49 a. m.]

TITLE 46—SHIPPING

Chapter II—Federal Maritime Board, Maritime Administration, Department of Commerce

Subchapter B—Regulations Affecting Maritime Carriers and Related Activities

[Amdt. 3]

PART 221—DOCUMENTATION, TRANSFER OR CHARTER OF VESSELS

STATEMENT OF POLICY

Effective July 31, 1958, section I B of the Statement of Policy appearing as an Appendix to this part is amended as follows:

1. By deleting the second paragraph under the heading *Other criteria and conditions* and substituting therefor the following new paragraph:

The U. S. company which commits itself to construct a new vessel under this policy, shall be the owner, directly or indirectly through a U. S. parent or U. S. subsidiary or U. S. related company, of the U. S.-flag vessel which is approved for transfer to foreign ownership and registry. Such approval may not be assigned or in any manner transferred to another U. S. citizen without the approval of the Maritime Administrator, who shall be satisfied that the benefits of the transfer are invested in the new ship.

2. By deleting the third paragraph under said heading and substituting therefor the following new paragraphs:

In the event the new vessel is not constructed and documented under United States laws by the applicant or any U. S. subsidiary or affiliate of the applicant within the time limit prescribed, said corporation and the principal U. S. citizen stockholders thereof, jointly and severally, shall pay a stipulated sum to the United States, as liquidated damages and not as a penalty. The liquidated damages for failure to build are not payable unless the U. S. owner has defaulted in its commitment to build a U. S.

vessel and has transferred a U. S. vessel to foreign ownership and/or registry.

Where the new construction is to be financed under a plan which includes use of the proceeds from the sale or the net earnings derived from the mortgaging, chartering, operation, or from any source or arrangement whatsoever relating to the transferred vessel, and in order to assure the construction in a United States shipyard of the vessel constituting the replacement of the vessel(s) approved for transfer to foreign ownership and/or registry, the individual or corporation which obligates himself or itself to build the replacement vessel(s) shall agree that such proceeds shall be deposited by the aforesaid obligated individual or corporation in an escrow account in accordance with, and subject to, any and all terms and conditions prescribed by the Maritime Administration. The escrow account to be established, as aforesaid, shall be a controlled account and no withdrawals may be made therefrom without the prior written approval of the Maritime Administration. The terms and conditions governing the escrow account and the proceeds to be deposited therein will be prescribed by the Maritime Administration on a case-to-case basis depending on the nature of the transfer.

(Sec. 19, 41 Stat. 995, as amended, sec. 204, 49 Stat. 1987, as amended; 46 U. S. C. 876, 1114)

Dated: July 31, 1958.

[SEAL] CLARENCE G. MORSE,
Maritime Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6115; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:48 a. m.]

TITLE 47—TELECOMMUNICATION

Chapter I—Federal Communications Commission

[Rules Amdt. 9-21]

[Docket No. 10690; FCC 58-801]

PART 9—AVIATION SERVICES

POSTING STATION LICENSES AND TRANSMITTER IDENTIFICATION CARDS

In the matter of Amendment of Part 9 of the Commission's rules governing Aviation Services.

1. Notice of Proposed Rule Making in the above-entitled matter was released by the Commission on September 17, 1953 (18 F. R. 5666). That Notice, which proposed amendment of § 9.118 (b) to require that an aircraft radio station license "be prominently displayed in the aircraft," was intended to expedite inspection of aircraft radio stations by removing the alternative of keeping the license "at a convenient easily accessible location in the aircraft." Final action in this proceeding was withheld at the request of Aeronautical Radio, Inc. (ARINC) and various air carriers, pending Commission action on an earlier ARINC petition for rule amendment to provide for fleet licensing of air carrier aircraft radio stations. Fleet licensing in the Aviation Services has since been implemented by Commission action in Docket 10776.

2. Subsequently, ARINC filed a petition (February 4, 1957) for amendment of Part 9 to simplify authorization posting requirements for ground stations. The existing rules require that when a

ground station authorization covers transmitters as several locations, such authorization must be posted at one of the transmitter locations and a photographic copy thereof must be posted at all other transmitter locations. The ARINC petition requested that the rules be changed to require the posting of the authorization only at the principal control point of a station, thereby eliminating, at many installations, the necessity of preparing and posting large numbers of photocopies. Inasmuch as the ARINC petition related to station authorization posting requirements, the captioned proceeding was enlarged on September 27, 1957, by Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making (22 F. R. 7801) to encompass the added ground station license posting issue presented by that petition.

3. In response to the Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, comments were filed by the National Business Aircraft Association, Inc. (NBAA) and by ARINC.

4. Neither respondent opposed the simplification of authorization posting requirements for ground stations in the manner proposed; such simplification was, in fact, fully supported by ARINC as satisfying its petition of February 4, 1957. In this connection, there are now approximately 1900 remotely controlled transmitters licensed to ARINC alone, and the number of license modifications issued annually by the Commission for some gateway stations approaches twenty. Elimination of the present requirement that a photocopy of the station authorization be posted at each transmitter site will, as attested by ARINC, appreciably reduce the burden on ground station licensees arising from frequent license modifications. The Commission finds that this improvement can be accomplished without impeding, in any way, the effectiveness of the field inspection program. Accordingly, the ground station license posting requirements adopted herein are unchanged from the Commission's Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making.

5. The remaining proposal that "the current authorization for an aircraft station shall be prominently displayed within the aircraft . . ." was opposed by both NBAA and ARINC. NBAA argued that cockpit and cabin space limitations make this proposal objectionable when applied to some types of business aircraft. ARINC objected to this proposal on the same grounds. While conceding that air carriers could comply with this requirement if given sufficient lead time to accomplish the necessary cockpit alterations during scheduled overhaul periods, ARINC alleged that private aircraft operators subscribing to its service would suffer particular hardship in view of the previously mentioned space limitations. In support of this contention, the ARINC comment was accompanied by an attachment summarizing the views of its business aircraft subscribers.

6. In passing on the merits of the proposed aircraft license posting requirement, its value to the Commission's field inspection program must be weighed against the undue hardship alleged by

the user public. Inasmuch as an aircraft must be entered in order to carry out a satisfactory inspection, the Commission is basically concerned with the accessibility of the license to an inspector once entry has been made. In this connection, there have been numerous instances in which the license was neither posted nor found among the aircraft's papers.

7. It is believed that the efficiency of aircraft inspection can be improved, while at the same time meeting the above-described objections by requiring that aircraft radio station licenses either be posted or kept with the aircraft registration certificate. This certificate must, in accordance with CAA regulations, be carried aboard the aircraft at all times (14 CFR 501.8). The language of § 9.118 (b) has been modified accordingly.

8. In view of the foregoing, *It is ordered*, Pursuant to sections 303 (n) and (r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, that, effective September 8, 1958, Part 9 of the Commission's rules be amended as set forth below; and

9. *It is further ordered*, That the proceedings in Docket No. 10690 are hereby terminated.

(Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066, as amended; 47 U. S. C. 154. Interprets or applies sec. 303, 48 Stat. 1062, as amended; 47 U. S. C. 303)

Adopted: July 31, 1958.

Released: August 1, 1958.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] GORDON J. KENT,
Acting Secretary.

Amend Part 9—Aviation Services, as indicated below: Amend paragraphs (a) and (b) of § 9.118 to read as follows:

§ 9.118 *Posting station licenses and transmitter identification cards.* (a) The current authorization for each station at a fixed location shall be prominently displayed at the principal control point of the transmitter or transmitters.

(b) The current authorization for an aircraft radio station shall be posted prominently in the aircraft or shall be kept with the aircraft registration certificate. In the case of air carriers licensed by means of a single authorization for the operation of all fleet aircraft, the original authorization, or photocopy thereof, shall be posted prominently in the aircraft or shall be kept with the aircraft registration certificate.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6123; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958; 8:48 a. m.]

TITLE 29—LABOR

Chapter V—Wage and Hour Division, Department of Labor

PART 609—WOMEN'S AND CHILDREN'S UNDERWEAR AND WOMEN'S BLOUSE AND NECKWEAR INDUSTRY IN PUERTO RICO

WAGE ORDER GIVING EFFECT TO RECOMMENDATIONS

Pursuant to section 5 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended (52 Stat. 1062, as amended; 29 U. S. C. 205),

the Secretary of Labor by Administrative Order No. 508 (23 F. R. 4036), as amended by Administrative Order No. 511 (23 F. R. 5215), appointed, convened, and gave notice of the hearing of Industry Committee No. 40-B to recommend the minimum wage rate or rates to be paid under section 6 of that Act (52 Stat. 1062, as amended; 29 U. S. C. 206) to employees in the women's and children's underwear and women's blouse and neckwear industry in Puerto Rico who are engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce.

Subsequent to an investigation and a hearing conducted pursuant to the notice, the committee filed with the Administrator a report containing its findings and recommendations with respect to the matters referred to it.

Accordingly, as authorized and required by section 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended (52 Stat. 1064, as amended; 29 U. S. C. 208), Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950 (64 Stat. 1263; 3 CFR, 1950 Supp., p. 165), and General Order No. 45-A of the Secretary of Labor (15 F. R. 3290), the recommendations of the committee are hereby published in this order amending the Code of Federal Regulations. Effective August 22, 1958, 29 CFR Part 609 is amended to read as follows:

Sec.
609.1 Definition of the industry.
609.2 Wage rates.
609.3 Notices.

AUTHORITY: §§ 609.1 to 609.3 issued under sec. 8, 52 Stat. 1064, as amended; 29 U. S. C. 208. Interpret or apply sec. 6, 52 Stat. 1062, as amended; 29 U. S. C. 206.

§ 609.1 *Definition of the industry.* The knitting, or manufacture from woven or knit fabric, of women's, misses', girls', boys' size 6x or under, and infants' underwear and nightwear, including, but not by way of limitation, slips, petticoats, nightgowns, negligees, panties, undershirts, briefs, shorts, pajamas, sleepers, and similar articles; and the manufacture of women's and misses' blouses, shirts, waists, neckwear (including collar and cuff sets), and scarves (except square scarves): *Provided, however*, That the industry shall not include any product or activities included in the corsets, brassieres, and allied garments industry in Puerto Rico (29 CFR Part 614); or the outlining or embroidery of lace by ma-

chine or the embroidery of any article or trimming by a crochet beading process or with bullion thread.

§ 609.2 *Wage rates.* (a) Wages at a rate of not less than 48 cents an hour shall be paid under section 6 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 by every employer to each of his employees in the women's and children's underwear and women's blouse and neckwear industry in Puerto Rico who is engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce and who is engaged in the hand-sewing classification, which is defined as the operations of hand-sewing, hand-embroidering, hand-embellishing, ornamental stitching, and similar operations involving decorative effects: *Provided, however*, That mending, repairing, sewing of labels, tacking, and similar operations on articles which are wholly machine-sewn or machine-knit shall not be included.

(b) Wages at a rate of not less than 70 cents an hour shall be paid under section 6 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 by every employer to each of his employees in the women's and children's underwear and women's blouse and neckwear industry in Puerto Rico who is engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce and who is engaged in the other operations classification, which is defined as all operations in the women's and children's underwear and women's blouse and neckwear industry in Puerto Rico other than those operations in the hand-sewing classification of this industry.

§ 609.3 *Notices.* Every employer subject to the provisions of § 609.2 shall post in a conspicuous place in each department of his establishment where employees subject to the provisions of § 609.2 are working such notices of this part as shall be prescribed from time to time by the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the United States Department of Labor and shall give such other notice as the Administrator may prescribe.

Signed at Washington, D. C., this 1st day of August 1958.

CLARENCE T. LUNDQUIST,
Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6142; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958; 8:50 a. m.]

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Mines

[30 CFR Part 25]

[Bureau of Mines Schedule 16D]

MULTIPLE-SHOT BLASTING UNITS

PROPOSED REVISION OF PROCEDURES FOR TESTING AND APPROVAL

Pursuant to section 4 (a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (60 Stat. 238; 5 U. S. C. 1003), notice is hereby given

that under authority contained in sec. 5, 36 Stat. 370, as amended, 30 U. S. C. 7; and sec. 1, 66 Stat. 709, 30 U. S. C. 482 (a); it is proposed to revise the regulations in Part 25, Title 30, Code of Federal Regulations, as set forth below.

The principal revisions are the inclusion of definitions and specifications to provide for the testing and approval of multiple-shot blasting units that are capable of detonating not more than twenty (20) short-delay electric detonators with a single application of electrical energy. The existing regulations

provide for the testing and approval of multiple-shot blasting units that are capable of detonating not more than ten (10) short-delay electric detonators with a single application of electrical energy. Recent developments in underground mining operations have made it desirable, under certain circumstances, to provide for greater capacity multiple-shot blasting units that may be used safely in gassy coal mines. The proposed revisions are designed to meet the blasting requirements for such operations.

Interested persons may submit, in triplicate, written comments, suggestions, or objections with respect to the proposed revisions to the Director, Bureau of Mines, Washington 25, D. C., within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

MARLING J. ANKENY,
Director,
Bureau of Mines.

Approved: July 30, 1958.

FRED A. SEATON,
Secretary of the Interior.

I. The present text of 30 CFR Part 25 is designated "Subpart A—General Provisions," in which the following revisions are made:

(1) Paragraph (d) of § 25.2 *Definitions* is amended to read as follows:

(d) "Multiple-shot blasting unit" means a blasting unit capable of detonating short-delay electric detonators, as further defined in § 25.20 or § 25.25.

(2) Paragraphs (i) and (j) of § 25.7 *Specifications; all types of units* are amended to read as follows:

(i) The energy applied to the firing circuit by the blasting unit shall be as stated in § 25.21 (a) or § 25.26 (a).

(j) The difference of potential at the terminals of the blasting unit shall be as stated in § 25.21 (b) or § 25.26 (b).

II. Subparts B and C are added.

As so revised, Part 25 reads as follows:

Subpart A—General Provisions

- Sec. 25.1 Purpose.
- 25.2 Definitions.
- 25.3 Consultation.
- 25.4 Fees for investigation.
- 25.5 Tests and investigations.
- 25.6 Applications.
- 25.7 Specifications; all types of units.
- 25.8 Specifications; particular types of units.
- 25.9 Conduct of investigations and demonstrations.
- 25.10 Certificate of approval.
- 25.11 Approval plate.
- 25.12 Changes after approval.
- 25.13 Withdrawal of approval.

Subpart B—Blasting Units Capable of Detonating 10 Short-Delay Electric Detonators

- 25.20 Definition.
- 25.21 Specifications.

Subpart C—Blasting Units Capable of Detonating 20 Short-Delay Electric Detonators

- 25.25 Definition.
- 25.26 Specifications.

AUTHORITY: §§ 25.1 to 25.26 issued under sec. 5, 36 Stat. 370, as amended, sec. 212, 66 Stat. 709; 30 U. S. C. 7, 482. Interpret or

apply secs. 2, 3, 36 Stat. 370, as amended, secs. 201, 209, 66 Stat. 692, 703; 30 U. S. C. 3, 5, 471, 479.

SUBPART A—GENERAL PROVISIONS

§ 25.1 *Purpose.* The regulations in this part set forth the specifications and requirements for multiple-shot blasting units to procure their approval on certification as permissible for use in coal mines; procedures for applying for such certification; and fees.

§ 25.2 *Definitions.* As used in this part—

(a) "Permissible," as applied to a multiple-shot blasting unit, means that the unit conforms to the specifications and requirements of this part, and that a certificate of approval to that effect has been issued.

(b) "Certificate of approval" means a formal document issued by the Bureau stating that the unit has met the specifications and requirements in this part and authorizing the use and attachment of an official approval plate.

(c) "Blasting unit" means an apparatus for detonating high explosives by applying electric current to electric detonators.

(d) "Multiple-shot blasting unit" means a blasting unit capable of detonating short-delay electric detonators, as further defined in § 25.20 or § 25.25.

(e) "Short-delay electric detonator" means a delay-type detonator (blasting cap) the delay periods of which range in nominal value from 25 to 500 milliseconds, and which will initiate (detonate) multiple charges of high explosives in succession with one application of the firing current.

(f) "Bureau" means the United States Bureau of Mines.

(g) "Applicant" means an individual, partnership, company, corporation, association, or other organization that designs, manufactures, or assembles, and that seeks a certificate of approval or preliminary testing of, a multiple-shot blasting unit.

§ 25.3 *Consultation.* By appointment, applicants or their representatives may visit the Bureau's Central Experiment Station, 4800 Forbes Avenue, Pittsburgh 13, Pennsylvania, to discuss with qualified Bureau representatives proposed designs of equipment to be submitted in accordance with the requirements of the regulations of this part. No charge is made for such consultation.

§ 25.4 *Fees for investigation.* (a) The fee for a complete investigation of a multiple-shot blasting unit is \$250.

(b) The full fee must accompany an application for retesting a unit which has been previously tested and disapproved; but if less work is involved than for a complete investigation, the charge will be in proportion to the work done, and any surplus will be refunded to the applicant.

(c) The fee for tests covering only part of a complete investigation, such as to assist an applicant in developing a unit, will be charged according to the work involved and will be in proportion to that charged for a complete investigation. A fee for such tests shall be determined in advance by the Bureau and the applicant notified accordingly in writing.

(d) Ordinarily a fee is not charged for an application covering an extension of approval that does not require test work. Each case, however, will be considered individually, and if a fee is required, the applicant will be notified accordingly, and the fee must be paid in advance before the investigation will be undertaken.

§ 25.5 *Tests and investigations.* Unless the application states otherwise, it will be presumed that a complete investigation for certification is desired. However, the application may be expressly limited to some element or phase less than a complete investigation; and in any case if the tests at any stage indicate that the unit does not conform to the specifications in this part, the Bureau may treat the application as one for a partial investigation up to that point. Complete investigation for certification will not be undertaken unless the unit has been fully developed, is ready to be marketed, and is submitted completely assembled including parts, connectors, and all related materials.

§ 25.6 *Applications.* (a) No investigation or testing will be undertaken by the Bureau except pursuant to a written application, in duplicate, accompanied by a check, bank draft, or money order, payable to the United States Bureau of Mines, to cover the fees, and all prescribed drawings, specifications, and related material. The application and all related matters and all correspondence concerning it shall be sent to the Central Experiment Station, Bureau of Mines, 4800 Forbes Avenue, Pittsburgh 13, Pennsylvania, Attention: Chief, Branch of Electrical-Mechanical Testing.

(b) The unit to be tested may be shipped (charges prepaid) at the same time the application is submitted, or at the option of the applicant shipment of the unit may be deferred until the Bureau has notified the applicant that the application will be accepted.

(c) Drawings and specifications shall be adequate in number and detail to identify fully the design of the unit and to disclose its materials, detailed dimensions of all parts, and wiring diagram. Drawings must be numbered and dated to insure accurate identification and reference to records, and must show the latest revision. Specifications must be given for materials, components, and subassemblies.

§ 25.7 *Specifications; all types of units.* (a) The Bureau will not test or investigate any unit that in its opinion is not constructed of suitable materials, that evidences faulty workmanship, or that is not designed upon sound engineering principles. In addition to any specifications or requirements imposed by the regulations in this part, the Bureau may impose such further specifications or requirements as in its opinion are necessary or proper to investigate or test the particular device submitted.

(b) Any unit that satisfies all of the requirements of this part may be certified as permissible.

(c) Adequacy of design and construction will be determined in connection with the following factors: Kind and durability of materials, test of active

parts, resistance to moisture, drop test, insulation measurements, durability of construction, practicality in operation, suitability for underground service, and performance characteristics during the investigation.

(d) The unit must not ignite explosive gas-air mixtures or cause misfires or premature firing under any condition of use.

(e) The firing operation must be accomplished by a removable key or other acceptable means to prevent accidental or premature firing.

(f) A suitable means, incorporated as an integral part of the blasting unit, shall be provided to indicate, before each round of shots is fired, the ability of the unit to meet the average current and energy requirements, as stated in paragraphs (h) and (i) of this section.

(g) The voltage must be cut off or be reduced within 15 milliseconds (0.015 second) after the firing contact is made to such a value that no incendive spark (an electric spark of sufficient intensity to ignite flammable methane-air mixtures) can result from accidental post-firing contact of wires in the firing circuit.

(h) The average current produced by the unit shall be not less than 1.5 amperes, based on a 5-millisecond application to the bridgewire of the short-delay electric detonator.

(i) The energy applied to the firing circuit of the blasting unit shall be as stated in § 25.21 (a) or § 25.26 (a).

(j) The difference of potential at the terminals of the blasting unit shall be as stated in § 25.21 (b) or § 25.26 (b).

(k) Terminals of the unit for connecting the firing (blasting) cable shall be well insulated, without exposed parts that can become "alive" when energized.

(l) The housing for the unit shall be sealed to prevent tampering with the contents, and mechanically strong for mine service.

§ 25.8 *Specifications; particular types of units.* (a) Generator type: The energy output shall not depend upon the physical effort of an operator of the blasting unit.

(b) Generator or battery, condenser-discharge type:

(1) Condensers must be capable of withstanding 25,000 charge and discharge cycles at the normal rate specified by the applicant.

(2) An automatic means shall be provided to insure that no residual electrical charge will remain in the condenser(s) when the blasting unit is not in use.

(3) Firing shall not occur automatically upon operation of the device provided for charging the condenser(s) but must be accomplished by a separate manual operation.

(4) If battery-powered, the unit shall be so designed and constructed that the battery can be replaced without disturbing or damaging other electrical components.

§ 25.9 *Conduct of investigations and demonstrations.* (a) Prior to the issuance of a certificate of approval, only Bureau personnel, representatives of the applicant, and such other persons as

may be mutually agreed upon, may observe the investigations or tests. After the issuance of a certificate of approval, the Bureau may conduct such public demonstrations and tests of the approved unit as it sees fit. The conduct of all investigations, tests, and demonstrations shall be under the sole direction and control of the Bureau, and any other persons shall be present only as observers. The Bureau shall hold as confidential and shall not disclose the results of chemical analyses of material or the contents of the application and its accompanying drawings, specifications, and related material.

§ 25.10 *Certificate of approval.* (a) Upon the completion of the investigation the Bureau shall issue to the applicant either a certificate of approval or a written notice of disapproval. If a certificate of approval is issued, no test data or detailed results of the test will accompany it. If the unit is disapproved, the notice of disapproval will be accompanied by details of the defects, with a view to possible correction. The Bureau will hold as confidential the results of tests of units that are disapproved.

(b) Only formal written certificates of approval or notices of disapproval will be given.

(c) A certificate of approval will be accompanied by a list of the drawings and specifications covering the details of design and construction upon which the approval is based, and with the official approval number marked thereon. Applicants shall keep exact duplicates of the drawings and specifications that have been submitted to the Bureau and that relate to any unit which has received a certificate of approval, and these are to be adhered to exactly in production of the approved unit for commercial purposes.

§ 25.11 *Approval plate.* (a) A certificate of approval will be accompanied by a photograph of a design for an approval plate, bearing the seal of the Bureau, space for the approval number, the type, the serial number, the class of unit to which the approval relates, and the name of the applicant. When necessary, an appropriate statement of the precautions to be observed in maintaining the unit in an approved condition shall be added.

(b) The applicant shall reproduce the design either as a separate plate or by stamping or molding it in some suitable place on each unit to which it relates. The size, type, method of attaching and location of approval plates are subject to the approval of the Bureau. The method of affixing the plate shall not impair the explosion-proof features of any enclosure.

(c) The approval plate identifies the unit to which it is attached as permissible, and is the applicant's guarantee that the unit complies with the specifications and requirements in this part. Without an approval plate, no unit has the status of "permissible" under the provisions of this part.

(d) Use of the approval plate obligates the applicant to maintain the quality of each unit bearing it and guarantees that

it is manufactured and assembled according to the drawings and specifications upon which a certificate of approval is based. Use of the approval plate is not authorized except on units that conform strictly with the drawings and specifications upon which the certificate of approval is based.

§ 25.12 *Changes after approval.* If an applicant desires to change any feature of an approved unit and still have it covered by an existing certificate of approval, he shall first obtain the Bureau's approval of the change, pursuant to the following procedures:

(a) Application shall be made, as for an original certification, requesting that the existing certificate of approval be extended to cover the proposed change. The application shall be accompanied by drawings and specifications and related material as in the case of an original application.

(b) The application will be examined by the Bureau to determine whether inspection and testing of the modified unit or any part thereof will be required. Generally, inspection and testing will be necessary if there is a possibility that the modification may affect adversely the performance of the unit. The Bureau will inform the applicant whether such inspection and testing is required, the parts or materials to be submitted for that purpose, and the fee required.

(c) If the proposed modification meets the requirements and specifications of this part, a formal "extension of approval" will be issued accompanied by a list of new and corrected drawings and specifications to be added to those already on file as the basis for the certificate of approval.

§ 25.13 *Withdrawal of approval.* The Bureau reserves the right to rescind, for cause, at any time, any approval granted under this part.

SUBPART B—BLASTING UNITS CAPABLE OF DETONATING 10 SHORT-DELAY ELECTRIC DETONATORS

§ 25.20 *Definition.* As used in this subpart: "Multiple-shot blasting unit" means that the unit is capable of consistently detonating not to exceed ten (10) short-delay electric detonators with a single application of electrical energy to the firing circuit, with the detonators connected in series, and with a total firing-circuit resistance of not less than 125 ohms.

§ 25.21 *Specifications.* (a) The electrical energy applied to the firing circuit by the multiple-shot blasting unit shall be not less than 1.4 watt-seconds under any condition of use.

(b) The difference of potential at the terminals of the multiple-shot blasting unit shall not exceed 375 volts.

SUBPART C—BLASTING UNITS CAPABLE OF DETONATING 20 SHORT-DELAY ELECTRIC DETONATORS

§ 25.25 *Definition.* As used in this subpart—(a) "Multiple-shot blasting unit" means that the unit is capable of consistently detonating not to exceed twenty (20) short-delay electric detonators with a single application of electrical

energy to the firing circuit, with the detonators connected in series, and with a total firing-circuit resistance of not less than 150 ohms.

§ 25.26 *Specifications.* (a) The electrical energy applied to the firing circuit by the multiple-shot blasting unit shall be not less than 1.7 watt-seconds under any condition of use.

(b) The difference of potential at the terminals of the multiple-shot blasting unit shall not exceed 400 volts.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6020; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958; 8:46 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 903]

[Docket No. AO-10-A22]

HANDLING OF MILK IN ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI, MARKETING AREA

DECISION TERMINATING PROCEEDING WITH RESPECT TO PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO TENTATIVE MARKETING AGREEMENT AND ORDER

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure as amended, governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held at St. Louis, Missouri, on March 12-15, 1957, pursuant to notice thereof issued on March 1, 1957 (22 F. R. 1439). The Deputy Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service, on September 12, 1957 (22 F. R. 7398) filed with the Hearing Clerk, United States Department of Agriculture, his recommended decision containing notice of the opportunity to file written exceptions thereto.

The Department has now been advised by Sanitary Milk Producers and Producers Creamery Company (representing the majority of producers in the market), which made the original request for a hearing on proposed amendments to the marketing order regulating handling of milk in the St. Louis, Missouri, marketing area, that conditions in the market have changed since the hearing closed and the associations no longer believe that the proposed amendments to the order are necessary at this time.

In view of this substantial change in the material facts to be considered, it is concluded that no action relative to the proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and order should be taken on the basis of the existing public hearing record, and the proceeding is hereby terminated.

This decision filed at Washington, D. C., this 31st day of July 1958.

[SEAL] DON PAARLBERG,
Assistant Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6093; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958; 8:46 a. m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[47 CFR Part 9]

[Docket No. 12574; FCC 58-800]

AVIATION SERVICES

NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE MAKING

In the matter of amendment of § 9.412, Part 9—Aviation Services, to provide for the exemption of Airdrome Control Stations from the maintenance of a listening watch on the frequency 3023.5 kc.

1. Notice is hereby given of proposed rule making in the above-entitled matter.

2. A recent request for exemption from the 3023.5 kc. listening watch as required by § 9.412 (b) (2) of the Commission's rules has been received from the Hughes Aircraft Co., Culver City, California. The circumstances in this case, together with the general trend toward the use of VHF for air/ground communications, make it appear desirable specifically to provide in the rules for the granting of such exemptions where it can be demonstrated to the Commission's satisfaction that discontinuance of such listening watch will not jeopardize the safety of life and property in the air. Accordingly, the Commission is proposing at this time to amend § 9.412 (b) (2) of the rules as shown below.

3. The proposed amendment is issued under authority of sections 303 (b) and 303 (r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

4. Any interested person who is of the opinion that the proposed amendment should not be adopted, or should not be adopted in the form set forth herein, may file with the Commission on or before September 11, 1958, written data, views, or arguments setting forth his comments. Comments in support of the proposed amendments may also be filed on or before the same date. Rebuttal comments may be filed within 10 days from the last day for filing of original comments. No additional comments may be filed unless (1) specifically requested by the Commission, or (2) good cause for the filing of such additional comments is established. The Commission will consider all such comments prior to taking final action in this matter, and if comments are submitted warranting oral argument, notice of the time and place of such oral argument will be given.

5. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.54 of the Commission's rules, an original and 14 copies of all statements, briefs, or comments shall be furnished the Commission.

Adopted: July 31, 1958.

Released: August 1, 1958.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] GORDON J. KENT,
Acting Secretary.

Amend Part 9—Aviation Services, as indicated below: Amend § 9.412 (b) (2) to read as follows:

(2) *High frequency.* 3023.5 kc. Upon application therefor, the Commission

may exempt any station from the requirement to maintain listening watch on this frequency when a showing is made that such service is not required for the preservation of life and property in the air.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6125; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958; 8:48 a. m.]

[47 CFR Parts 31, 34, 35]

[Docket No. 12568; FCC 58-782]

ACCOUNTING FOR CERTAIN OF AMOUNTS CHARGED CUSTOMERS UPON TERMINATION OF SERVICE

NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE MAKING

In the matter of amendment of Parts 31, 34, and 35 of the Commission's rules with respect to the accounting for certain of the amounts charged customers upon the termination of service.

1. American Telephone and Telegraph Company, on behalf of itself and the Bell System companies, by letter dated May 23, 1958, has requested the Commission to amend Part 31 of its rules (Uniform System of Accounts for Class A and Class B Telephone Companies) with respect to the accounting for amounts received at the termination of service when such amounts are designed to cover the loss of investment in the particular case.

2. Paragraph (b) (1) of § 31.5-50, "Purpose of operating revenue accounts," of the Commission's rules provides that the operating revenue accounts shall be credited with all amounts charged for termination of service. American Telephone and Telegraph Company believes that this provision gives a proper result in most situations, but not in all. A. T. & T. gives as an example of a case where it believes the provision does not give a proper result the special situations that arise where the rendering of service requires special construction of facilities for a customer and there is no other expected future use for the facilities so constructed. In such cases, if service is terminated within a specified time, a charge is made to the customer which may be designed to recover the loss of investment suffered in the particular case. A. T. & T. believes that such charges are somewhat comparable to the reimbursements received for losses in connection with plant relocations, as covered in the Commission's case 18-R-1 appended to Part 31 of its rules. Accordingly, A. T. & T. requests that this type of termination charge should be required to be credited to the depreciation reserve rather than to the revenue accounts.

3. A. T. & T. suggests that its requested change in accounting be given effect by adding after paragraph (b) (1) of § 31.5-50 "Note: Amounts which are designed to recover the loss of investment in the particular case at the termination of service shall be credited to Account 171, Depreciation Reserve. See Note under paragraph (b) of Account 171." A. T. & T. also proposes adding a note under paragraph (b) of § 31.171, "Depreciation

Reserve," reading "Note: Account 171 shall be credited with amounts received at the termination of service when such amounts are designed to recover the loss of investment in the particular case."

4. The Note to paragraph (b) of § 31.2-20, "Purpose of telephone plant accounts," provides that amounts received for construction which are ultimately to be repaid wholly or in part shall be credited to the accounts charged with the cost of such construction to the extent that they are not refunded. A. T. & T. makes mention of this Note and states that it does not believe there is a conflict between its proposed changes and the Note since it interprets the latter as being limited in its application to initial nonrecurring charges, and therefore not applicable to termination charges. It is to be observed, however, that the amounts received for construction are credited to the plant accounts while A. T. & T. proposes that termination charges, which are also in reimbursement of construction expenditures, be credited to the depreciation reserve.

5. A. T. & T. requests that in the event of favorable action on its proposal, the Commission's order include permission for the companies to make the change effective as soon after date of the order as is desirable, without waiting for the mandatory date specified in the order.

6. It appears that The Western Union Telegraph Company in its land line operations may be making termination charges in situations practically identical to those envisaged by A. T. & T. in its proposal. Consequently, it appears that it may be desirable to make changes in Part 35 of the Commission's rules (Uniform System of Accounts for Wire-telegraph and Ocean-cable Carriers) comparable to any ordered in the telephone system of accounts. Also, since Part 34 of the Commission's rules (Uniform System of Accounts for Radiotelegraph Carriers) generally runs parallel to Part 35 and thought is being given to their eventual consolidation, it appears that any amendment made in Part 35 should also be made in Part 34. It is not believed necessary that any changes be made in Part 33 of the Commission's rules (Uniform System of Accounts for Class C Telephone Companies) because it is thought that any telephone company making termination charges of the type under consideration would not be using Part 33. As may be inferred from the foregoing, Parts 33, 34, and 35 of the Commission's rules, in common with Part 31, all provide that termination charges shall be credited to the revenue accounts.

7. The Commission believes that its systems of accounts are in need of amendment with respect to the accounting for the type of termination charges described by A. T. & T. The rules as presently written require the crediting to operating revenues of termination charges designed to recover the loss of investment suffered because there is no other use for the facilities released and they were released before the expiration of any reasonable service life that could

have been foreseen and provided for through depreciation accruals. This results in "bulges" in revenues with no corresponding nonrecurring increases in expenses because the premature retirements of plant are normally absorbed in the depreciation reserve. The result might be a significant mismatching of revenues and expenses. A. T. & T. would correct this situation by crediting the termination charges to the depreciation reserve rather than to revenues.

8. As a matter of editorial form, the substantive content of the Notes which A. T. & T. suggests adding after §§ 31.5-50 (b) (1) and 31.171 (b) might be incorporated in the sub-paragraph and paragraph, respectively, of the two Sections. If the A. T. & T. approach is finally adopted, the Commission will decide which editorial course is to be followed and will give consideration to any comments submitted in this connection.

9. The Commission is taking no position on the A. T. & T. proposal other than that it agrees with A. T. & T. that accounting revisions are needed. There is, however, another method of accomplishing the A. T. & T. objective which the Commission believes has sufficient merit that interested parties should be invited to comment upon it as well as upon the A. T. & T. proposal. The alternative method is to add a sentence to the text of § 31.609, "Extraordinary retirements," reading "It shall also include the loss of investment recovered through amounts designed to accomplish such recovery received at the termination of service." A sentence should be added to § 31.02-83, "Plant retired for causes not factors in depreciation," reading "Account 609 shall also be charged, and Account 171 concurrently credited, with the loss of investment recovered through amounts designed to accomplish such recovery received at the termination of service." It can be argued that the plant retirements compensated for by these termination charges are closely akin to and in effect merely an extension of the extraordinary retirements contemplated by § 31.609 as now written. There is no escaping the fact that termination charges are charges for utility service from the standpoint of the customer. It would be possible to avoid termination charges through the use of or increasing the amount of initial nonrecurring installation charges and higher regularly recurring service charges. All of the latter are credited to revenues in all our systems of accounts and most of the former are credited to revenues in the telephone system of accounts and all to revenues in the telegraph systems of accounts.

10. Question arises whether or not the general rule should be that all charges for service be credited to revenues. Should differences in rate structure be permitted to result in different accounting to the extent that, if reimbursements for plant losses suffered from early cancellation of service are through initial nonrecurring charges and regularly recurring charges, they find their way into operating revenues but, if such reimbursements are received in lump sums

through termination charges, they shall be credited to depreciation reserve? Is an income statement prepared from accounts wherein some charges to customers are excluded from the income accounts more or less useful than an income statement prepared from accounts wherein the maximum number of transactions with customers is reflected in the income accounts? The Commission is taking no position on these questions at this point but would welcome the views of persons who believe that the merits of one course clearly outweigh those of the other.

11. Sections 34.4925 and 35.4925 of our rules, each entitled "Extraordinary Plant Losses," are comparable to § 31.609 of the rules. If the alternative presented for comment were adopted, it is contemplated that these sections would be amended by the addition of the same sentence added to § 31.609. The substance of the sentence added to § 31.02-83 would be added to paragraph (b) of § 34.04-4, "Plant retired for causes not known to be in current operation," and to § 35.04-4, "Extraordinary retirements." Similarly, as noted in paragraph 6 above, if the A. T. & T. proposal is adopted, it is contemplated that like language would be added to, or noted under, paragraph (b) (1) of §§ 34.31-2 and 35.31-2, each of which sections relate to "Basis of credits to operating revenue accounts," and paragraph (a) of §§ 34.1515 and 35.1515, each of which sections is what is popularly known as the depreciation reserve account.

12. The language suggested by A. T. & T. for its "Capital Accounting" handling of termination charges has also been used in the alternative possibility of "Revenue and Expense Accounting" handling of such charges. The Commission is not satisfied that the language proposed by A. T. & T. cannot be improved upon by being made more explicit and desires suggestions to this end. The "significant mismatching of revenues and expenses" mentioned in paragraph 7 above as being the situation sought to be avoided probably results from the larger termination charges, first, because they are large and, second, because they are on large installations of types relatively few in number in the plant so that they do not recur sufficiently frequently and at regular enough intervals so that by the law of averages depreciation expense on the plant as a whole can match the termination charge revenues. It should be added that regular depreciation accrual rates probably do not comprehend the extraordinary retirements in these relatively few large plant installations so that the depreciation reserve deserves some "sweetening" by one accounting device or another when sudden unforeseen cancellations of service occur. This reasoning leads to the thought that special accounting for termination charges might be resorted to only on the large transactions and that a minimum amount might be written into the accounting rules. Thus the A. T. & T. suggested language might be supplemented to read "Account 171 shall be credited with amounts exceeding

\$10,000 received at the termination of service when such amounts are designed to recover the loss of investment in the particular case."

13. This Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued under authority of sections 4 (i) and 220 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

14. Any interested party who is of the opinion that neither of the proposed amendments should be adopted, or should not be adopted in either of the forms proposed herein, may file with the Commission on or before September 15, 1958, a statement or brief setting forth his comments. Comments in support of

one of the proposed amendments and those suggesting revised wording may also be filed on or before the same date. Comments or briefs in reply to the original comments or briefs may be filed within 20 days of the last day for filing said original comments or briefs. No additional comments may be filed unless (1) specifically requested by the Commission, or (2) good cause for filing such additional comments is established. The Commission will consider all such comments that are presented before taking action in the matter and, if any comments are submitted which appear to warrant the holding of oral argument,

notice of the time and place of such oral argument will be given.

15. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.764 of the Commission's rules and regulations, an original and fourteen copies of all statements or briefs filed shall be furnished to the Commission.

Adopted: July 31, 1958.

Released: August 1, 1958.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] GORDON J. KENT,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6124; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:48 a. m.]

NOTICES

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Customs

[T. D. 54654]

[Customs Delegation Order No. 1,
Rev., Amdt.]

OFFICERS OF THE BUREAU OF CUSTOMS

ORDER WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN
DECISIONS AND FUNCTIONS

JULY 30, 1958.

Pursuant to Treasury Department Order No. 165, Revised (T. D. 53654; 19 F. R. 7241), Customs Delegation Order No. 1, Revised (T. D. 53694; 19 F. R. 8756) as amended by T. D. 53914 (20 F. R. 7554) is hereby further amended by adding a new subparagraph (d) to paragraph 1, reading as follows:

(d) Deputy Commissioner, Division of Management and Controls

(1) Decisions on requests for permission for scheduled aircraft to land elsewhere than at an international airport of entry.

[SEAL]

RALPH KELLY,
Commissioner of Customs.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6131; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:49 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[Classification No. 41]

ANCHORAGE ALASKA LAND DISTRICT

SMALL TRACT CLASSIFICATION, REVOCATION

Effective August 13, 1958, Federal Register Document 51-7935 appearing in the issue for July 10, 1951, is revoked in its entirety including the following described public lands:

SEWARD MERIDIAN

T. 19 N., R. 4 W.,

Section 28: Lots 4, 5, 6, 7.

Containing 115.10 acres.

L. T. MAIN,
Operations Supervisor,
Anchorage.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6016; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:45 a. m.]

COLORADO

NOTICE OF PROPOSED WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION OF LANDS

JULY 28, 1958.

The U. S. Forest Service of the Department of Agriculture has filed an application, Serial Colorado 021326, for withdrawal of the lands described below from location and entry under the General Mining Laws, subject to existing valid claims.

The applicant desires the land for use as an administrative site.

For a period of thirty days from the date of publication of this notice, persons having cause may present their objections in writing to the undersigned official of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 339 New Custom House, P. O. Box 1018, Denver 1, Colo.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, COLORADO

GUNNISON NATIONAL FOREST

Ropers Administrative Site

T. 51 N., R. 1 E.,

Sec. 33, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

The above area aggregates 50 acres.

J. ELLIOTT HALL,
Lands and Minerals Officer.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6017; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:45 a. m.]

COLORADO

NOTICE OF PROPOSED WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION OF LANDS

JULY 28, 1958.

The U. S. Forest Service of the Department of Agriculture has filed an application, Serial Colorado 021251, for withdrawal of the lands described below from

location and entry under the General Mining Laws, subject to existing valid claims.

The applicant desires the land for use as a Natural area.

For a period of thirty days from the date of publication of this notice, persons having cause may present their objections in writing to the undersigned official of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 339 New Custom House, P. O. Box 1018, Denver 1, Colorado.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, COLORADO

ARAPAHO NATIONAL FOREST

Mount Gollath Natural Area

T. 5 S., R. 73 W.,

Sec. 5, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 6, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 7, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 8, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

The above area aggregates 160 acres.

J. ELLIOTT HALL,
Lands and Minerals Officer.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6018; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:45 a. m.]

COLORADO

NOTICE OF PROPOSED WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION OF LANDS

JULY 28, 1958.

The U. S. Forest Service of the Department of Agriculture has filed an application, Serial Colorado 021589, for withdrawal of the lands described below from location and entry under the General Mining Laws, subject to existing valid claims.

The applicant desires the land for use as a recreation area.

For a period of thirty days from the date of publication of this notice, per-

sons having cause may present their objections in writing to the undersigned official of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 339 New Custom House, P. O. Box 1018, Denver 1, Colorado.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, COLORADO

PIKE NATIONAL FOREST

Happy Meadows Recreation Area

T. 12 S., R. 71 W.,

Sec. 8, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 17, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 18, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

The above area aggregates 265 acres.

J. ELLIOTT HALL,
Lands and Minerals Officer.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6019; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:46 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Federal Maritime Board

[Docket No. S-73]

WATERMAN STEAMSHIP CORP.

AMENDED NOTICE OF HEARING

The notices published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on June 4, 1957, August 21, 1957, and June 25, 1958, concerning a public hearing to be held under sections 605 (c) and 805 (a) of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936, as amended, upon an application of Waterman Steamship Corporation for an operating-differential subsidy agreement, are hereby amended to delete the descriptions of the services on which subsidy was shown to have been requested and to substitute in lieu thereof the following service descriptions. In all other respects the notices of June 4, 1957, August 21, 1957, and June 25, 1958, remain unchanged.

1. U. S. Gulf/U. K. and Continent Service: Between U. S. Gulf ports (Key West to Mexican border) and ports in the United Kingdom, Eire and Continental Europe north of Portugal, with the privilege of calling approximately one sailing per month outbound only at North Atlantic ports for cargo destined to Continental Europe north of Portugal (but not including Baltic and Scandinavian ports). (30 to 42 sailings per year.)

2. Gulf-California/Far East Service—Westbound: From U. S. Gulf ports (Key West-Mexican border) via Panama Canal, completing at California ports to Far East (Japan, Formosa, the Philippines and the Continent of Asia from Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to Siam, inclusive); and Eastbound: From

Far East to U. S. Atlantic ports and U. S. Gulf ports. (18 to 30 sailings per year.)

3. Pacific Coast/Far East Service: Between California, Washington and Oregon ports and ports in the Far East with approximately one sailing per month last from California ports and one sailing per month last from Washington and Oregon ports; the third monthly sailing usually calling at both California and Washington and Oregon ports, alternating each month its last call at such areas. (30 to 42 sailings per year.)

4. U. S. North Atlantic/Continent Service: Between U. S. North Atlantic ports (Maine-Virginia inclusive) and ports in Continental Europe north of Portugal (but not including Baltic and Scandinavian ports). (18 to 30 sailings per year.)

The hearing will be before an Examiner, at a time and place to be announced, in accordance with the Federal Maritime Board's Rules of Practice and Procedure and a recommended decision will be issued.

All persons (including individuals, corporations, associations, firms, partnerships, and public bodies) desiring to intervene in the proceeding are requested to notify the Secretary of the Federal Maritime Board by close of business on August 20, 1958, and should promptly file petitions for leave to intervene in accordance with said rules of practice and procedure.

Dated: August 1, 1958.

By order of the Federal Maritime Board,

JAMES L. PIMPER,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6116; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:43 a.m.]

[Docket No. 842]

ATLANTIC & GULF/HAWAII CONFERENCE; REDUCTION IN RATES ON PLASTERBOARD AND WALLBOARD

NOTICE OF INVESTIGATION AND OF HEARING

On July 23, 1958, the Federal Maritime Board entered the following order:

It appearing that there has been filed with the Federal Maritime Board, by Walter R. Greiner, Agent, Atlantic and Gulf/Hawaii Conference, comprised of the following participating carriers: American President Lines, Ltd., Isthmian Lines, Inc., Lykes Bros. Steamship Company, Inc. (Lykes Orient Line), Matson Navigation Company, United States Lines Company (American Pioneer Line) and Waterman Steamship Corporation, a tariff item setting forth a new reduced rate on Boards, viz: Plasterboard and Wallboard applicable from Atlantic and Gulf ports of the United States to ports in the Hawaiian Islands to become effective July 24, 1958, designated as follows:

Second Revised Page No. 19, Item 205, Cancels First Revised Page No. 19. Walter R. Greiner, Agent, Atlantic & Gulf/Hawaii Conference F. M. B. F.—No. 19.

It further appearing that a protest has been filed against said item and upon

consideration thereof there is reason to believe that it would, if permitted to become effective, result in rates which would be unjust and unreasonable and in violation of the Shipping Act, 1916, and the Intercoastal Shipping Act, 1933, as amended; and

It further appearing that an investigation and hearing should be made to determine the lawfulness of the rates contained in said item, with a view to making such findings and orders in the premises as the facts and circumstances shall warrant; and good cause appearing therefor;

It is ordered, That the Board enter upon an investigation and hearing concerning the reasonableness of the rates in said item;

It is further ordered, That the application of said item be and it is hereby suspended in full and that the use thereof be deferred to and including November 23, 1958 unless otherwise ordered by this Board;

It is further ordered, That the item hereby suspended or altered thereby may not be changed until this investigation and suspension proceeding has been disposed of or until the period of suspension has expired, unless otherwise authorized by the Board;

It is further ordered, That there shall be filed immediately with the Board by Walter R. Greiner, Agent, Atlantic and Gulf/Hawaii Conference, a numbered supplement to tariff F. M. B. F.—No. 19 which shall reproduce the portion of this Order wherein the suspended designated item is described, and shall state that such item is suspended and that the rates therein stated may not be used until the 24th of November, 1958, unless otherwise authorized by the Board; and that the rates or those altered thereby may not be changed during the period of suspension or any extension thereof, unless otherwise authorized by the Board;

It is further ordered, That copies of this order shall be filed with said tariff in the Regulation Office of the Federal Maritime Board; that a copy hereof be forthwith served upon the Atlantic and Gulf/Hawaii Conference, Agent Walter R. Greiner and all participating carriers named in the aforesaid tariff and said agent and carriers be, and they are hereby, made respondents in this proceeding; that said protestants, agent and carriers be duly notified of the time and place of the hearing herein ordered and that this order and notice of such hearing be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Pursuant to the above order, notice is hereby given that a public hearing in this proceeding will be held before an examiner of the Board's Hearing Examiners' Office at a date and place to be determined and announced by the Chief Examiner. The hearing will be conducted in accordance with the Board's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and an initial decision will be issued by the examiner.

All persons (including individuals, corporations, associations, firms, partnerships, and public bodies), having an interest in this proceeding and desiring to intervene therein, should notify the

Secretary of the Board promptly and file petitions for leave to intervene in accordance with Rule 5 (n) of said Rules.

Dated: August 1, 1958.

By order of the Federal Maritime Board.

[SEAL]

JAMES L. PIMPER,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6117; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:48 a. m.]

Office of the Secretary

JOHN S. VANDER HEIDE

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FINANCIAL INTERESTS

In accordance with the requirements of section 710 (b) (6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests as reported in the FEDERAL REGISTER of February 20, 1957; 22 F. R. 1045; August 7, 1957; 22 F. R. 6303; February 4, 1958; 23 F. R. 727.

A. Deletions: No change.
B. Additions: No change.

This statement is made as of July 23, 1958.

JOHN S. VANDER HEIDE.

JULY 24, 1958.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6118; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:48 a. m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 12223, 12224; FCC 58M-842]

ARMIN H. WITTENBERG, JR., AND PASADENA
PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH

ORDER SCHEDULING PREHEARING CONFERENCE

In re applications of Armin H. Wittenberg, Jr., Los Angeles, California; Docket No. 12223, File No. BPH-2170; Pasadena Presbyterian Church, Pasadena, California; Docket No. 12224, File No. BPH-2179; for construction permits (FM Facilities, Channel 294).

A further prehearing conference in the above-entitled proceeding will be held on Thursday, July 31, 1958, beginning at 2:00 p. m. in the offices of the Commission, Washington, D. C. This conference is called pursuant to the provisions of § 1.111 of the Commission's rules and the matters to be considered are those specified in that section of the rules.

It is so ordered, This the 30th day of July 1958.

Released: July 31, 1958.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL]

GORDON J. KENT,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6126; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:48 a. m.]

[Docket No. 12490; FCC 58-762]

CLASS B FM BROADCAST STATIONS

REVISED TENTATIVE ALLOCATION PLAN

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C., on the 30th day of July 1958;

The Commission having under consideration a proposal to amend the Revised Tentative Allocation Plan for Class B FM Broadcast Stations;

It appearing that Notice of Proposed Rule Making (FCC 58-591) setting forth the above proposal was issued by the Commission on June 23, 1958 and was duly published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (23 F. R. 4709), which notice provided that interested parties might file statements or briefs with respect to the said proposal on or before July 18, 1958; and

It further appearing that no comments were filed, either in favor of or opposing the proposed amendment; and

It further appearing that adoption of the proposed amendment will make Channel 298 available for assignment in Winston-Salem, North Carolina, for which an application, File No. BPH-2429, has been filed by Winsonett, Inc.; and

It further appearing that authority for the adoption of the proposed amendment is contained in sections 4 (i), 301, 303 (c), (d), (f), and (r), and 307 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended;

It is ordered, That effective July 30, 1958, the Revised Tentative Allocation Plan for Class B FM broadcast stations is amended as follows in respect to the city of Winston-Salem, North Carolina:

General area	Channel	
	Delete	Add
Winston-Salem, N. C.		298

Released: August 1, 1958.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL]

GORDON J. KENT,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6127; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:48 a. m.]

[Docket No. 12491; FCC 58-763]

CLASS B FM BROADCAST STATIONS

REVISED TENTATIVE ALLOCATION PLAN

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C., on the 30th day of July 1958;

The Commission having under consideration a proposal to amend the Revised Tentative Allocation Plan for Class B FM Broadcast Stations;

It appearing, that Notice of Proposed Rule Making (FCC 58-592) setting forth the above proposal was issued by the Commission on June 23, 1958, and was duly published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (23 F. R. 4710), which notice provided that interested parties might file state-

ments or briefs with respect to the said proposal on or before July 18, 1958; and It further appearing that no comments were filed, either in favor of or opposing the proposed amendment; and

It further appearing that adoption of the proposed amendment will make Channel 233 available for assignment in Gainesville, Texas, for which an application, File No. BPH-2445, has been filed by the Gainesville Broadcasting Co., Inc.; and

It further appearing that authority for the adoption of the proposed amendment is contained in sections 4 (i), 301, 303 (c), (d), (f), and (r), and 307 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended;

It is ordered, That effective July 30, 1958, the Revised Tentative Allocation Plan for Class B FM Broadcast Stations is amended as follows in respect to the cities of Gainesville and Sherman, Texas:

General area	Channels	
	Delete	Add
Gainesville, Tex.		233
Sherman, Tex.	236	246

Released: August 1, 1958.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL]

GORDON J. KENT,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6128; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:48 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. 12518, 12519; FCC 58M-845]

CLARION BROADCASTING CO. AND COUNTY
BROADCASTING CO.

ORDER CONTINUING HEARING

In re applications of Victor D. Lindeman, Jr., and Robert Z. Morrison, d/b as Clarion Broadcasting Company, Clarion, Pennsylvania; Docket No. 12518, File No. BP-11332; W. E. Sheridan, Thomas Culbertson, Harriet Hearst, W. C. Hearst, W. J. McKnight III and Punxsutawney Broadcasting Company, d/b as County Broadcasting Company, Clarion, Pennsylvania; Docket No. 12519, File No. BP-11843; for construction permits.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration the above-entitled proceeding and agreements reached by the parties at the pre-hearing conference held herein on July 31, 1958;

It is ordered, This 31st day of July 1958, that the hearing session presently scheduled for October 10, 1958, is continued until October 17, 1958, at 10:00 a. m., and that a second hearing session is also scheduled for October 28, 1958, at 10:00 a. m.

Released: August 1, 1958.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL]

GORDON J. KENT,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6129; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:48 a. m.]

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-112]

UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR UTILIZATION FACILITY LICENSE

Please take notice that the University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma, under section 104c of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, filed an application dated July 16, 1958, for a license to acquire, possess and operate on the University's campus a fifteen watt research reactor. The reactor is designated by the manufacturer, Aerojet-General Nucleonics, as Model AGN-211, Serial No. 102. A copy of the application is on file in the AEC Public Document Room located at 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D. C.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 31st day of July 1958.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

H. L. PRICE,
Director, Division of
Licensing and Regulation.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6092; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:46 a. m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. E-6834]

NORTHERN STATES POWER CO.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION

AUGUST 1, 1958.

Take notice that on July 28, 1958, an application was filed with the Federal Power Commission pursuant to sections 203 and 204 of the Federal Power Act by Northern States Power Company ("NSP Minn") seeking an order authorizing (1) the issuance of 15,304 shares of its \$5 par value Common Stock and (2) the acquisition of the remaining minority stock interest of its subsidiary, Northern States Power Company of Wisconsin ("NSP Wis"), consisting of 1,855 shares of \$100 par value Common Stock. NSP Minn is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Minnesota, and does business in Minnesota, North Dakota and South Dakota, with its principal business office in Minneapolis, Minnesota. Its subsidiary, NSP Wis, is incorporated in Wisconsin and does business in Wisconsin and Minnesota. An agreement was executed by NSP Minn the St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company ("St. Paul") on July 10, 1958, for the issuance and delivery by NSP Minn of 15,304 shares of its Common Stock to St. Paul in exchange for 1,855 shares of its NSP Wis Common Stock held by St. Paul. 8.25 shares of NSP Minn Common Stock is being exchanged for one share of NSP Wis Common Stock. The aforementioned transaction has for its purpose the elimination of the remaining minority stock interest in NSP Wis, and NSP Minn states this will also result in further simplification of NSP's system. NSP Minn also states that because holders of NSP Wis have a pre-emptive right to purchase additional shares, the elimination of the minority stock interest will simplify the issuance

of additional Common Stock of NSP Wis and will eliminate all of the reporting and accounting required to show the minority stock interest.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the 22d day of August 1958, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., petitions or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). The application is on file and available for public inspection.

[SEAL] JOSEPH H. GUTHRIE,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6134; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:50 a. m.]

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[Delegation of Authority 297, Revocation]

FEDERAL CIVIL DEFENSE ADMINISTRATION

DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO NEGOTIATE CERTAIN PURCHASES AND CONTRACTS FOR SUPPLIES AND SERVICES IN CONNECTION WITH ACTIVITIES OF FEDERAL CIVIL DEFENSE ADMINISTRATION

1. Delegation of Authority dated August 7, 1957 (22 F. R. 6540) is hereby revoked.

2. This revocation is effective as of July 1, 1958.

Dated: July 30, 1958.

FRANKLIN FLOETE,
Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6088; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:46 a. m.]

[Delegation of Authority 349]

DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF DEFENSE AND CIVILIAN MOBILIZATION

NEGOTIATION OF CERTAIN CONTRACTS FOR SUPPLIES AND SERVICES IN CONNECTION WITH ACTIVITIES OF OFFICE OF DEFENSE AND CIVILIAN MOBILIZATION

1. Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (63 Stat. 377), hereinafter called "the act", authority is hereby delegated to the Director of Defense and Civilian Mobilization to negotiate purchases and contracts for supplies and services without advertising under section 302 (c) (4), (5), (9), (10), (11) and (12) of the act.

2. This authority shall be exercised by you with respect to the procurement of those supplies and services which are required in connection with authorized activities, other than administrative programs, conducted by the Office of Defense and Civilian Mobilization.

3. This authority shall be exercised in accordance with applicable limitations and requirements in the act, particularly section 304, 305 and 307, and in accordance with policies, procedures, and controls prescribed by the General Services Administration.

4. Subject to the provisions of 3 above, the authority herein delegated may be redelegated to any official or employee of the Office of Defense and Civilian Mobilization.

5. This delegation shall be effective as of July 1, 1958, and shall not extend beyond December 31, 1959.

Dated: July 30, 1958.

FRANKLIN FLOETE,
Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6087; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:46 a. m.]

INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION ADMINISTRATION

DIRECTORS AND ACTING DIRECTORS OF UNITED STATES OPERATIONS MISSIONS

DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS UNDER PROGRAMS ADMINISTERED BY INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION ADMINISTRATION

The Delegation of Authority to Directors and Acting Directors of United States Operations Missions dated November 26, 1954 (19 F. R. 8049), as amended March 9, 1955 (20 F. R. 1593), is hereby further amended by adding the following sentence at the end of the first paragraph: "The foregoing authority is hereby also delegated to the ICA Representative for each Dependent Overseas Territory and the Dependent Overseas Territories Officer for Africa and may be redelegated by such Representative or Officer to his deputy and to one other member of his staff."

J. H. SMITH, Jr.,
Director,
International Cooperation
Administration.

JUNE 26, 1958.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6021; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:46 a. m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice 10]

MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

AUGUST 1, 1958.

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 212 (b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 179), appear below:

As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice, any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to section 17 (8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC 35331. By order of July 28, 1958, the Transfer Board approved the lease to E. M. Keller & Co., Inc., Pampa, Texas, of Certificate No. MC 31229, issued July 11, 1956, to E. M. Kel-

ler, doing business as E. M. Keller & Company, Pampa, Texas, authorizing the transportation of: Machinery, equipment, materials, and supplies used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products; machinery, materials, equipment, and supplies used in or in connection with the construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance and dismantling of pipe lines, including the stringing and picking up thereof, except the stringing or picking up of pipe in connection with main or trunk pipe lines; and heavy machinery and heavy or cumbersome commodities, which because of size or weight, require the use of special equipment, and parts thereof; between points in that part of Texas west of a line beginning at the Texas-Oklahoma State line and extending along U. S. Highway 81 to Fort Worth, Tex., and north of a line beginning at Fort Worth and extending along U. S. Highway 30 to El Paso, Tex., including points on the indicated portions of the highways specified; between points in Texas north of a line beginning at the Texas-New Mexico State line and extending along the southern boundaries of Parmer, Castro, Swisher, Briscoe, Hall, and Childress Counties, Tex., to junction of Hardeman County line, thence west of a line extending along the Childress-Hardeman County line to the Texas-Oklahoma State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Kansas, with provisions for combining the above described irregular route authorities; Machinery, equipment, materials, and supplies used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission, and distribution of natural gas and petroleum, and their products and by-products; and machinery, equipment, materials, and supplies used in, or in connection with, the construction, of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof except the stringing and picking up of pipe in connection with main or trunk pipelines, between Ulysses, Kans., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Oklahoma; and Machinery, equipment, materials, and supplies used in, or in connection with, the construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof, between points in New Mexico. Aaron Sturgeon, Suite 202, Combs-Worley Building, Pampa, Texas, for applicants.

No. MC-FC 61250. By order of July 25, 1958, Division 4, approved the transfer to Matson, Inc., Cedar Rapids, Iowa, of a portion of certificate in No. MC 61592, issued November 13, 1956, to K & A Truck Lines, Inc., Bettendorf, Iowa, authorizing the transportation over irregular routes of: *Structural iron and steel*, from Rock Island, Ill., to points in that part of Iowa on and east of U. S. Highway 69, EXCEPT, that no service may be performed between Davenport and Bettendorf, Iowa, and Rock Island, Moline, East Moline, Silvis, Carbon Cliff,

and Milan, Ill.; and *paving and building equipment*, between points in Illinois, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Iowa, EXCEPT, that no service may be performed between Moline, Ill., and points within 10 miles of Moline, Ill. William A. Landau, 1307 East Walnut Street, Des Moines 16, Iowa, for applicants.

No. MC-FC 61332. By order of July 28, 1958, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Arrow Motor Transit, Inc., Chicago, Illinois, of certificates Nos. MC 35840, MC 35840 Sub 4, and MC 35840 Sub 5, issued December 4, 1941, July 31, 1951, and November 8, 1954, respectively, to August Dinovo, Salvatore Dinovo, and Russell Dinovo, a partnership, doing business as Arrow Motor Transit, Chicago, Illinois, authorizing the transportation of: general commodities, with exceptions, over regular routes, between Chicago, Ill., and Fort Wayne, Ind., with service to and from all intermediate points, and the off-route points in the Chicago, Ill., commercial zone, as defined, and with service to and from points within five miles of Fort Wayne, Ind., including the site of Baer Field (Ferguson, Ind.) as intermediate or off-route points in connection with carrier's presently-authorized regular-route operations to and from Fort Wayne; and between Hammond, Ind., and the junction of U. S. Highways 41 and 6 and Indiana Highway 152. Harold T. Halpenny, 111 West Washington Street, Chicago 2, Illinois, for applicants.

No. MC-FC 61365. By order of July 29, 1958, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Warwick Delivery Service, Inc., Kansas City, Mo., of Permit No. MC 103817, issued June 3, 1949, to Ellis W. Leritz, doing business as Warwick Delivery Service, Kansas City, Mo., authorizing the transportation of *Such commodities as are dealt in by retail mail order houses*, over irregular routes, from Kansas City, Kans., to points in Cass, Clay, Jackson, and Platte Counties, Mo., within 25 miles of Kansas City, Kans.; and from Kansas City, Mo., to points in Kansas within 25 miles of Kansas City, Mo. Rejected shipments of above-described commodities, over irregular routes, from points in the above-specified destination territories to Kansas City, Kans., and Kansas City, Mo. Wentworth E. Griffin, 1012 Baltimore Building, Kansas City 5, Mo. Reece Gardner, 9 West 10th Street, Kansas City 5, Mo., for applicants.

No. MC-FC 61380. By order of July 28, 1958, The Transfer Board approved the transfer to Oriole Chemical Carriers, Inc., Baltimore, Md., of Permits Nos. MC 109136 Sub 1, MC 109136 Sub 8, MC 109136 Sub 9, MC 109136 Sub 11, MC 109136 Sub 13, issued August 23, 1954, April 1, 1954, August 20, 1956, March 18, 1957, May 29, 1958, respectively, to The Oriole Terminal & Transportation Co., A Corporation, Baltimore, Md., authorizing the transportation of *Liquefied chlorine*, in containers, from the Army Chemical Center, near Edgewood, Md., to Washington, D. C.; from the site of the Army Chemical Center, near Edgewood, Md., to points in Pennsylvania on and east of U. S. Highway 111

and on and south of U. S. Highway 22, those in New Jersey on and south of New Jersey Highway 33, and those in Delaware on and north of U. S. Highway 40; and from the site of the Army Chemical Center (near Edgewood, Md.), to Delaware City, Del.; *Empty chlorine containers*, from the above destination points to origin. *Liquid caustic soda*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the Army Chemical Center, near Edgewood, Md., to Philadelphia, Pa., and Seaford, Del., and to points in Pennsylvania within 25 miles of Philadelphia, and to those in Delaware and New Jersey within 35 miles of Philadelphia; and from the site of the Army Chemical Center, near Edgewood, Md., to points in that part of Virginia on and east of U. S. Highway 1, and the District of Columbia. *Caustic soda*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the site of the Diamond Alkali Company plant, near Edgewood, Md., to points in Pennsylvania on and east of U. S. Highway 11 from the Maryland State line to Harrisburg, Pa., and on and south of U. S. Highway 22 from Harrisburg to the New Jersey State Line, except to points in Pennsylvania within 25 miles of Philadelphia. Oriole Chemical Carriers, Inc., Baltimore, Md., is to be substituted in Dockets Nos. MC 109136 Sub 15, MC 109136 Sub 16, and MC 109136 Sub 17. TA. David P. Gordon, Attorney at Law, 1400 American Building, Baltimore 2, Maryland, for applicants.

No. MC-FC 61411. By order of July 28, 1958, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to George Williams, Roy Williams, Warren Littlejohn and Warren Higgenbotham, Partners, doing business as Williams Trucking Service, Gladstone, Oregon, of certificates in Nos. MC 7155 Sub 1, MC 7155 Sub 2, MC 7155 Sub 3, MC 7155 Sub 4, and MC 7155 Sub 5, issued October 19, 1938, April 18, 1941, November 8, 1948, December 5, 1950, and January 2, 1952, respectively, to Roy Williams, Gladstone, Oregon, authorizing the transportation of specific commodities from, to, and between points in Oregon, Washington, Idaho, and Utah. Ralf H. Erlandson, 1935 Washington Street, Milwaukie 23, Oregon, for applicants.

[SEAL]

HAROLD D. MCCOY,
Secretary.[F. R. Doc. 58-6120; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:48 a.m.]

[Notice 46]

MOTOR CARRIER ALTERNATE ROUTE
DEVIATION NOTICES

AUGUST 1, 1958.

The following letter-notices of proposals to operate over deviation routes for operating convenience only with no service at intermediate points have been filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission, under the Commission's Special Rules Revised, 1957 (49 CFR 211.1 (c) (8)) and notice thereof to all interested persons is hereby given as provided in such rules (49 CFR 211.1 (d) (4)).

Protests against the use of any proposed deviation route herein described may be filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission in the manner and

form provided in such rules (49 CFR 211.1 (e)) at any time but will not operate to stay commencement of the proposed operations unless filed within 30 days from the date of publication.

Successfully filed letter-notices of the same carrier under the Commission's Deviation Rules Revised, 1957, will be numbered consecutively for convenience in identification and protests if any should refer to such letter-notices by number.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 36151 (Deviation No. 1), HENRY JENKINS TRANSPORTATION CO., INCORPORATED, 32 Rigis Road, Boston, Mass., filed July 25, 1958. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier* by motor vehicle of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route, between the Eastern Terminus of the Massachusetts Turnpike at Weston, Mass., and Westfield, Mass., as follows: from the Eastern Terminus of the Massachusetts Turnpike over the Massachusetts Turnpike and access routes to Westfield and return over the same route, for operating convenience only, serving no intermediate points. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities between Boston, Mass., and Hartford, Conn., over the following pertinent routes: from Boston over Massachusetts Highway 9 to Ware, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 32 to Palmer, Mass., thence over U. S. Highway 20 to Springfield, Mass., and thence over U. S. Highway 5 to Hartford (also from Springfield, Mass., over Alternate U. S. Highway 5 to Hartford); and from Boston over Massachusetts Highway 9 to junction U. S. Highway 20, thence over U. S. Highway 20 to junction Massachusetts Highway 15, thence over Massachusetts Highway 15 to the Massachusetts-Connecticut State line, thence over Connecticut Highway 15 to East Hartford, Conn., and thence over U. S. Highway 6 to Hartford.

No. MC 69116 (Deviation No. 5), SPECTOR FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 3100 South Wolcott Avenue, Chicago 8, Ill., dated July 21, 1958. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier* by motor vehicle of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route, between junction Indiana Highways 9 and 67 (about four miles north of Huntsville, Ind.), and junction U. S. Highways 25 and 224 at Findlay, Ohio, as follows: from junction Indiana Highways 9 and 67 over Indiana Highway 67 to the Indiana-Ohio State line, thence over Ohio Highway 29 to junction U. S. Highway 33 at St. Marys, Ohio, thence over U. S. Highway 33 to junction U. S. Highway 25 at Wapakoneta, Ohio, thence over U. S. Highway 25 to junction U. S. Highway 224 at Findlay, and return over the same route, for operating convenience only, serving no intermediate points. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities between junction Indiana Highways 9 and 67 (about four miles of Huntsville, Ind.), and Findlay, Ohio, over the following pertinent route: from junction Indiana High-

ways 9 and 67 over Indiana Highway 9 to junction U. S. Highway 224 at Huntington, Ind., thence over U. S. Highway 224 to Findlay.

No. MC 113427 (Deviation No. 1), ROBERT E. LIVESAY, 4030 Wabash, Kansas City, Mo., filed July 11, 1958. Attorney for said carrier, Floyd D. Strong, 214 Insurance Building, 701 Jackson Street, Topeka, Kans. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier* by motor vehicle of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route, between Leavenworth, Kans., and St. Joseph, Mo., as follows: from Leavenworth over U. S. Highway 73 (through Atchison) to junction U. S. Highway 36 at Hiawatha, Kans., thence over U. S. Highway 36 to St. Joseph and return over the same route, for operating convenience only, serving no intermediate points. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities between Leavenworth, Kans., and St. Joseph, Mo., over the following pertinent route: from Leavenworth over Kansas Highway 92 to the Kansas-Missouri State line, thence over Missouri Highway 92 to junction U. S. Highway 71, thence over U. S. Highway 71 to St. Joseph.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. MCCOY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6121; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:48 a. m.]

[Notice 228]

MOTOR CARRIER APPLICATIONS

AUGUST 1, 1958.

The following applications are governed by the Interstate Commerce Commission's special rules governing notice of filing of applications by motor carriers of property or passengers and by brokers under sections 206, 209, and 211 of the Interstate Commerce Act and certain other procedural matters with respect thereto. (49 CFR 1.241)

All hearings will be called at 9:30 o'clock a. m., United States standard time (or 9:30 o'clock a. m., local daylight saving time), unless otherwise specified.

APPLICATIONS ASSIGNED FOR ORAL HEARING OR PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 263 (Sub No. 97), filed June 27, 1958. Applicant: GARRETT FREIGHT-LINES, INC., 2055 Pole Line Road, Pocatello, Idaho. Applicant's attorney: Maurice H. Greene, P. O. Box 1554, Boise, Idaho. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, transporting: *General commodities*, except those of unusual value, Class A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, serving The Navajo Dam Site about 10 miles northeast of Blanco, N. Mex., and points within 10 miles thereof as off-route points in connection with applicant's authorized regular route operations between Durango, Colo., and

Gallup, N. Mex., over U. S. Highway 550. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Washington, Idaho, Montana, California, Nevada, Oregon, Wyoming, Utah, Arizona, New Mexico, and Colorado.

HEARING: October 2, 1958, at the New Mexico State Corporation Commission, Santa Fe, N. Mex., before Joint Board No. 87, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Leo A. Riegel.

No. MC 803 (Sub No. 12), filed May 5, 1958. Applicant: T. R. SHUMPERT, doing business as SHUMPERT TRUCK LINE, Highway 278 W. Amory, Miss. Applicant's attorney: Edward G. Grogan, Commerce Title Building, Memphis 3, Tenn. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, transporting: *General commodities*, except those of unusual value, Class A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, serving Hamilton and Caledonia, Miss., as off-route points in connection with applicant's authorized regular route operations between Memphis, Tenn., and Amory, Miss. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Alabama, Mississippi, and Tennessee.

HEARING: September 18, 1958, at the Robert E. Lee Hotel, Jackson, Miss., before Joint Board No. 97, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Reece Harrison.

No. MC 1313 (Sub No. 7), filed June 23, 1958. Applicant: RIDGELY TRANSPORT, doing business as PIONEER-RIDGELY FREIGHT LINES, 1509 Bent Avenue, Cheyenne, Wyo. Applicant's attorney: Marion F. Jones, Suite 526 Denham Building, Denver 2, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, transporting: *General commodities*, including Class A and B explosives, but excluding commodities of unusual value, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, serving points within a 50 mile radius of Cheyenne, Wyo., as off-route points in connection with applicant's authorized regular route operations to and from Cheyenne. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Colorado, Nebraska, and Wyoming.

NOTE: Applicant states that the purpose of this application is solely to serve launching sites of the Inter-Continental Ballistics Missiles, and any authority granted may be so restricted. In Docket No. MC-P 6828, application is pending for authority to merge applicant with Buckingham Transportation, Inc.

HEARING: September 26, 1958, at the New Customs House, Denver, Colo., before Joint Board No. 198, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Leo A. Riegel.

No. MC 4073 (Sub No. 10), filed May 21, 1958. Applicant: CARL EDWIN KELTON, doing business as C. E. KELTON MOTOR TRANSPORTATION, Taft Avenue, White River Junction, Vt. Applicant's attorney: Mary E. Kelley, 10 Tremont Street, Boston 8, Mass. Authority sought to operate as a *common*

carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Sugar and sugar products*, from White River Junction, Vt., to points in Vermont. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, and the District of Columbia.

HEARING: September 15, 1958, at the Washington County Court House, Montpelier, Vt., before Joint Board No. 116, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Donald R. Sutherland.

No. MC 11207 (Sub No. 192), filed May 21, 1958. Applicant: DEATON TRUCK LINE, INC., 3409 10th Avenue, North, P. O. Box 1271, Birmingham, Ala. Applicant's attorney: John W. Cooper, 818-821 Massey Building, Birmingham 3, Ala. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Structural steel*, from Decatur, Ala., to points in Tennessee, Mississippi, Louisiana, and Arkansas. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Texas.

HEARING: September 25, 1958, at the Hotel Thomas Jefferson, Birmingham, Ala., before Examiner Harold W. Angle.

No. MC 11207 (Sub No. 194), filed May 21, 1958. Applicant: DEATON TRUCK LINE, INC., 3409 10th Avenue, North, P. O. Box 1271, Birmingham, Ala. Applicant's attorney: John W. Cooper, 818-821 Massey Building, Birmingham 3, Ala. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Cast iron pipe and fiber pipe, and connections, fittings, and accessories therefor*, from Holt, Ala., to points in Arkansas; and (2) *Cast iron pipe, structural and reinforcing steel, and iron and steel articles*, from Gasden and Anniston, Ala., to points in Arkansas. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Texas.

HEARING: September 26, 1958, at the Hotel Thomas Jefferson, Birmingham, Ala., before Joint Board No. 408, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Harold W. Angle.

No. MC 11220 (Sub No. 65), filed July 17, 1958. Applicant: GORDONS TRANSPORTS, INC., 185 West McLemore Avenue, Memphis, Tenn. Applicant's attorney: James W. Wrape, 2111 Sterick Building, Memphis, Tenn. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, transporting: *General commodities*, except automobiles set up on wheels, those of unusual value, Class A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, serving the site of the Forest Products Division of the Olin Mathieson Chemical Corporation plant located approximately six (6) miles southwest of Joliet, Ill., on the Des

Plaines River and just off U. S. Highway 6, as an off-route point in connection with applicant's authorized regular route operations. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Alabama, Arkansas, Illinois, Indiana, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, and Tennessee.

HEARING: September 11, 1958, in Room 852, U. S. Custom House, 610 South Canal Street, Chicago, Ill., before Joint Board No. 149.

No. MC 22300 (Sub No. 8), filed June 23, 1958. Applicant: SMITH TRADING CO., INC., 1160 Beck Street, Salt Lake City, Utah. Applicant's attorney: Harry D. Pugsley, 721 Continental Bank Building, Salt Lake City 1, Utah. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Salt and salt products*, from Lakepoint, Flux, and Saltair, Utah, to points in Harney, Malheur, Grant, Baker, Union, Wallowa, and Umatilla Counties, Oreg., and empty containers or other such incidental facilities (not specified) used in transporting the above-specified commodities on return. Applicant is authorized to transport salt and salt products in Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Oregon, Utah, and Wyoming.

NOTE: A proceeding has been instituted under section 212 (c) of the Interstate Commerce Act to determine whether applicant's status is that of a contract or common carrier, assigned Docket No. MC 22300 (Sub No. 7).

HEARING: September 17, 1958, at Utah Public Service Commission, Salt Lake City, Utah, before Joint Board No. 346, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Leo A. Riegel.

No. MC 30803 (Sub No. 3), filed June 2, 1958. Applicant: THOMAS A. WALSH, doing business as WALSH BROS., 354 Adams Street, Newark 5, N. J. Applicant's attorney: Bernard F. Flynn, Jr., 1060 Broad Street, Newark 2, N. J. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Piling, wooden, and forest products*, treated, between Port Reading, Carteret, and Port Newark, N. J., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New York, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in New Jersey, New York, Connecticut, and Pennsylvania.

HEARING: September 15, 1958, at 346 Broadway, New York, N. Y., before Examiner Allen W. Hagerty.

No. MC 35211 (Sub No. 3), filed June 2, 1958. Applicant: FRANK MURPHY, 730 Richmond Terrace, New Brighton, Staten Island, N. Y. Applicant's attorney: August W. Heckman, 880 Bergen Avenue, Jersey City 6, N. J. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Brick*, between Roseton, Beacon, and New York, N. Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Jersey, points in Connecticut west of the Connecticut River, and points in Nassau, Suffolk, Kings, Queens, Bronx, New York, Richmond, Westchester, Rockland, Orange, Putnam, Sullivan, Ulster, Dutchess, Rensselaer, Columbia, and Albany Coun-

ties, N. Y. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in New York, Pennsylvania, Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Rhode Island, and Vermont.

HEARING: September 26, 1958, at 346 Broadway, New York, N. Y., before Examiner Allen W. Hagerty.

No. MC 43144 (Sub No. 4), filed May 27, 1958. Applicant: GUILFORD TRUCKING, INC., 338 Copeland Street, Wollaston 70, Mass. Applicant's attorney: Carmine Garofalo, 3814 Alton Place, NW., Washington 16, D. C. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Pig iron*, from Everett, Mass., to points in Connecticut, points in Rhode Island (except Providence, R. I.), points in Maine on and south of U. S. Highways 1 and 2, including Dexter, Maine, points in New Hampshire on and south of U. S. Highway 11, and points in Vermont on and south of U. S. Highway 4; *scrap metals and salvage materials*, between points in Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, that portion of Maine lying on and south of U. S. Highways 1 and 2, including Dexter, Maine, that portion of New Hampshire lying on and south of U. S. Highway 11, and that portion of Vermont lying on and south of U. S. Highway 4. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations from and to specified points in Massachusetts and Rhode Island.

HEARING: September 19, 1958, at the New Post Office and Court House Building, Boston, Mass., before Examiner Donald R. Sutherland.

No. MC 47323 (Sub No. 2), filed July 1, 1958. Applicant: TAJON TRUCKING CO., a Corporation, 818 Frick Building, Pittsburgh 19, Pa. Applicant's attorney: Arthur J. Diskin, 810 Frick Building, Pittsburgh 19, Pa. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such bulk commodities* as are transported in dump trucks, between points in Butler and Venango Counties, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Lake County, Ohio. Applicant is authorized to transport such bulk commodities as are transported in dump trucks between points in Beaver, Lawrence and Mercer Counties, Pa., on the one hand, and on the other, points in Ashtabula, Trumbull, Mahoning, and Columbiana Counties, Ohio.

NOTE: Applicant received the authority it presently holds from this Commission (Certificate No. MC 47323) in a transfer proceeding in No. MC-FC 61077 by order therein dated May 9, 1958.

HEARING: September 12, 1958, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D. C., before Examiner C. Evans Brooks.

No. MC 47323 (Sub No. 3), filed July 8, 1958. Applicant: TAJON TRUCKING CO., a Corporation, 818 Frick Building, Pittsburgh 19, Pa. Applicant's attorney: Arthur J. Diskin, 810 Frick Building, Pittsburgh 19, Pa. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Coal*, in dump trucks, between points in Butler, Mercer, Venango, Lawrence, Clarion, and Armstrong Counties, Pa.,

on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Chautauqua County, N. Y. Applicant is authorized to transport such bulk commodities as are transported in dump trucks between points in Beaver, Lawrence, and Mercer Counties, Pa., and points in Ashtabula, Trumbull, Mahoning, and Columbiana Counties, Ohio.

HEARING: September 12, 1958, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D. C., before Examiner C. Evans Brooks.

No. MC 52709 (Sub No. 82), filed March 27, 1958. Applicant: RINGSBY TRUCK LINES, INC., 3201 Ringsby Court, Denver 5, Colo. Applicant's representative: Eugene St. M. Hamilton, 3201 Ringsby Court, Denver 5, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Explosives*, between Spanish Fork, Utah, and Ely, Nev., from Spanish Fork over U. S. Highway 6 to Ely, and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points, with service at termini only as otherwise authorized or for joinder only, as an alternate route for operating convenience only in connection with applicant's authorized regular route operations in the transportation of explosives in Certificate No. MC 52709 (Sub No. 70) dated March 25, 1957.

HEARING: September 17, 1958, at the Utah Public Service Commission, Salt Lake City, Utah, before Joint Board No. 241, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Leo A. Riegel.

No. MC 55883 (Sub No. 5), filed July 11, 1958. Applicant: TRIANGLE EXPRESS, INC., Route No. 1, Berryville Pike, Berryville, Va. Applicant's attorney: R. Roy Rush, Boxley Building, Roanoke, Va. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Canned food products*, from Front Royal and Berryville, Va., to Baltimore and Annapolis, Md., New York, N. Y., points on Long Island, N. Y., and those in Alabama, Georgia, Kentucky, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, West Virginia, Florida, Delaware, and the District of Columbia. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Virginia, Pennsylvania, Maryland, District of Columbia, West Virginia, New York, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Kentucky, Alabama, New Jersey, Ohio, and Tennessee.

HEARING: September 17, 1958, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D. C., before Examiner David Waters.

No. MC 58948 (Sub No. 79), filed May 23, 1958. Applicant: UNION TRANSFER COMPANY, doing business as UNION FREIGHTWAYS, a Corporation, 720 Leavenworth Street, Omaha, Neb. Applicant's attorney: Loyal G. Kaplan, 924 City National Bank Building, Omaha 2, Neb. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, transporting: *Sugar*, in packages and containers, and empty containers or other such incidental facilities (not specified) used in transporting sugar, serving the site of the Holly Sugar Cor-

poration plant, at or near South Torrington, Wyo., as an off-route point in connection with applicant's authorized regular route operations. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and Wyoming.

HEARING: September 11, 1958, at the Wyoming Public Service Commission, Supreme Court and State Library Building, Cheyenne, Wyo., before Joint Board No. 197, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Leo A. Riegel.

No. MC 59507 (Sub No. 7), filed June 2, 1958. Applicant: EDGAR H. ALLEN & SON, INC., 825 Fairfield Avenue, Kenilworth, N. J. Applicant's attorney: August W. Heckman, 880 Bergen Avenue, Jersey City 6, N. J. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Forest products*, treated and untreated, from Port Newark, Port Reading, and Kenilworth, N. J., to points in Ulster, Greene, Albany, and Delaware Counties, N. Y. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and the District of Columbia.

HEARING: September 18, 1958, at 346 Broadway, New York, N. Y., before Examiner Allen W. Hagerty.

No. MC 61628 (Sub No. 23), filed June 2, 1958. Applicant: BENTON RAPID EXPRESS, a Corporation, 216 West Hull Street, P. O. Box 587, Savannah, Ga. Applicant's attorney: R. J. Reynolds, Jr., 1403 Citizens and Southern National Bank Building, Atlanta 3, Ga. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over a regular route, transporting: *General commodities*, except those of unusual value, Class A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, between Eastman, Ga., and Columbus, Ga., from Eastman over Georgia Highway 27 to junction Georgia Highway 26, at Hawkinsville, Ga., thence over Georgia Highway 26 to junction Georgia Highway 103 at or near Buena Vista, Ga., thence over Georgia Highway 103 to Columbus, and return over the same route, serving no intermediate or off-route points. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Florida and Georgia.

HEARING: September 22, 1958, at 680 West Peachtree Street, NW., Atlanta, Ga., before Joint Board No. 101, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Harold W. Angle.

No. MC 61628 (Sub No. 24), filed June 3, 1958. Applicant: BENTON RAPID EXPRESS, a Corporation, 216 W. Hull Street, P. O. Box 587, Savannah, Ga. Applicant's attorney: R. J. Reynolds, Jr., 1403 Citizens and Southern National Bank Building, Atlanta 3, Ga. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, except those of unusual value, Class A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk,

and those requiring special equipment, (1) Between Atlanta, Ga., and Macon, Ga., from Atlanta over Georgia Highway 42 to junction Georgia Highway 87 at or near Flovilla, Ga., thence over Georgia Highway 87 to Macon, and return over the same route, serving the intermediate points of the Army Depot at Conley, Ga., and Juliette, Ga., only; (2) Between Macon, Ga., and Milledgeville, Ga., over Georgia Highway 49, serving all intermediate points; (3) Between Newnan, Ga., and the Georgia Power Company plant at or near Banning, Ga., from Newnan over Georgia Highway 16 via Arno and Sargent, Ga., to junction unnumbered County Highway, thence over unnumbered County Highway to the Georgia Power Company plant at or near Banning, and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points; and (4) Between junction U. S. Highways 84 and 17, approximately ten (10) miles southwest of Brunswick, Ga., and Jekyll State Park, Ga., over Georgia Highway 50, serving all intermediate points. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Florida and Georgia.

HEARING: September 23, 1958, at 680 West Peachtree Street NW., Atlanta, Ga., before Joint Board No. 101, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Harold W. Angle.

No. MC 64651 (Sub No. 5), filed July 8, 1958. Applicant: CITY EXPRESS, INCORPORATED, 200 North Warwick Avenue, Baltimore 23, Md. Applicant's attorney: Homer S. Carpenter, Suite 618 Perpetual Building, 1111 E Street NW., Washington 4, D. C. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over a regular route, transporting: *Flour*, in bulk, and *sugar*, in bulk or liquid form, between Winchester, Va., and Frostburg, Md., from Winchester over U. S. Highway 50 to Washington, D. C., thence over U. S. Highway 1 to Baltimore, Md., thence over U. S. Highway 40 to Frostburg, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points, and all off-route points on and within a territory bounded by a line beginning at the West Virginia-Virginia State line and extending along U. S. Highway 50 to Winchester, Va., thence along the above-specified route to Frostburg, Md., thence along U. S. Highway 40 to the Garrett-Allegany County Line, Md., thence in a southerly direction along said county line to the Maryland-West Virginia State line, thence in an easterly direction along the Maryland-West Virginia State line to the Maryland-West Virginia-Virginia State line, thence along the West Virginia-Virginia State line to the point of beginning, including the points specified. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Maryland, Virginia, and the District of Columbia.

HEARING: September 12, 1958, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D. C., before Joint Board No. 68.

No. MC 67200 (Sub No. 11), filed June 10, 1958. Applicant: THE FURNITURE TRANSPORT COMPANY, INC., 111 Halllock Avenue, New Haven, Conn. Applicant's attorney: Arthur J. Piken, 160-16 Jamaica Avenue, Jamaica 32, N. Y. Au-

thority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Uncrated, upholstered furniture*, from New Haven, Conn., to Hartford, Conn., and *rejected, returned or refused shipments of furniture* on return. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, and the District of Columbia.

HEARING: September 11, 1958, at the U. S. Court Rooms, Hartford, Conn., before Joint Board No. 227, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Donald R. Sutherland.

No. MC 69492 (Sub No. 18), filed May 26, 1958. Applicant: HENRY EDWARDS, doing business as HENRY EDWARDS TRUCKING COMPANY, P. O. Box 97, Clinton, Ky. Applicant's attorney: Walter Harwood, Nashville Trust Building, Nashville 3, Tenn. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Animal feed and poultry feed*, in bags and in bulk; *insecticides*; and *feed ingredients* in bags and in bulk, between Memphis, Tiptonville and Ridgely, Tenn., and Murray, Lynn Grove, and Clinton, Ky. Applicant is authorized to conduct regular route operations in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Missouri, and Tennessee, and irregular route operations in Illinois, Kentucky, Missouri, Ohio, Tennessee, and Wisconsin.

NOTE: Applicant states the proposed authority is to be used in conjunction with his other existing authority.

HEARING: September 19, 1958, at the Peabody Hotel, Memphis, Tenn., before Joint Board No. 51, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Reece Harrison.

No. MC 70451 (Sub No. 202), filed May 14, 1958. Applicant: WATSON BROS. TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 1523 Marcy Street, Omaha 8, Nebr. Applicant's attorney: David Axelrod, 39 South La Salle Street, Chicago 3, Ill. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over an alternate route, transporting: *General commodities*, except those of unusual value, Class A and B explosives, livestock, fish, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, between Alamosa, Colo., and Santa Fe, N. Mex., from Alamosa over U. S. Highway 285 to Santa Fe, and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points, as an alternate route for operating convenience only, in connection with applicant's authorized regular route operations between Denver and Durango, Colo., and between Holbrook, Ariz., and Walsenburg, Colo. Applicant is authorized to conduct similar operations in Arizona, California, Colorado, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Mexico, and Wyoming.

HEARING: September 30, 1958, at the New Mexico State Corporation Commission, Santa Fe, N. Mex., before Joint Board No. 125, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Leo A. Riegel.

No. MC 77720 (Sub No. 3), Filed June 4, 1958. Applicant: ERIE TRANSFER CO., INC., 44 West 15th Street, New York 11, N. Y. Applicant's representative: William D. Traub, 10 East 40th Street, New York 16, N. Y. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Theatrical equipment and effects*, including electrical equipment and effects, props, scenery, musical instruments, trunks and wardrobes for use in stage, radio, television or motion picture productions, between New York, N. Y., and points in Connecticut, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, Ohio, and the District of Columbia. Applicant is authorized to transport the above-described commodities between points in New York, New Jersey, and Connecticut within 75 miles of New York, N. Y., including New York, N. Y.

NOTE: Any duplication of authority should be eliminated.

HEARING: September 25, 1958, at 346 Broadway, New York, N. Y., before Examiner Allen W. Hagerty.

No. MC 89684 (Sub No. 21), filed April 25, 1958. Applicant: WYCOFF COMPANY, INCORPORATED, 346 West Sixth South, P. O. Box 366, Salt Lake City, Utah. Applicant's attorney: Harry D. Pugsley, 721 Continental Bank Building, Salt Lake City 1, Utah. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: (A) *Ice cream and empty containers therefor*; (1) Between Evanston, Wyo., and junction U. S. Highways 30N and 30S, near Little America, Wyo., from Evanston over Wyoming Highway 89 to the Utah State line, thence over Utah Highway 3 to junction Utah Highway 51, thence over Utah Highway 51 to the Wyoming State line, thence over Wyoming Highway 89 to Sage, Wyo., thence over U. S. Highway 30N to junction U. S. Highway 30S, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (2) Between Garden City, Utah and junction Utah Highways 3 and 51, over Utah Highway 3, serving all intermediate points; (3) Between Diamondville, Wyo., and Evanston Wyo., over U. S. Highway 189, serving no intermediate points, as an alternate route for operating convenience only, in connection with applicant's proposed regular route operations between Evanston, Wyo., and junction U. S. Highways 30N and 30S, near Little America, Wyo.; (4) Between Ashton, Idaho and West Yellowstone, Mont., over Combined U. S. Highways 20 and 191, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route points of Island Park, Idaho and all off-route points in Yellowstone Park, Wyo.; (5) Between Idaho Falls, Idaho and Moran, Wyo., from Idaho Falls over U. S. Highway 26 to junction U. S. Highway 89-187, thence over U. S. Highway 89-187 to Moran, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route points of Victor, Driggs, and Teton, Idaho; (6) Between Boise, Idaho, and Baker, Oreg., from Boise over Idaho Highway 15 to junction U. S. High-

way 95, thence over U. S. Highway 95 to Weiser, Idaho, thence over U. S. Highway 30N to junction U. S. Highway 30, thence over U. S. Highway 30 to Baker, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (7) Between junction U. S. Highway 93 and Idaho Highway 79 and junction U. S. Highway 93 and Idaho Highway 79, north of Twin Falls, Idaho, over Idaho Highway 79, serving Jerome, Idaho as an intermediate point; (8) Between junction Idaho Highway 44 and Idaho Highway 16, east of Star, Idaho, and Weiser, Idaho, from junction Idaho Highway 44 and Idaho Highway 16 over Idaho Highway 44 to junction U. S. Highway 30, thence over U. S. Highway 30 to junction U. S. Highway 95, thence over U. S. Highway 95 to Weiser, and return over the same route, serving New Plymouth, Idaho, as an intermediate point; (9) Between Twin Falls, Idaho and Eden, Idaho, over Idaho Highway 50 serving all intermediate points; (10) Between Nephi, Utah, and Mesquite, Nev., over U. S. Highway 91, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route points of Delta, Leamington, Hinckley, Milford, Minersville, Enterprise, Newcastle, Hurricane, and Springdale, Utah, and Bunkerville, Nev.; (11) Between Springville, Utah, and junction Combined U. S. Highways 50 and 6, near Thistle, Utah, over U. S. Highway 89, serving all intermediate points; (12) Between Kanab, Utah, and Fredonia, Ariz., over U. S. Highway 89, serving no intermediate points, but serving the Glen Canyon town and dam sites in Arizona as off-route points; (13) Between Price, Utah, and Emery, Utah, over Utah Highway 10, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route points of Orangeville and Cleveland, Utah; (14) Between Green River, Utah, and Shiprock, N. Mex., from Green River over Combined U. S. Highways 6 and 50 to Crescent Jct., Utah, thence over U. S. Highway 160 to junction U. S. Highway 666 near Cortez, Colo., thence over U. S. Highway 666 to Shiprock, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route points of Blanding, Bluff, and Mexican Hat, Utah, Durango, Colo., Farmington, N. Mex., and Fort Defiance, Ariz.; (15) Between Ely, Nev., and Callente, Nev., over U. S. Highway 93, serving all intermediate points; (16) Between Uintah (Weber County), Utah, and Echo Junction, Utah, over U. S. Highway 30S, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route point of Croydon (Morgan County), Utah; (17) Between Salt Lake City, Utah, and Ogden, Utah, over U. S. Highway 89, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route points of Bountiful, Centerville, and Farmington, Utah; (18) Between Salt Lake City, Utah, and junction Alternate U. S. Highway 50 and U. S. Highway 40, west of Salt Lake City, over U. S. Highway 40, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route point of Saltair, Utah; (19) Between Brigham City, Utah, and Logan, Utah, over Utah Highway 69, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route points of Mendon, Clarkston, and Cache Junction (Cache County), Utah; (20) Between junction U. S. Highway 91 and

Utah Highway 37 near Roy, Utah, and junction U. S. Highway 91 and Utah Highway 37 near Hot Springs, Utah, over Utah Highway 37, serving all intermediate points; (21) Between Blackfoot, Idaho, and Salmon, Idaho, from Blackfoot over U. S. Highway 26 to junction Alternate U. S. Highway 93, thence over Alternate U. S. Highway 93 to junction U. S. Highway 93, thence over U. S. Highway 93 to Salmon, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route points of Challis and Aberdeen, Idaho; (22) Between Idaho Falls, Idaho, and Salmon, Idaho, from Idaho Falls over U. S. Highway 91 to junction Idaho Highway 28, thence over Idaho Highway 28 to Salmon, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (23) Between Bellevue, Idaho, and Twin Falls, Idaho, from Bellevue over Idaho Highway 23 to Carey, Idaho, thence over Alternate U. S. Highway 93 to Shoshone, Idaho, thence over U. S. Highway 93 to Twin Falls, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (24) Between Ely, Nev., and Fallon, Nev., over U. S. Highway 50, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route point of Lund, Nev.; (25) Serving Morgan, Kamas, Loa, Bicknell, Neola, Altamont (Duchesne County), and Little Valley, Utah, as off-route points in connection with applicant's authorized regular route operations; (26) Between Salt Lake City, Utah, and Butte, Mont., from Salt Lake City over U. S. Highway 91 to Brigham City, Utah, thence over U. S. Highway 191 to junction U. S. Highway 91, thence over U. S. Highway 91 to Butte, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (27) Between Idaho Falls, Idaho, and Ashton, Idaho, over U. S. Highway 191, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route points of Afton and Thayne, Wyo., and Lewiston, Hyde Park, and Hyrum, Utah; (28) Between Dillon, Mont., and Butte, Mont., from Dillon over Montana Highway 41 to junction U. S. Highway 10S, thence over U. S. Highway 10S to Butte, Mont., and return over the same route, serving the intermediate point of Twin Bridges, Mont., and the off-route point of Sheridan, Mont.; (29) Between Downey, Idaho and Brigham City (formerly Brigham), Utah, over U. S. Highway 91, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route points of Oxford, Clifton, and Dayton, Idaho; (30) Between junction U. S. Highways 30N and 91, near McCammon, Idaho, and Preston, Idaho, from junction U. S. Highways 30N and 91 over U. S. Highway 30N to junction Idaho Highway 34, thence over Idaho Highway 34 to Preston, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (31) Between junction U. S. Highway 30N and Idaho Highway 34 (west of Alexander, Idaho), and Logan, Utah, from junction U. S. Highway 30N and Idaho Highway 34 over U. S. Highway 30N to Montpelier, Idaho, thence over U. S. Highway 89 to Logan, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route point of Conda, Idaho; (32) Between junction U. S. Highway 191 and Idaho Highway 26 (northeast of Idaho Falls,

Idaho) and Rigby, Idaho, from junction U. S. Highway 191 and Idaho Highway 26 over Idaho Highway 26 to Ririe, Idaho, thence over Idaho Highway 48 to Rigby, and return over the same route, serving the intermediate point of Ririe, Idaho; (33) Between junction U. S. Highways 89 and 91, north of Salt Lake City, Utah, and Ogden, Utah, over U. S. Highway 89, serving no intermediate points; (34) Between junction U. S. Highways 89 and 30S near Uintah, Utah, and junction U. S. Highways 30S and 189 near Echo City, Utah, over U. S. Highway 30S, serving no intermediate points or the terminus near Uintah, as an alternate route for operating convenience only, joined with the route specified immediately above in connection with applicant's authorized regular route operations; (35) Between Salt Lake City, Utah, and Rock Springs, Wyo., from Salt Lake City over U. S. Highway 40 to Kimball Junction, Utah, thence over Utah Highway 42 to Wanship, near Coalville, Utah, thence over U. S. Highway 189 to junction U. S. Highway 30S, thence over U. S. Highway 30S to junction U. S. Highway 30, thence over U. S. Highway 30 to Rock Springs, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route point of Mountainview, Wyo.; (36) Between Salt Lake City, Utah, and Ely, Nev. over Alternate U. S. Highway 50, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route point of Tooele, Utah; (37) Between junction U. S. Highways 191 and 30S near Tremonton, Utah, and Weiser, Idaho, from junction U. S. Highways 191 and 30S over U. S. Highway 30S to Declo, Idaho, thence over Idaho Highway 77 across the Snake River to junction U. S. Highway 30N, thence over U. S. Highway 30N to Rupert, Idaho, thence over Idaho Highway 25 to Paul, Idaho, thence over Idaho Highway 27 across the Snake River to Burley, Idaho, thence over U. S. Highway 30 to junction U. S. Highway 20 just north of Caldwell, Idaho, thence over U. S. Highway 20 to junction U. S. Highway 26 south of Ontario, Oreg., thence over U. S. Highway 26 to junction U. S. Highway 30, thence over U. S. Highway 30 across the Snake River to junction U. S. Highway 95, thence over U. S. Highway 95 to Weiser, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points in Idaho and Oregon, and the off-route point of Adrian, Oreg.; (38) Between Declo, Idaho, and Burley, Idaho, over U. S. Highway 30S, serving all intermediate points; (39) Between Pocatello, Idaho, and Bliss, Idaho, from Pocatello over U. S. Highway 30N to Rupert, Idaho, thence over Idaho Highway 25 to Bliss, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route point of Aberdeen, Idaho; (40) Between Bliss, Idaho, and Shoshone, Idaho, over Idaho Highway 24, serving the intermediate point of Gooding, Idaho; (41) Between Gooding, Idaho, and Wendell, Idaho, over Idaho Highway 46, serving no intermediate points; (42) Between junction Idaho Highway 25 and U. S. Highway 93 and Sun Valley, Idaho, from junction Idaho Highway 25 and U. S. Highway 93 over U. S. Highway 93 to junction

Idaho Highway 75, thence over Idaho Highway 75 to Sun Valley, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (43) Between Malta, Idaho, and Declo, Idaho, over Idaho Highway 77, serving the intermediate point of Albion, Idaho; (44) Between Payette, Idaho, and Boise, Idaho, from Payette over Idaho Highway 52 to junction Idaho Highway 16, thence over Idaho Highway 16 via Emmett, Idaho, to junction Idaho Highway 44, east of Star, Idaho, thence over Idaho Highway 44 to Boise, and return over the same route, serving the intermediate point of Emmett, Idaho; (45) Between Parma, Idaho, and Caldwell, Idaho, from Parma over U. S. Highway 95 to junction Idaho Highway 20, west of Marsing, Idaho, thence over Idaho Highway 20 to Caldwell, and return over the same route, serving the intermediate points of Homedale and Marsing, Idaho; (46) Between Kimball Junction, Utah, and Vernal, Utah, over U. S. Highway 40, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route points of Park City and Midway, Utah; (47) Between Salt Lake City, Utah, and Kanab, Utah, from Salt Lake City over U. S. Highway 91 to Spanish Fork, Utah, thence over U. S. Highway 6 to Thistle, Utah, thence over U. S. Highway 89 to Kanab, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route points of Escalante, Tropic, Springdale, Eureka, Fountain Green, Monroni, and Monroe, Utah, and those in Salt Lake County, Utah; (48) Between Spanish Fork, Utah, and Nephi, Utah, over U. S. Highway 91, serving all intermediate points; and (49) Between Thistle, Utah, and Green River, Utah, over U. S. Highway 50, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route points of Kenilworth, Sunnyside, Dragerton, Columbia, Huntington, and Castle Dale, Utah; and (B) *Films and articles associated with the exhibition of motion pictures as described in Paragraphs A and B of Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M. C. C. 766, 769, newspapers, magazines, books, periodicals, bull semen and empty containers therefor, cut flowers, plants, and florists supplies, between points now authorized to applicant under Certificate No. MC 89684 and Subs thereunder, and those described in Routes 1 to 25, inclusive, above. Applicant is authorized to transport similar commodities in Idaho, Montana, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, and Wyoming.

Notes: Applicant requests that all duplicating authority be eliminated.

HEARING: September 19, 1958, at the Utah Public Service Commission, Salt Lake City, Utah, before Examiner Leo A. Riegel.

No. MC 92983 (Sub No. 279), filed February 27, 1958. Applicant: ELDON MILLER, INC., 330 East Washington Street, Iowa City, Iowa. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Fertilizers, in bulk, in tank vehicles, (1) from Memphis, Tenn., to points in Arkansas, Mississippi, and Missouri; and (2) from Greenville, Miss., to points in Arkansas and Louisiana. Applicant is authorized to conduct opera-

tions in Iowa, Illinois, Nebraska, Wisconsin, Missouri, Kansas, Indiana, Minnesota, Ohio, Arkansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, North Carolina, South Carolina, Florida, Tennessee, Michigan, New York, Texas, North Dakota, South Dakota, Pennsylvania, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Georgia, Mississippi, Oklahoma, and Alabama.

HEARING: September 19, 1958, at the Hotel Peabody, Memphis, Tenn., before Examiner Reece Harrison.

No. MC 94265 (Sub No. 64), filed July 17, 1958. Applicant: **BONNEY MOTOR EXPRESS, INC.**, P. O. Box 4657, Broad Creek Station, Norfolk, Va. Applicant's attorney: Wilmer B. Hill, Transportation Building, Washington, D. C. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products and meat byproducts*, as listed in sub-heading "A", Appendix I in Ex Parte No. MC 45, 61 M. C. C. 209, from Nutwood, Ind., to points in Virginia and North Carolina, Washington, D. C., Baltimore, Md., Aberdeen Proving Ground, Aberdeen, Md., Army Chemical Center and Edgewood Arsenal, Edgewood, Md., Fort George G. Meade, Md., U. S. Naval Air Station, Patuxent River, Md., and U. S. Naval Training Center, Bainbridge, Md. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in North Carolina, New York, Virginia, the District of Columbia, Maryland, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, Delaware, West Virginia, Michigan, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Minnesota, Nebraska, Ohio, Missouri, Kansas, Tennessee, Wisconsin, Connecticut, Florida, Kentucky, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island.

HEARING: September 16, 1958, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D. C., before Examiner Isadore Freidson.

No. MC 100858 (Sub No. 15), filed June 3, 1958. Applicant: **MASHKIN FREIGHT LINES, INC.**, 115 Park Avenue, East Hartford, Conn. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Such merchandise as is dealt in by wholesale, retail and chain grocery and food business houses, and in connection therewith, equipment, materials, and supplies used in the conduct of such businesses, between East Hartford and Bridgeport, Conn., and points in New Jersey;* (2) *such frozen foods, and frozen merchandise as is dealt in by wholesale, retail and chain grocery and food business houses, and in connection therewith, equipment, materials and supplies used in the conduct of such businesses, from the Bronx, N. Y. to points in New Jersey, and refused, rejected, surplus or damaged stock and empty containers on return.* Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Connecticut, Massachusetts, New York, New Jersey, Rhode Island, Vermont, and New Hampshire.

HEARING: September 10, 1958, at the U. S. Court Rooms, Hartford, Conn., before Examiner Donald R. Sutherland.

No. MC 103051 (Sub No. 46), filed May 7, 1958. Applicant: **WALKER HAULING CO., INC.**, 624 Penn Avenue NE.,

Atlanta 8, Ga. Applicant's attorney: R. J. Reynolds, Jr., 1403 Citizens and Southern National Bank Building, Atlanta 3, Ga. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Vegetable oil, animal oils, and fats and blends of the aforesaid commodities, in bulk, between points in Hamilton County, Tenn., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, Indiana, and Ohio;* (2) *liquid lard, in bulk, from points in Jefferson County, Ky., to points in Hamilton County, Tenn.* Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Georgia, Tennessee, Alabama, Delaware, Kentucky, Maryland, North Carolina, Virginia, and Florida.

HEARING: September 12, 1958, at the U. S. Post Office and Court House, Chattanooga, Tenn., before Examiner Reece Harrison.

No. MC 103051 (Sub No. 48), filed June 16, 1958. Applicant: **WALKER HAULING CO., INC.**, 624 Penn Avenue NE., Atlanta 8, Ga. Applicant's attorney: R. J. Reynolds, Jr., 1403 Citizens and Southern National Bank Building, Atlanta 3, Ga. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum products, in bulk, from points in Jefferson County, Ala., to points in Escambia County, Fla.* Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Georgia, Tennessee, Alabama, Delaware, Kentucky, Maryland, North Carolina, Virginia, Florida, South Carolina, Louisiana, and Texas.

HEARING: September 24, 1958, at the Hotel Thomas Jefferson, Birmingham, Ala., before Joint Board No. 98, or, if the Joint Board waives before Examiner Harold W. Angle.

No. MC 103051 (Sub No. 50), filed July 10, 1958. Applicant: **WALKER HAULING CO., INC.**, 624 Penn Avenue NE., Atlanta 8, Ga. Applicant's attorney: R. J. Reynolds, Jr., 1403 Citizens and Southern National Bank Building, Atlanta 3, Ga. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *oils and fats and blends of the aforesaid commodities, between points in Hamilton County, Tenn., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Virginia and South Carolina;* (2) *oils and fats and blends of the aforesaid commodities, from points in North Carolina, to points in Hamilton County, Tenn.* Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Georgia, Tennessee, Alabama, Delaware, Kentucky, Maryland, North Carolina, Virginia, Florida, South Carolina, Louisiana, and Texas.

HEARING: September 12, 1958, at the U. S. Post Office and Court House, Chattanooga, Tenn., before Examiner Reece Harrison.

No. MC 103435 (Sub No. 80), filed June 23, 1958. Applicant: **BUCKINGHAM TRANSPORTATION, INC.**, Omaha and West Boulevard, Rapid City, S. Dak. Applicant's attorney: Marion F. Jones, 526 Denham Building, Denver 2, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, transporting: *Class A and B explosives, and general commodities, except those of unusual*

value, and except household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, serving points within fifty (50) miles of Cheyenne, Wyo., as off-route points in connection with applicant's authorized regular route operations, to, from and through Cheyenne. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Colorado, Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

NOTE: Applicant states that the purpose of the instant application is solely to serve launching sites of the Inter-Continental Ballistics Missiles, and any authority granted may be so restricted.

HEARING: September 26, 1958, at the New Customs House, Denver, Colo., before Joint Board No. 198, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Leo A. Riegel.

No. MC 103993 (Sub No. 111), filed May 21, 1958. Applicant: **MORGAN DRIVE-AWAY, INC.**, 509 Equity Building, Elkhart, Ind. Applicant's attorney: John E. Lesow, 3737 North Meridian Street, Indianapolis 8, Ind. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Trailers, designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles, in initial movements, in truckaway service, from Crossville, Tenn., and points within 10 miles thereof to points in the United States.* Applicant is authorized to conduct operations throughout the United States.

HEARING: September 25, 1958, at the Dinkler-Andrew Jackson Hotel, Nashville, Tenn., before Examiner Reece Harrison.

No. MC 104004 (Sub No. 139), filed March 13, 1958. Applicant: **ASSOCIATED TRANSPORT, INC.**, 380 Madison Avenue, New York 17, N. Y. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over a regular route, transporting: *Class A and B explosives, between Kingsport, Tenn., and Lynchburg, Va., from Kingsport over U. S. Highway 11-W to Bristol, Va., thence over U. S. Highway 11 to Dublin, Va. (also from junction U. S. Highway 11 and Virginia Highways 101 and 100 over Virginia Highways 101 and 100 to Dublin, Va.) thence over U. S. Highway 11 to Roanoke, Va., thence over U. S. Highway 460 to junction U. S. Highway 29 at Lynchburg, and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points.* Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Delaware, Georgia, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and the District of Columbia.

NOTE: Applicant states that it presently has general commodities rights over the routes proposed. This application is only for transporting explosive traffic over the routes it now holds for general commodities freight.

HEARING: September 26, 1958, at the Dinkler-Andrew Jackson Hotel, Nashville, Tenn., before Joint Board No. 279, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Reece Harrison.

No. MC 106089 (Sub No. 5), filed June 23, 1958. Applicant: JOHN G. LANE LINE, INC., 1017 North McDuff Avenue, Jacksonville 5, Fla. Applicant's attorney: Martin Sack, 500 Atlantic National Bank Building, Jacksonville 2, Fla. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Bakery products and merchandise distributed by baking companies and, in connection therewith, bakery advertising matter, crates, racks, and containers, from Jacksonville, Fla., to points in Florida, and stale and rejected bakery products, and empty containers or other such incidental facilities (not specified) used in transporting the commodities specified in this application on return.* Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Alabama, Florida, and Georgia.

HEARING: September 15, 1958, at the Mayflower Hotel, Jacksonville, Fla., before Joint Board No. 205, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Harold W. Angle.

No. MC 106398 (Sub No. 104), filed May 21, 1958. Applicant: NATIONAL TRAILER CONVOY, INC., 1916 North Sheridan Road, P. O. Box 8096, Dawson Station, Tulsa 15, Okla. Applicant's attorney: John E. Lesow, 3737 North Meridian Street, Indianapolis 8, Ind. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Trailers, designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles, in initial movements, in truckaway service, from Crossville, Tenn., and points within 10 miles thereof to points in the United States.* Applicant is authorized to conduct operations throughout the United States.

HEARING: September 25, 1958, at the Dinkler-Andrew Jackson Hotel, Nashville, Tenn., before Examiner Reece Harrison.

No. MC 107002 (Sub No. 123), filed June 2, 1958. Applicant: W. M. CHAMBERS TRUCK LINE, INC., 920 Louisiana Boulevard (P. O. Box 547), Kenner, La. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Animal oils vegetable oils, and blends and products thereof, in bulk, in tank vehicles, between Memphis, Tennessee, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arkansas, Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia.* Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia.

NOTE: Duplication with present authority to be eliminated.

HEARING: September 22, 1958, at the Peabody Hotel, Memphis, Tenn., before Examiner Reece Harrison.

No. MC 107002 (Sub No. 124), filed June 2, 1958. Applicant: W. M. CHAMBERS TRUCK LINE, INC., 920 Louisiana Boulevard (P. O. Box 547), Kenner, La. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Vegetable oils, in bulk, in tank vehicles, between Evadale, Ark., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arkansas, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Oklahoma, Tennessee, and Texas.* Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia.

NOTE: Duplication with present authority to be eliminated.

HEARING: September 22, 1958, at the Peabody Hotel, Memphis, Tenn., before Examiner Reece Harrison.

No. MC 107002 (Sub No. 125), filed June 2, 1958. Applicant: W. M. CHAMBERS TRUCK LINE, INC., 920 Louisiana Boulevard (P. O. Box 547), Kenner, La. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Animal oils and vegetable oils, and products and blends thereof, in bulk, in tank vehicles, between New Orleans, La., and points in Texas; and (2) Glycerine, in bulk, in tank vehicles from Houston, Tex., to New Orleans, La., and Memphis, Tenn.* Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia.

NOTE: Duplication with present authority to be eliminated.

HEARING: September 22, 1958, at the Peabody Hotel, Memphis, Tenn., before Examiner Reece Harrison.

No. MC 107107 (Sub No. 96), filed March 7, 1958. Applicant: ALTERMAN TRANSPORT LINES, INC., P. O. Box 65 Allapattah Station, 2424 Northwest 46th Street, Miami 42, Fla. Applicant's attorney: Frank B. Hand, Jr., Transportation Building, Washington 6, D. C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Food, food products and food ingredients, from points in Massachusetts, Connecticut, and Rhode Island to points in Florida, and Savannah, Ga.* Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia,

Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia.

HEARING: September 29, 1958, at the New Post Office and Court House Building, Boston, Mass., before Examiner Allen W. Hagerty.

No. MC 107403 (Sub No. 265), filed July 25, 1958. Applicant: E. BROOKE MATTACK, INC., 33d and Arch Streets, Philadelphia 4, Pa. Applicant's attorney: Paul F. Barnes, 225 South 15th Street, Philadelphia 2, Pa. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals, isocyanates, and liquid plastic materials, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from New Martinsville, W. Va., to Chicago, Ill., Gary, Hammond, and La Porte, Ind., Keokuk, Iowa, Baltimore, Md., Detroit, Mich., St. Louis, Mo., Franklin, N. J., and Marietta, Ohio.* Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, Alabama, Connecticut, Georgia, Delaware, Indiana, Illinois, Kentucky, Maine, North Carolina, Ohio, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia.

HEARING: September 18, 1958 at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D. C., before Examiner Michael B. Driscoll.

No. MC 107515 (Sub No. 283), filed May 21, 1958. Applicant: REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CO., INC., 290 University Avenue SW., Atlanta 10, Ga. Applicant's attorney: Allan Watkins, 214-216 Grant Building, Atlanta 3, Ga. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods, from Florence, Ala., and Gainesville and Atlanta, Ga., to points in Idaho, Nevada, Utah, and West Virginia, and those in Pennsylvania and Virginia on and west of U. S. Highway 15.* Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Alabama, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and Wisconsin.

HEARING: September 18, 1958, at 680 West Peachtree Street NW., Atlanta, Ga., before Examiner Harold W. Angle.

No. MC 107515 (Sub No. 285), filed May 28, 1958. Applicant: REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CO., INC., 290 University Avenue SW., Atlanta 10, Ga. Applicant's attorney: Allan Watkins, 214-216 Grant Building, Atlanta 3, Ga. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods, from Jackson and Columbus, Ohio to points in Tennessee, Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, Florida, North Carolina, South*

Carolina, Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Texas, New Mexico, Arizona, and California. Applicant is authorized to transport similar commodities in Georgia, Tennessee, Louisiana, Alabama, North Carolina, South Carolina, Florida, Mississippi, Iowa, Arkansas, Minnesota, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, Ohio, Wisconsin, Oklahoma, and Texas.

HEARING: September 18, 1958, at 680 Peachtree Street NW., Atlanta, Ga., before Examiner Harold W. Angle.

No. MC 107515 (Sub No. 286), filed June 2, 1958. Applicant: REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CO., INC., 290 University Avenue SW., Atlanta 10, Ga. Applicant's attorney: Allan Watkins, 214-216 Grant Building, Atlanta 3, Ga. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products and meat by-products, packinghouse products, and commodities used by packinghouses*, as defined by the Commission in MC-45, from Grenada, Miss., to points in Alabama, Minnesota, Indiana, Ohio, Kentucky, Florida, Tennessee, Wisconsin, and Georgia. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and Wisconsin.

HEARING: September 19, 1958, at 680 Peachtree Street NW., Atlanta, Ga., before Examiner Harold W. Angle.

No. MC 107515 (Sub No. 289), filed June 19, 1958. Applicant: REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CO., INC., 290 University Avenue SW., Atlanta 10, Ga. Applicant's attorney: Allan Watkins, 214-216 Grant Building, Atlanta 3, Ga. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, packinghouse products, and commodities used by packinghouses*, as defined by the Commission in 61 M. C. C., Appendix I, from Bristol, Va., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, and South Carolina. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Alabama, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and Wisconsin.

HEARING: September 16, 1958, at 680 West Peachtree Street NW., Atlanta, Ga., before Examiner Harold W. Angle.

No. MC 107839 (Sub No. 25), filed April 28, 1958. Applicant: DENVER-ALBUQUERQUE MOTOR TRANSPORT, INC., 4716 Humboldt Street, Denver, Colo. Applicant's attorney: Marion F. Jones, 526 Denham Building, Denver 2, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Compressed gas*, in cylinders, when moving on shipper-owned or Government-owned trailers, and *empty gas cylinders*, when moving on shipper-owned or Government-owned trailers, between the site of the United States Helium Plant near

Soncy, Tex., on the one hand, and, on the other, the site of the Glenn L. Martin Plant near Littleton, Colo., and Cheyenne, Wyo., and points within twenty (20) miles of Cheyenne. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Colorado, Florida, Louisiana, New Mexico, and Texas.

HEARING: September 24, 1958, at the New Customs House, Denver, Colo., before Examiner Leo A. Riegel.

No. MC 108223 (Sub No. 10), (CLARIFICATION) filed July 7, 1958, published Federal Register issue of July 23, 1958. Applicant: CENTURY-MATTHEWS MOTOR FREIGHT, INC., 202 South 26th Avenue West, Duluth, Minn. Applicant's attorney: Hoyt Crooks, 842 Raymond Avenue, St. Paul 14, Minn. Applicant's attorney advises that the *general commodities* proposed to be transported moving in express service will exclude *Class A and B explosives*. The purpose of this publication is to amend item 2 of the previous publication so that the commodity description therein will read: (2) *general commodities, moving in express service*.

No. MC 109385 (Sub No. 23), filed July 2, 1958. Applicant: SUBLER TRANSFER, INC., East Main Street, Versailles, Ohio. Applicant's attorney: Taylor C. Burneson, 3510 Leveque-Lincoln Tower, Columbus 15, Ohio. Authority sought to operate as a *contract or common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Frozen foods*, from Jackson, Ohio, to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia; and (2) *Ingredients* (See Note 1), *materials, and supplies* (See Note 2) (not including liquids, in bulk, in tank vehicles), used in the processing, manufacture, packaging or transportation of frozen foods, from points in Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin to Jackson, Ohio. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia.

NOTE 1: Applicant states the word "Ingredients" is intended to embrace such commodities as (not limited to) shortening, salt, salt tablets, cornstarch, flour, powdered eggs, frozen pork, beef, and chicken, frozen shrimp, frozen cherries, soya sauce, bamboo shoots, water chestnuts, mushrooms, peanut oil, onions, rice, canned red peppers, carmel coloring powder, mung beans, dehydrated onions, green onions, celery, green peppers, dry milk powder, tomato puree, tomato paste, dehydrated apples, canned pineapples, almonds, vinegar, various powdered seasonings, and sugar.

NOTE 2: Applicant states the words "materials" and "supplies" are intended to embrace such commodities as (not limited to) duck sauce packets, mustard packets, ship-

ping cartons and cases, foil over-wrap, frozen food packages, aluminum foil dinner trays, aluminum dinner trays, cans and covers, and can labels. A proceeding has been instituted under section 212 (c) to determine whether applicant's status is that of a contract or common carrier in No. MC 109385 (Sub No. 16).

HEARING: September 12, 1958, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D. C., before Examiner Herbert L. Hanback.

No. MC 109689 (Sub No. 64), filed May 9, 1958. Applicant: W. S. HATCH CO., a corporation, 643 South 800 West, Woods Cross, Utah. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Commodities in bulk, except liquid petroleum and petroleum products and acids and chemicals, from Garfield and Geneva (Utah County), Utah, and points within 25 miles of each, to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Washington, and Wyoming, and rejected or contaminated shipments of the above-described commodities on return*. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, and Wyoming.

HEARING: September 15, 1958, at the Utah Public Service Commission, Salt Lake City, Utah, before Examiner Leo A. Riegel.

No. MC 110193 (Sub No. 33), filed June 12, 1958. Applicant: SAFEWAY TRUCK LINES, INC., 4625 West 55th Street, Chicago, Ill. Applicant's attorney: Joseph M. Scanlan, 111 West Washington Street, Chicago 2, Ill. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cocoa, cocoa butter, cocoa grease, candy, confectionery and chewing gum*, from points in Massachusetts and Connecticut to points in Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, Minnesota, Wisconsin, and Denver, Colo., and *empty containers or other such incidental facilities* (not specified), used in transporting the above commodities on return. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Arkansas, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Wisconsin.

HEARING: September 17, 1958, at the New Post Office and Court House Building, Boston, Mass., before Examiner Donald R. Sutherland.

No. MC 110284 (Sub No. 7), filed May 15, 1958. Applicant: H. W. MILLER TRUCKING COMPANY, A Corporation, Hillsboro Road, P. O. Box 605, West Durham Station, Durham, N. C. Applicant's attorney: A. W. Flynn, Jr., 201-204 Jefferson Building, Greensboro, N. C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Prefabricated and pre-cut building and houses, complete, knocked down, or in sections, and all component parts necessary to the construction, erection or completion of such buildings and houses*, from Durham, N. C., to points in Florida, Tennessee, Vir-

ginia, and Maryland, and empty containers or other such incidental facilities (not specified) used in transporting the commodities specified in this application on return. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia.

HEARING: September 3, 1958, at the North Carolina Utilities Commission State Library Building, Morgan Street, Raleigh, N. C., before Examiner Harold W. Angle.

No. MC 111159 (Sub No. 57), filed June 13, 1958. Applicant: MILLER TRANSPORTERS, LTD., a Corporation, Highway 80 West, P. O. Box 1123, Jackson, Miss. Applicant's attorney: Phineas Stevens, 900 Milner Building, P. O. Box 141, Jackson, Miss. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Petroleum and petroleum products, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Memphis, Tenn., to points in Alabama (except that portion on and west and north of a line extending from the Tennessee-Alabama State line along U. S. Highway 31 to Cullman, Ala., thence along Alabama Highway 69 to junction Alabama Highway 18, thence along Alabama Highway 18 to the Alabama-Mississippi State Line), to points in Georgia, points in that portion of Kentucky on and east of U. S. Highway 41, and to points in that portion of Indiana on and south of U. S. Highway 50 and Cincinnati, Ohio, and points in Ohio within 25 miles of Cincinnati. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Oklahoma, and Tennessee.

Note: Any duplication with present authority to be eliminated.

HEARING: September 24, 1958, at the Peabody Hotel, Memphis, Tenn., before Examiner Reece Harrison.

No. MC 111159 (Sub No. 58), filed June 13, 1958. Applicant: MILLER TRANSPORTERS, LTD., a Corporation, Highway 80 West, P. O. Box 1123, Jackson, Miss. Applicant's attorney: Phineas Stevens, 900 Milner Building, P. O. Box 141, Jackson, Miss. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Petroleum and petroleum products, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Warren and Washington Counties, Miss., to points in Arkansas, points in Louisiana on and north of U. S. Highway 84, and those in Tennessee west of the Tennessee River. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Oklahoma, and Tennessee.

Note: Any duplication with present authority to be eliminated.

HEARING: September 17, 1958, at the Robert E. Lee Hotel, Jackson, Miss., before Examiner Reece Harrison.

No. MC 111434 (Sub No. 15), filed June 23, 1958. Applicant: DON WARD, INC., 730 Equitable Building, Denver 2, Colo. Applicant's attorney: John H. Lewis, The

1650 Grant Street Building, Denver 3, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Sulphuric acid, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Rico, Colo., and points within 10 miles thereof to points in Grand and San Juan Counties, Utah. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Colorado, Utah, and New Mexico.

HEARING: September 18, 1958, at the Utah Public Service Commission, Salt Lake City, Utah, before Joint Board No. 213, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Leo A. Riegel.

No. MC 111435 (Sub No. 18), filed July 3, 1958. Applicant: C. & E. TRUCKING CORP., R. D. No. 3, Box 4A3, Saugerties, N. Y. Applicant's representative: Bert Collins, 140 Cedar Street, New York 6, N. Y. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Liquid sugar, invert sugar and corn syrup, and blends or mixtures thereof, and flavoring syrup, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Pittsburgh, Pa., to points in Virginia, West Virginia, and Ohio, and rejected, damaged and returned shipments of the above commodities, on return. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in New York, New Jersey, Maryland, Virginia, Vermont, and Michigan.

HEARING: September 12, 1958, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D. C., before Examiner David Waters.

No. MC 111677 (Sub No. 2), filed May 22, 1958. Applicant: BELLIPANNI BROTHERS, INC., Highway 82, Indianola, Miss. Applicant's attorneys: Arthur B. Clark, Jr., Indianola, Miss., and Phineas Stevens, Suite 900 Milner Building, P. O. Box 141, Jackson, Miss. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Brick and structural tile, (1) from Indianola, Miss., and points within 10 miles thereof to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Louisiana, and Tennessee; (2) from Birmingham and Phoenix City, Ala., and points within 10 miles of each to points in Mississippi, and empty containers or other such incidental facilities (not specified) used in transporting the above commodities on return. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Louisiana, Arkansas, and Mississippi.

HEARING: September 15, 1958, at the Robert E. Lee Hotel, Jackson, Miss., before Examiner Reece Harrison.

No. MC 112173 (Sub No. 16), filed April 14, 1958. Applicant: BOYD E. RICHNER, INC., 404 Third Avenue, Durango, Colo. Applicant's attorney: John H. Lewis, The 1650 Grant Street Building, Denver 3, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Salt, in bulk, from points in Utah, and from railheads in Colorado located west of the Continental Divide to Slick Rock, Maybell, Uravan, Naturita, Durango, and Gunnison, Colo., and empty containers or other such incidental facilities (not specified) used in transporting salt, from the above-specified destination points to the above-de-

scribed origin points. Applicant is authorized to conduct regular route operations in Colorado and Wyoming, and irregular route operations in Colorado, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming.

HEARING: September 18, 1958, at Utah Public Service Commission, Salt Lake City, Utah, before Joint Board No. 213, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Leo A. Riegel.

No. MC 112330 (Sub No. 3), filed May 26, 1958. Applicant: A. G. OGDEN, P. O. Box 236, Naranja, Fla. Applicant's attorney: Frank B. Hand, Jr., Transportation Building, Washington 6, D. C. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Insecticides, fungicides, pesticides, herbicides and fertilizers, in containers, from points in New Jersey, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Strang, Texas, to points in Florida.

HEARING: September 10, 1958, at the Angebilt Hotel, Orlando, Fla., before Examiner Harold W. Angle.

No. MC 112497 (Sub No. 110), filed May 10, 1958. Applicant: HEARIN TANK LINES, INC., 6440 Rawlins Street, Baton Rouge, La. Applicant's attorney: Harry C. Ames, Jr., Transportation Building, Washington, D. C. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Rosin sizing, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Hattiesburg, Miss., to points in Louisiana and Texas. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Louisiana, Mississippi, Arkansas, Alabama, Georgia, Florida, Tennessee, Texas, New Jersey, Missouri, South Carolina, Kentucky, New York, California, Ohio, Illinois, Indiana, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and North Carolina.

HEARING: September 18, 1958, at the Robert E. Lee Hotel, Jackson, Miss., before Joint Board No. 246, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Reece Harrison.

No. MC 113843 (Sub No. 26), filed June 2, 1958. Applicant: REFRIGERATED FOOD EXPRESS, INC., 316 Summer Street, Boston 10, Mass. Applicant's attorney: James M. Walsh, 316 Summer Street, Boston 10, Mass. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Frozen foods, from Dundee, N. Y., to points in Arkansas, Colorado, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Nebraska, and Oklahoma. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Ohio, Massachusetts, New York, Maryland, the District of Columbia, Virginia, West Virginia, Illinois, Wisconsin, Connecticut, Indiana, Michigan, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Kentucky, Maine, New Hampshire, Texas, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Delaware, Missouri, Minnesota, Nebraska, Iowa, and Colorado.

HEARING: September 26, 1958, at the Federal Building, Syracuse, N. Y., before Examiner Donald R. Sutherland.

No. MC 114091 (Sub No. 21), filed June 11, 1958. Applicant: DIRECT TRANSPORT COMPANY OF KENTUCKY, INC., 3601 South Seventh Street Road, Louisville, Ky. Applicant's attorney:

Ollie L. Merchant, 712 Louisville Trust Building, Louisville 2, Ky. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum and petroleum products*, as described in Appendix XIII to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M. C. C. 209, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Butler County, Ohio to points in Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia. Applicant is authorized to conduct similar operations in Arkansas, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, Ohio, South Carolina, and Tennessee.

HEARING: September 30, 1958, at the Kentucky Hotel, Louisville, Ky., before Examiner Reece Harrison.

No. MC 114106 (Sub No. 11), filed June 2, 1958. Applicant: MAYBELLE TRANSPORT COMPANY, Box 461, 1820 South Main, Lexington, N. C. Applicant's attorney: Dale C. Dillon, 1825 Jefferson Place, Washington 6, D. C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid sugar, corn syrup, and blends of corn syrup and liquid sugar*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Charlotte and Lexington, N. C., to points in Tennessee on and east of a line extending along U. S. Highway 11W from Bristol, Tenn.-Va., to Knoxville, Tenn., thence along U. S. Highway 11 to Chattanooga, Tenn., those in Virginia on and south of U. S. Highway 60, and those in South Carolina and Georgia. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, Georgia, and Tennessee. Applicant is also authorized to operate as a contract carrier by virtue of Permit No. MC 115176. Duplication of authority should be eliminated.

HEARING: September 5, 1958, at the North Carolina Utilities Commission, State Library Building, Morgan Street, Raleigh, N. C., before Examiner Harold W. Angle.

No. MC 114364 (Sub No. 38), filed April 24, 1958. Applicant: WRIGHT MOTOR LINES, INC., 16th and Elm, Rocky Ford, Colo. Applicant's attorney: Marion F. Jones, 526 Denham Building, Denver 2, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Solid carbon dioxide (Dry Ice)*, in insulated vehicles, from the site of the Emerald Oil & Carbonia Co. plant, approximately 32 miles south of Las Animas, Colo., to points in Texas; and (2) *Lumber*, from points in Louisiana to points in Colorado, Wyoming, points in Kansas and Nebraska on and west of U. S. Highway 183, points in New Mexico on and north of U. S. Highway 66, and those in San Juan County, Utah, and Moab, Utah. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations throughout the United States.

HEARING: September 23, 1958, at the New Customs House, Denver, Colo., before Examiner Leo A. Riegel.

No. MC 114364 (Sub No. 39), filed July 10, 1958. Applicant: WRIGHT MOTOR LINES, INC., 16th and Elm, Rocky Ford, Colo. Applicant's attorney: Marion F. Jones, Suite 526 Denham

Building, Denver 2, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Glass containers*, from Palestine, Tex., to points in Colorado and Wyoming, and those in New Mexico on and north of U. S. Highway 66. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations throughout the United States.

HEARING: September 24, 1958, at the New Customs House, Denver, Colo., before Examiner Leo A. Riegel.

No. MC 114553 (Sub No. 5), filed May 19, 1958. Applicant: DUDLEY TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., 717 Memorial Drive SE., Atlanta 16, Ga. Applicant's attorney: R. J. Reynolds, Jr., 1403 Citizens and Southern National Bank Building., Atlanta 3, Ga. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Bakery products*, from the plant site of Aunt Fanny's Pie Company at Atlanta, Ga., to points in Alabama, Florida, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, and Tennessee, except Chattanooga, and *stale bakery products and empty containers or other such incidental facilities (not specified)* used in transporting bakery products on return. Applicant is authorized to transport similar commodities in Georgia, Tennessee, Alabama, Florida, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Virginia.

NOTE: A proceeding has been instituted under section 212 (c) to determine whether applicant's status is that of a contract or common carrier in No. MC 114553 (Sub No. 3).

HEARING: September 16, 1958, at 630 West Peachtree Street NW., Atlanta, Ga., before Examiner Harold W. Angle.

No. MC 114939 (Sub No. 10), filed May 28, 1958. Applicant: BULK CARRIERS LIMITED, Box 368, Sarnia, Ontario, Canada. Applicant's attorney: Walter N. Bieneman, Guardian Building, Detroit 26, Mich. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Malt vinegar*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from ports of entry on the boundary between the United States and Canada at Buffalo, Niagara Falls, and Rockport, N. Y., and Port Huron and Detroit, Mich., to points in Massachusetts, New York, Connecticut, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Maryland, Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, and Wisconsin. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations from and to specified ports of entry on the United States-Canadian boundary from and to specified points in Michigan, and from specified ports of entry in Michigan to points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, and Ohio.

NOTE: Applicant states the proposed operation is to be restricted to traffic originating in Canada.

HEARING: October 1, 1958, at the Hotel Buffalo, Washington and Swan Streets, Buffalo, N. Y., before Examiner Donald R. Sutherland.

No. MC 115001 (Sub No. 2), filed May 19, 1958. Applicant: WESTERN OIL TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 611 West Texas Street, Midland, Tex. Applicant's attorneys: Thomas E. James and Charles D. Mathews, 1020 Brown

Building, P. O. Box 858, Austin, Tex. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fracturing fluids*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Hobbs, N. Mex., to points in Lea, Chaves, Roosevelt, Eddy, and Curry Counties, N. Mex., and to points in Terry, Gaines, Yoakum, Andrews, Pecos, Reeves, Crockett, Upton, Midland, Howard, Martin, Ector, Winkler, Hockley, and Cochran Counties, Tex. Applicant is authorized to transport crude oil in New Mexico and Texas.

NOTE: Applicant states that the proposed service will be conducted from point of supply or storage to actual well locations located in the above-described counties.

HEARING: October 1, 1958, at the New Mexico State Corporation Commission, Santa Fe, N. Mex., before Joint Board No. 33, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Leo A. Riegel.

No. MC 115322 (Sub No. 10), filed May 26, 1958. Applicant: J. M. BLYTHE, doing business as J. M. BLYTHE MOTOR LINES, P. O. Box 489, Sanford, Fla. Applicant's attorney: Frank B. Hand, Jr., Transportation Building, Washington 6, D. C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from points in Florida to points in Connecticut, Rhode Island, Delaware, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Vermont, and Maine. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Maine, New Hampshire, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Vermont, and Virginia.

NOTE: Applicant states he will surrender any duplication authority.

HEARING: September 8, 1958, at the Angebilt Hotel, Orlando, Fla., before Examiner Harold W. Angle.

No. MC 115606 (Sub No. 3), filed May 29, 1958. Applicant: NORTH CREEK TRUCKING, INC., North Creek, N. Y. Applicant's attorney: John J. Brady, Jr., 75 State Street, Albany 7, N. Y. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Rough lumber*, (1) from points in Essex, Warren, Herkimer, Onondaga, Otsego, Lewis, Washington, Delaware, Franklin, Hamilton, Saratoga, Madison, and Clinton Counties, N. Y., and those in Vermont and Massachusetts to points in Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, Ohio, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Michigan, Indiana, New York, Georgia, and Washington, D. C., (2) from points in Rensselaer, Washington, Saratoga and Clinton Counties, N. Y. to points in New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Massachusetts, Connecticut, New Hampshire, Maine, Vermont, and Rhode Island; (3) from the ports of entry of Rouses Point, Champlain, Cornwall, and Niagara Falls, N. Y., on the international boundary line between the United States and Canada to points in New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Vermont, Connecticut, and Maine; (4) from points in Ohio to points in New York,

Massachusetts, and New Jersey; (5) from points in Virginia, Georgia, Tennessee, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Maryland to points in New York, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and New Jersey; *Baled shavings*, from points in Herkimer, Essex, Warren, and Washington Counties, N. Y., to points in New York, New Jersey, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, New Hampshire, Connecticut, Maine, and Rhode Island. Applicant is authorized to conduct contract carrier operations by virtue of Permit No. MC 114411. A proceeding has been instituted under section 212 (c) to determine whether applicant's status is that of a contract or common carrier in MC 114411 (Sub No. 1).

HEARING: September 24, 1958, at the Federal Building, Albany, N. Y., before Examiner Donald R. Sutherland.

No. MC 116038 (Sub No. 5), filed June 10, 1958. Applicant: NORTHERN MOTOR CARRIERS, INC., Route 9, Saratoga Road, Fort Edward, N. Y. Applicant's attorney: Harold G. Hernly, 1624 Eye Street NW., Washington 6, D. C. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cinders, shale or slate*, in dump trailers, from Cohoes, N. Y., to points in New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Maine, Massachusetts, Vermont, Connecticut, Rhode Island, and New Hampshire. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in New York, New Jersey, Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Pennsylvania.

HEARING: September 25, 1958, at the Federal Building, Albany, N. Y., before Examiner Donald R. Sutherland.

No. MC 116045 (Sub No. 6), filed May 19, 1958. Applicant: NEUMAN TRANSIT CO., INC., P. O. Box 31, Rawlins, Wyo. Applicant's attorney: Robert S. Stauffer, 1510 East 20th Street, Cheyenne, Wyo. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Sulphuric acid*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Riverton, Wyo., and points within five miles of Riverton, to points in South Dakota, and *contaminated and rejected shipments* of the above-specified commodity on return. Applicant is authorized to operate in Colorado and Wyoming.

HEARING: September 11, 1958, at the Wyoming Public Service Commission, Supreme Court and State Library Building, Cheyenne, Wyo., before Joint Board No. 183, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Leo A. Riegel.

No. MC 116564 (Sub No. 1), filed July 18, 1958. Applicant: LEWIS W. McCURDY AND MARGARET J. McCURDY, doing business as McCURDY'S TRUCKING CO., 571 Unity Street, Latrobe, Pa. Applicant's attorney: Paul P. Sullivan, 1821 Jefferson Place, N.W., Washington 6, D. C. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Malt beverages*, in containers, from Latrobe, Pa., to points in Maryland (except Baltimore, Aberdeen, and Capitol Heights, Md.), Akron and Cleveland, Ohio, Detroit and Monroe, Mich., and

Elmira and Olean, N. Y., and *empty containers or other such incidental facilities* (not specified) used in transporting Malt beverages on return. Applicant is authorized to transport similar commodities in Delaware, Maryland, and Pennsylvania.

HEARING: September 16, 1958, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D. C., before Examiner James I. Carr.

No. MC 116722 (Sub No. 5), filed June 23, 1958. Applicant: DENVER-CLIMAX TRUCK LINE, INC., 5460 Colorado Boulevard, P. O. Box 6735, Denver 16, Colo. Applicants attorney: John H. Lewis, The 1650 Grant Street Building, Denver 3, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over a regular route, transporting: *General commodities*, including *Class A and B explosives, articles of unusual value, and household goods as defined by the Commission*, but excluding commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles, and those requiring special equipment, between Denver, Colo., and Leadville, Colo., from Denver over U. S. Highway 6 to junction Colorado Highway 91, thence over Colorado Highway 91 to Leadville, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points west of the Continental Divide except Kokomo and Climax, Colo., and serving the off-route points of Breckenridge and Montezuma, Colo., but restricted against traffic moving between the terminal points of Denver and Leadville, Colo. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Colorado.

NOTE: Applicant states that the purpose of the instant application is to add all intermediate points west of the Continental Divide, except those points presently authorized to applicant and to add the off-route points of Breckenridge and Montezuma, Colo. Duplication with present authority to be eliminated.

HEARING: September 25, 1958, at the New Customs House, Denver, Colo., before Joint Board No. 126, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Leo A. Riegel.

No. MC 116979 (Sub No. 2), filed May 23, 1958. Applicant: LAICO TERMINAL WAREHOUSE, INC., Industrial Road, Carlstadt, N. J. Applicant's attorney: Jerome L. Kessler, 55 Commerce Street, Newark 2, N. J. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such merchandise as is dealt in by wholesale and retail appliance stores*, from Carlstadt, N. J., to New York, N. Y., and points in Nassau, Orange, Rockland, Suffolk, and Westchester Counties, N. Y., and *returned, repossessed and trade-in shipments* of the above-described commodities on return.

NOTE: Applicant states the proposed service is under a continuing contract with Vim Electric Co., Inc.

HEARING: September 22, 1958, at 346 Broadway, New York, N. Y., before Examiner Allen W. Hagerty.

No. MC 117246 (Sub No. 2), filed June 23, 1958. Applicant: MARINE EXPRESS CO., INC., Reidy Place, Greenwood Lake, Hewitt, N. J. Applicant's representative: A. David Millner, 1060

Broad Street, Newark 2, N. J. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Boats*, uncrated, (1) from Manistee, Mich., to points in Connecticut, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and the District of Columbia; (2) from Peekskill, N. Y., to points in Ohio, Michigan, and Indiana, and those in Pennsylvania on and west of U. S. Highway 15; (3) from Trenton, N. J., to points in Ohio and Michigan.

HEARING: September 16, 1958, at 346 Broadway, New York, N. Y., before Examiner Allen W. Hagerty.

No. MC 117312, filed March 31, 1958. Applicant: DENNIS CARRUTHERS, doing business as TRUCK EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLY, 363 Loma Vista, Torrington, Wyo. Applicant's attorney: Robert S. Stauffer, 1510 East 20th Street, Cheyenne, Wyo. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Beer and other malt beverages, malt beverage containers and cartons, advertising matter, and brewery products moving incidental to the movement of malt beverages*, from Minneapolis and St. Paul, Minn., Omaha, Nebr., St. Louis, Mo., and Milwaukee, Wis., to points in Wyoming, and *empty containers or other such incidental facilities* (not specified) used in transporting the above-specified commodities and advertising matter on return; and (2) *Bentonite and bentonite products*, from Casper and Upton, Wyo., to Minneapolis and St. Paul, Minn., St. Louis and Kansas City, Mo., Chicago, Ill., Milwaukee, Wis., Tulsa and Oklahoma City, Okla., and Kokomo, Ind.

HEARING: September 12, 1958, at the Wyoming Public Service Commission, Supreme Court and State Library Building, Cheyenne, Wyo., before Examiner Leo A. Riegel.

No. MC 117344 (Sub No. 8) (CORRECTION), filed July 7, 1958, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER July 23, 1958, at page 5596. Applicant: THE MAXWELL CO., 2200 Glendale-Milford Road, Cincinnati 15, Ohio. Applicant's attorney: Herbert Baker, 50 West Broad Street, Columbus 15, Ohio. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Sugar*, in bulk, in tank or hopper-type trucks, from Cincinnati, Ohio to points in Indiana, and Kentucky, and *empty containers or other such incidental facilities* (not specified) used in transporting the above commodities on return. The purpose of this republication is to include the State of Kentucky as a destination territory which was inadvertently omitted in the July 23, 1958 issue. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations as a contract carrier in Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin.

NOTE: A proceeding has been instituted under section 212 (c) to determine whether applicant's status is that of a contract or common carrier in MC 50404 (Sub No. 55).

HEARING: Remains as assigned September 25, 1958, in the New Post Office Building, Columbus, Ohio, before Joint Board No. 208.

No. MC 117355 (Sub No. 1), filed July 22, 1958. Applicant: JESSE A. KRONINGER, INC., R. D. No. 1, Mertztown, Pa. Applicant's attorney: Christian V. Graf, 11 North Front Street, Harrisburg, Pa. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Coal, in bulk, from points in Northumberland County, Pa., to points in New York, New Jersey, Delaware, and Maryland.

HEARING: September 17, 1958, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D. C., before Examiner Harold P. Boss.

No. MC 117370 (REPUBLICATION AND CLARIFICATION), filed April 28, 1958. Applicant: JACK STAFFORD, doing business as STAFFORD TRUCKING, 1137 North 45th Street, Milwaukee, Wis. Applicant's attorney: Claude J. Jasper, One West Main Street, Madison 3, Wis. The subject application was previously published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of May 21, 1958, at page 3479, under those applications in which handling without oral hearing is requested. By Order dated July 1, 1958, the proceeding was reopened for oral hearing and transferred to the hearing docket: The application seeks authority to transport, as a common carrier, over irregular routes: Foundry sand, in bulk, in a non-radial operation, between points in the States of Wisconsin, Michigan, Indiana, Illinois, and Iowa, as follows: (1) all points in Wisconsin, (2) that part of the upper peninsula of Michigan on and west of U. S. Highway 41, from Menominee, Mich., to Marquette, Mich., and in the lower peninsula of Michigan, commencing at Lake Michigan, at or near Grand Haven, Mich., thence on a line due east a distance of a few miles, to the junction of U. S. Highway 31 and Michigan Highway 50, thence along Michigan Highway 50 to Grand Rapids, Mich., thence along U. S. Highway 131 to the Michigan-Indiana State line, at or near Cassopolis, Mich., (3) points in Indiana from the Indiana-Michigan State line at or near Elkhart, Ind., and extending along Indiana Highway 15 to its junction with Indiana Highway 14, thence along Indiana Highway 14 to its junction with Indiana Highway 10, and thence along Indiana Highway 10 to the Illinois State line at or near Lowell, Ind., (4) points in Illinois from the Illinois-Indiana State line at or near Momence, Ill., thence along Illinois Highway 17 to the Iowa-Illinois State line, at or near New Boston, Ill. (erroneously shown as Illinois-Indiana State line in previous publication), (5) points in Iowa from the Illinois-Iowa State line at or near Muscatine, Iowa, over Iowa Highway 22 to its junction with U. S. Highway 218, thence over U. S. Highway 218 to Cedar Rapids, Iowa, thence over U. S. Highway 151 to, and including Dubuque, Iowa, at the tri-state junction of Iowa, Wisconsin, and Illinois.

HEARING: September 9, 1958, at the Wisconsin Public Service Commission,

Madison, Wis., before Examiner Richard H. Roberts.

No. MC 117390 (Sub No. 1), filed May 19, 1958. Applicant: G. L. WHITEHEAD AND SON, INC., 207 New Britain Avenue, Hartford, Conn. Applicant's attorney: Hugh M. Joseloff, 410 Asylum Street, Hartford 3, Conn. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Wrecked, disabled, and repossessed motor vehicles and trailers, in drive-away and truckaway service, with transportation of replacement motor vehicle to point of wreck, damage, or disablement, between points in Connecticut, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Vermont, New Hampshire, Maine, New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania.

HEARING: September 9, 1958, at the U. S. Court Rooms, Hartford, Conn., before Examiner Donald R. Sutherland.

No. MC 117407, filed May 20, 1958. Applicant: TERRY'S AUTO SALVAGE, INC., 170 Mystic Avenue, Medford, Mass. Applicant's attorney: Jeanne M. Hession, 64 Harvest Street, Dorchester, Mass. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Used, disabled, and junk automobiles and motor vehicles, between points in Massachusetts, Connecticut, New Hampshire, Vermont, Maine, Rhode Island, New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania.

HEARING: September 12, 1958, at the New Post Office and Court House Building, Boston, Mass., before Examiner Donald R. Sutherland.

No. MC 117418, filed May 26, 1958. Applicant: GUS FRASK, EDWARD RZONCA AND WALTER VOYTUS, doing business as G. E. & W. TRUCKING CO., 37-25 58th Street, Woodside 77, N. Y. Applicant's attorney: Irving Abrams, 1776 Broadway, New York 19, N. Y. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Unrated new furniture, from New York, N. Y., to points in Fairfield, Litchfield, New Haven, Hartford, and Middlesex Counties, Conn., and points in Bergen, Passaic, Hudson, Union, Essex, Morris, Somerset, Middlesex, Mercer, and Monmouth Counties, N. J., and returned, refused, rejected and damaged shipments of unrated new furniture on return. Applicant holds contract carrier authority in Permit No. MC 101362 to transport the above commodity between New York, N. Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Jersey within 35 miles of New York, N. Y.

NOTE: Applicant states it consents to the cancellation of its present Permit if the authority requested is granted. A proceeding has been instituted under section 212 (c) of the Interstate Commerce Act to determine whether applicant's status is that of a contract or common carrier, assigned Docket No. MC 101362 (Sub No. 1).

HEARING: September 22, 1958, at 346 Broadway, New York, N. Y., before Examiner Allen W. Hagerty.

No. MC 117434, filed June 2, 1958. Applicant: LEONARD TRUCK RENTING CORP., 607-615 Sackett Street, Brooklyn, N. Y. Applicant's attorney:

Herbert Burstein, 160 Broadway, New York 38, N. Y. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Corrugated paper boxes and products, and empty containers or other such incidental facilities used in transporting the commodities specified, between points in Westchester, Ulster, Rockland, Putnam, Sullivan, Dutchess, Nassau, Suffolk, Orange, Greene, Columbia, Richmond, Kings, Queens, New York, and Bronx Counties, New York, Atlantic, Salem, Ocean, Monmouth, Camden, Warren, Bergen, Hunterdon, Passaic, Gloucester, Sussex, Cape May, Hudson, Burlington, Cumberland, Middlesex, Essex, Morris, Somerset, Union, and Mercer Counties, New Jersey, Hartford, New Haven, Litchfield, Fairfield, and Middlesex Counties, Connecticut, and Montgomery, Northampton, Delaware, Berks, Carbon, Lehigh, Wayne, Pike, Chester, Bucks, Schuylkill, Monroe, and Philadelphia Counties, Pennsylvania.

HEARING: September 23, 1958, at 346 Broadway, New York, N. Y., before Examiner Allen W. Hagerty.

No. MC 117435, filed June 2, 1958. Applicant: EUGENE YORK, doing business as GENE YORK TRUCKING, 4136 Cane Run Road, Louisville, Ky. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Lumber, building materials, and materials used in the construction of farm buildings, between points in Kentucky, Tennessee, Arkansas, Georgia, Mississippi, Alabama, Indiana, Ohio, Illinois, and Michigan.

HEARING: September 29, 1958, at the Kentucky Hotel, Louisville, Ky., before Examiner Reece Harrison.

No. MC 117437, filed June 4, 1958. Applicant: WHITNEY POINT TRACTOR, INC., Route 11, Whitney Point, N. Y. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Tractors, accessories and attachments, and parts for tractors, in the same vehicle with tractors, farm implements, lumber, machinery, and implements, between Whitney Point, Buffalo, and Syracuse, N. Y., Churubusco, Ind., Rockford and Rock Island, Ill., Burlington, Iowa, Racine, Wis., points in New York, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Massachusetts, Vermont, New Hampshire, Connecticut, and Rhode Island. Applicant states J. L. Case Co. factories are located in the above-specified points in Indiana, Illinois, Iowa, and Wisconsin. Applicant states it wishes to pick up machinery at these factories and deliver to dealers in the eight States last named above.

HEARING: September 29, 1958, at the Federal Building, Syracuse, N. Y., before Examiner Donald R. Sutherland.

No. MC 117446, filed June 10, 1958. Applicant: VAN D. GRADY, P. O. Box 1349, Hattiesburg, Miss. Applicant's attorney: Rubel L. Phillips, 829 Deposit Guaranty Bank Building, Jackson, Miss. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Machinery, materials, equipment, and supplies, incidental to or used in the construction, development, operation, and maintenance of

facilities for the discovery, development, and production of natural gas, oil, and petroleum; and machinery, materials, equipment, and supplies used in, or in connection with, the construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of pipe lines, between points in Mississippi and Louisiana.

HEARING: September 16, 1958, at the Robert E. Lee Hotel, Jackson, Miss., before Joint Board No. 28, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Reece Harrison.

No. MC 117461, filed June 17, 1958. Applicant: KENNETH RIVERBARK, 307 North Frisco Street, Warsaw, N. C. Applicant's attorney: A. Mitchell Britt, Warsaw, N. C. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over a regular route, transporting: *Agricultural chemicals*, in drums or cans, and *insecticides*, including insecticide dust, in boxes or cans, and *empty containers* or other such incidental facilities (not specified) used in transporting the above-specified commodities, between Warsaw, N. C., and Charleston, S. C., from Warsaw over U. S. Highway 117 in a southerly direction to Wilmington, N. C., thence over U. S. Highway 17 to Charleston, thence in a northerly direction over U. S. Highway 52 to junction Alternate U. S. Highway 17, at Monks Corner, S. C., thence over Alternate U. S. Highway 17 to junction U. S. Highway 17 at Georgetown, S. C., thence over U. S. Highway 17 to Wilmington, N. C., thence over U. S. Highway 117 to Warsaw, serving no intermediate points.

NOTE: Applicant states that the proposed service will be performed for Carter Insecticide and Chemical Company, located in Wallace, N. C.

HEARING: September 3, 1958, in the North Carolina Utilities Commission State Library Building, Morgan Street, Raleigh, N. C., before Joint Board No. 2, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Harold W. Angle.

No. MC 117474, filed June 23, 1958. Applicant: R. L. MARTIN AND R. D. STURDIVANT, doing business as M & S TRANSPORT, 528 South Salisbury Street, Raleigh, N. C. Applicant's attorney: Bailey and Dixon, P. O. Box 1773, Seventh floor, Raleigh Building, Raleigh, N. C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber*, except plywood and veneer, from points in North Carolina to points in Virginia, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Connecticut, and Ohio, and *empty containers* or other such incidental facilities (not specified) used in transporting the above-specified commodities on return.

HEARING: September 4, 1958, at the North Carolina Utilities Commission State Library Building, Morgan Street, Raleigh, N. C., before Examiner Harold W. Angle.

No. MC 117504 (Sub No. 1), filed July 10, 1958. Applicant: W. J. LANDES, 115 South Augusta Street, Staunton, Va. Applicant's attorney: Mosby J. Williams, Peoples Federal Building, Roanoke, Va. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wooden billets*,

under refrigeration, from Chelsea, Lincoln, Post Mills, Randolph, Waits River, and Groton, Vt., and the Port of Entry on the boundary between the United States and Canada at or near North Troy, Vt., to Monticello, Ga.

NOTE: The wooden billets are transported in applicant's trailers, equipped with ventilated doors and a mechanism to circulate air, necessary to protect the billets against mildew.

HEARING: September 16, 1958, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D. C., before Examiner Allan F. Borroughs.

No. MC 117507, filed July 10, 1958. Applicant: CHEMICAL TANK LINES, INC., 520 East Lancaster Avenue, Downingtown, Pa. Applicant's attorneys: Leonard A. Jaskiewicz and Gerald Phelps, Munsey Building, Washington, D. C. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cement*, in bulk, and in bags, from Alsen and Howes Cave, N. Y., to points in Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Rhode Island. Applicant is authorized to conduct common carrier operations in Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Kentucky, Delaware, Ohio, Michigan, Illinois, Indiana, Virginia, North Carolina, West Virginia, Tennessee, Ohio, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, District of Columbia, Alabama, Georgia, Minnesota, Missouri, Iowa, Kansas, and Wisconsin.

NOTE: Applicant states that this application also seeks authority to engage in dual operations under section 210 of the Interstate Commerce Act.

HEARING: September 24, 1958, at 346 Broadway, New York, N. Y., before Examiner Allen W. Hagerty.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PASSENGERS

No. MC 2835 (Sub No. 29), filed June 2, 1958. Applicant: ADIRONDACK TRANSIT LINES, INC., 495 Broadway, Kingston, N. Y. Applicant's attorney: Martin J. Kelly, Jr., 70 Pine Street, New York 5, N. Y. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over a regular route, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage*, and *express, mail, and newspapers*, in the same vehicle with passengers, between junction U. S. Highway 9W and New York Highway 23 and the Catskill Interchange of the New York State Thruway, over New York Highway 23, serving all intermediate points. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in New York.

NOTE: Applicant states that it seeks authority to remove to the extent necessary the restriction against local transportation to authorize service in the 1.6 miles of authorized operations over New York Highway 23, as provided by the Commission in MC 2835 (Sub No. 9) on that segment of the route west of Catskill between New York Highway 9W and the present Thruway Interchange at New York Highway 23 west of Catskill, N. Y.

HEARING: September 26, 1958, at 346 Broadway, New York, N. Y., before Examiner Allen W. Hagerty.

No. MC 115432 (Sub No. 2), filed May 21, 1958. Applicant: PAWTUXET VALLEY BUS LINES, INC., 75 Roberts Street,

West Warwick, R. I. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage*, (1) Between West Warwick, R. I., and the plant site of the Electric Boat Corporation, Groton, Conn., from West Warwick over Rhode Island Highway 3 to the Rhode Island-Connecticut State line, thence over Connecticut Highway 84 to the plant site of the Electric Boat Corporation at Groton, and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points; and (2) between Coventry, R. I., and the plant site of the Electric Boat Corporation, Groton, Conn., from Coventry over Rhode Island Highway 117 to junction Rhode Island Highway 3, thence as specified above to the plant site of the Electric Boat Corporation at Groton, and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points.

HEARING: September 11, 1958, at the U. S. Court Rooms, Hartford, Conn., before Joint Board No. 252, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Donald R. Sutherland.

No. MC 115521 (Sub No. 2), filed May 14, 1958. Applicant: STAR BUS LINES, INC., 2534 Grand Avenue, Bellmore, L. I., N. Y. Applicant's attorney: Harold J. Cloutman, 124-15 28th Avenue, Flushing 56, N. Y. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage* in the same vehicle with passengers, in special or charter operations, beginning and ending at New York, N. Y., and points in Nassau and Suffolk Counties, N. Y., and extending to points in Connecticut, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia. Applicant is authorized to conduct similar operations from New York, N. Y. to points in Connecticut, New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania, on return.

HEARING: September 11, 1958, at 346 Broadway, New York, N. Y., before Examiner Allen W. Hagerty.

No. MC 116846 (Sub No. 1), filed July 7, 1958. Applicant: BENJAMIN KEENE, Box 280, Route 2, Glen Burnie, Md. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage*, in round trip charter operations, beginning and ending at points Anne Arundel County and Baltimore City, Md., and extending to points in New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, District of Columbia, Virginia, West Virginia, and Delaware.

HEARING: September 11, 1958, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D. C., before Examiner James H. Gaffney.

PETITION

No. MC 65897 (Sub No. 1), (PETITION SEEKING MODIFICATION). Petitioner: RELIANCE TRUCKING CO., INC., EXTENSION - KENTUCKY POINTS, 812 Cawthorn Street, Louisville, Ky. Petitioner's attorney: R. Vincent Goodlett, 702 McClure Building, Frankfort, Ky. Petition seeking modification so as to permit service at intermediate points between Frankfort and

Louisville, Ky., over Kentucky Highways 37 and 22, and U. S. Highway 60, tendered for filing on August 21, 1957, and accepted for filing by order of December 5, 1957.

HEARING: October 1, 1958, at the Kentucky Hotel, Louisville, Ky., before Joint Board No. 105, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Reece Harrison.

APPLICATIONS IN WHICH HANDLING WITHOUT ORAL HEARING IS REQUESTED
MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 2633 (Sub No. 44), filed July 8, 1958. Applicant: WILLIAM F. CROSETT, INC., P. O. Box 626, Warren National Bank Building, Warren, Pa. Applicant's attorney: Harold G. Hernly, 1624 Eye Street NW., Washington 6, D. C. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Bakers-town, Pa., to points in Chautauque, Erie, and Niagara Counties, N. Y. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in New York and Pennsylvania.

No. MC 19193 (Sub No. 4), filed July 24, 1958. Applicant: FRED B. LAFFERTY, J. D. LAFFERTY AND CHARLES W. ALBRIGHT, JR., doing business as LAFFERTY TRUCKING COMPANY, 3703 Beale Avenue, Altoona, Pa. Applicant's attorney: Robert H. Griswold, Commerce Building, Harrisburg, Pa. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Store fixtures and store equipment*, uncrated, used in the conduct of wholesale, retail and chain grocery and food business houses, between Youngstown, Ohio, and Greensburg, Pa.; (2) *Such merchandise as is dealt in by wholesale, retail, and chain grocery and food business houses, and, in connection therewith, equipment, materials and supplies used in the conduct of such business*, (a) between Greensburg, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points within the territory bounded by a line beginning at Tionesta, Pa., and extending south through Shippensburg, Pa., and Oakland, Md., to Thomas, W. Va., thence in a southeasterly direction to Petersburg, W. Va., thence in a northeasterly direction to Moorefield, W. Va., McConnellsburg and Duncannon, Pa., to Millersburg, Pa., thence in a northwesterly direction to Jersey Shore, Pa., and thence west through Renovo, Emporium, St. Marys, and Johnsonburg, Pa., to Tionesta, including the points named; (b) between Greensburg, Pa., and Pittsburgh, Pa. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Ohio, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, and Maryland.

No. MC 107002 (Sub No. 127), filed July 17, 1958. Applicant: W. M. CHAMBERS TRUCK LINE, INC., 920 Louisiana Boulevard, P. O. Box 547, Kenner, La. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals, ester gum, liquid glue, paint and paint materials, paint oil, paint thinners, resin compound surface coating, synthetic resin, varnish, plastic materials, solvents and vegetable oils*, in bulk, in tank ve-

hicles, from Mobile, Ala., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Texas, and Tennessee (except vegetable oil between Mobile, Ala., and points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Tennessee). Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Louisiana, Mississippi, Tennessee, Arkansas, Missouri, Alabama, Georgia, Florida, Texas, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Maine, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Virginia, and the District of Columbia.

No. MC 115410 (Sub No. 3), filed July 24, 1958. Applicant: HAWKES TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P. O. Box 852, 1105 La Pint Street, Boise, Idaho. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities, including Class A and B explosives, and those requiring special equipment*, but excluding those of unusual value, household goods as defined by the Commission and commodities in bulk, (1) between points in Idaho south of a line drawn through the points of Lewiston and Orofino, Idaho, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Idaho north of that line; (2) between points in Oneida, Power, Bingham, Blaine, Custer, and Lemhi Counties, Idaho, and points in Idaho east of those counties on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Idaho north of a line drawn through the points of Lewiston and Orofino, Idaho. Applicant is authorized to conduct operations in Washington, Montana, and Idaho.

No. MC 115691 (Sub No. 5), filed July 22, 1958. Applicant: R. J. COKER, doing business as COKER TRUCKING COMPANY, P. O. Box 398, Demopolis, Ala. Applicant's attorney: H. A. Lloyd, Demopolis, Ala. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber*, from points in Marengo, Greene, and Sumter Counties, Ala., to points in Maryland, Michigan, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, and Missouri. Applicant is authorized to transport lumber in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Ohio, and Tennessee.

NOTE: Applicant states he proposes to transport all kinds of lumber.

No. MC 117235 (Sub No. 1), filed July 25, 1958. Applicant: EDWARD J. LEWIS, JR., 4133 The Alameda, Baltimore 18, Md. Applicant's representative: Donald E. Freeman, Uniontown Road, Box 24, Westminster, Md. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Pickles*, in glass containers, from Baltimore, Md., to Philadelphia and Scranton, Pa.; Kearny, N. J., Syracuse, Buffalo, Elmsford, Brooklyn, Bronx, Garden City, and New York, N. Y., Elizabeth City, N. C., and Norfolk and Suffolk, Va.; (2) *glass bottles*, in truckload quantities, from Jersey City,

Millville, Bridgeton, and Salem, N. J., to Baltimore, Md.

NOTE: The above service will be performed for the account of Jewett & Sherman Co., and C. C. Lang & Son, Inc.

No. MC 117466 (Sub No. 1), filed July 24, 1958. Applicant: HENRY L. STODARD, 19 Allen Place, New Haven, Conn. Applicant's attorney: Samuel W. Earnshaw, The Munsey Building, Washington, D. C. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Photo-film and photo-film finisher's handling materials*, between New Haven, Conn., and points in Connecticut.

NOTE: Applicant states that the above commodities will be transported under contract with Eastman Kodak Company.

No. MC 117547 (Sub No. 1), filed July 17, 1958. Applicant: BELL TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., Wrightstown, N. J. Applicant's attorney: George H. Rosen, 291 Broadway, New York 7, N. Y. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Motor vehicles*, in driveway and towaway service, between McGuire Air Force Base, Fort Dix, and Wrightstown, N. J., on the one hand, and, on the other, Brooklyn Army Terminal and Fort Hamilton, Brooklyn, N. Y.

NOTE: Applicant states the proposed movement involves ultimate shipments of these motor vehicles by the United States Government, by water, to points outside the United States, for military and civilian personnel and others, who are being sent or transported abroad by the United States Government, or who are arriving from points outside the United States, for delivery of such motor vehicles to the above-specified points in New Jersey. Applicant states no passengers will be transported, also, it does not propose to use any trucks for transporting such vehicles.

APPLICATIONS UNDER 212 (c) CONVERSION PROCEEDINGS

No. MC 83338 (Sub No. 8), WARREN MAHNENSMITH AND GERALD MAHNENSMITH, Doing business as MAHNENSMITH TRUCKING SERVICE (Ossian, Ind.).

Carrier filed an application, under section 212 (c) of the Interstate Commerce Act, for a determination of its status pertaining to contract carrier authority issued on or before August 22, 1957. On April 23, 1958, the carrier requested dismissal of the application, and an order was entered on July 18, 1958, effective September 2, 1958, dismissing the application and discontinuing the proceeding.

No. MC 112954 (Sub No. 1), DELBERT EDWARDS, DOING BUSINESS AS EDWARDS TRUCKING SERVICE (Mankato, Minn.).

The above-numbered proceeding was instituted under section 212 (c) on the Commission's own initiative, February 17, 1958, to determine the carrier's status pertaining to his contract carrier authority issued on or before August 22, 1957. Such a proceeding was instituted because the carrier failed to file verified statements in response to a Questionnaire mailed September 20, 1957. Such statements have now been filed and the order entered February 17, 1958, instituting a proceeding in No. MC 112954 (Sub No. 1)

is hereby vacated and set aside as of September 8, 1958.

APPLICATIONS UNDER SECTIONS 5 AND 210a (b)

The following applications are governed by the Interstate Commerce Commission's special rules governing notice of filing of applications by motor carriers of property or passengers under sections 5 (a) and 210a (b) of the Interstate Commerce Act and certain other procedural matters with respect thereto (49 CFR 1.240).

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC-F-6954. (Second correction) (UNION PACIFIC MOTOR FREIGHT CO.—PURCHASE (PORTION)—MACKELLYNE TRANSFER AND STORAGE, INC.) published in the July 16, 1958, issue of the *FEDERAL REGISTER* on page 5414. First correction published in the July 30, 1958, issue of the *FEDERAL REGISTER* on page 5757, reads "The following restrictions were eliminated * * *" and should have read "The following restrictions were inadvertently omitted * * *".

No. MC-F 6966. Authority sought for control by HARPER MOTOR LINES, INC., 213 Long Avenue, Elberton, Ga., of HAMBURGER MOTOR EXPRESS, INC., 1618 North Jefferson Street, Albany, Ga., and for acquisition by LOUIS PATZ, also of Elberton, of control of HAMBURGER MOTOR EXPRESS, INC., through the acquisition by HARPER MOTOR LINES, INC. Applicant's attorney: Reuben G. Crimm, 805 Peachtree Street Building, Atlanta 8, Ga. Operating rights sought to be controlled: General commodities, except those of unusual value, Class A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, as a common carrier over regular routes, between Savannah, Ga., and Dothan, Ala., between Tifton, Ga., and Cordele, Ga., between Jesup, Ga., and Valdosta, Ga., and between Valdosta, Ga., and Douglas, Ga., serving certain intermediate and off-route points. HARPER MOTOR LINES, INC., is authorized to operate as a common carrier in Georgia, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Virginia, South Carolina, Illinois, Ohio, Delaware, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Tennessee, West Virginia, Missouri, North Carolina, Alabama, Florida, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Wisconsin, Massachusetts, Iowa, Minnesota, Mississippi, and the District of Columbia. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a (b).

No. MC-F 6967. Authority sought for purchase by INDIANHEAD TRUCK LINE, INC., 1947 West County Road C, St. Paul 13, Minn., of a portion of the operating rights and property of HVIDSTEN TRANSPORT, INC., 2326 Hampden Street, St. Paul 13, Minn., and for acquisition by LESTER A. WILSEY, also of St. Paul, of control of such rights and property through the purchase. Applicants' attorney: Glenn W. Stephens, 121 West Doty Street, Madison 3, Wis. Operating rights sought to be transferred: Petroleum products, in bulk, in tank trucks, as a common carrier, over

irregular routes, from Superior, Wis., to certain points in Minnesota, from Superior, Wis., and Duluth, Minn., to certain points in North Dakota, from certain points in Minnesota to points in North Dakota, and from Fargo and Grand Forks, N. Dak., and points in North Dakota within ten miles of each, to certain points in Minnesota, from Fargo and Grand Forks, N. Dak., to certain points in North Dakota, and from the site of the United States Air Force storage installation near Hastings, Minn., to Fargo Municipal Airport at or near Fargo, N. Dak.; damaged, defective, rejected or returned shipments of the above-specified commodities, from the destination point specified above to the United States Air Force storage installation near Hastings, Minn.; petroleum products, in bulk, in tank vehicles, as described in Appendix XIII to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M. C. C. 209, from Wrenshall, Minn., to points in North Dakota, from the port of entry at or near Noyes, Minn., on the United States-Canada Boundary line, to certain points in Minnesota and North Dakota, and from the site of the terminal of Duluth Petroleum Products Terminal (located about eight miles from Duluth, Minn.), and points within two miles thereof, to points in North Dakota, upper peninsula of Michigan, Wisconsin, and certain points in Minnesota; petroleum, in bulk, in tank vehicles, as described in Appendix XIII to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M. C. C. 209, from points in North Dakota on and west of U. S. Highway 83 to Superior, Wis., and Wrenshall, Minn.; petroleum and petroleum products, as described in Appendix XIII to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M. C. C. 209, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Mandan, Williston, and Dickinson, N. Dak., to certain points in Montana and South Dakota, and from Williston, N. Dak., and points within 10 miles thereof, to points in Minnesota; liquefied petroleum gas, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Tioga, N. Dak., to certain points in Montana; petroleum crude oil, between Mandan, Jamestown, Williston, Dickinson, and Tioga, N. Dak., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Dakota on the United States-Canada Boundary line; liquefied petroleum gas, from Tioga, N. Dak., to certain points in Minnesota; petroleum products, as described in Appendix XIII to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M. C. C. 209, 294, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the plant site of the Richards Oil Company at or near Savage, Minn., to points in Wisconsin and North Dakota (except Wahpeton, Fargo, Grand Forks, and Jamestown, and points within 10 miles of each). Vendee is authorized to operate as a common carrier in Wisconsin, Minnesota, Illinois, Michigan, Iowa, South Dakota, North Dakota, Missouri, and Nebraska. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a (b).

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. MCCOY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6122; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:48 a. m.]

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

AUGUST 1, 1958.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the *FEDERAL REGISTER*.

LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 34854: *Causitic soda—Wichita, Kans., to southern territory.* Filed by Western Trunk Line Committee, Agent (No. A-1997), for interested rail carriers. Rates on sodium (soda), caustic (sodium hydroxide), liquid, tank-car loads from Wichita, Kans., to points in southern territory.

Grounds for relief: Short-line distance formula, grouping and operating through higher-rated intermediate territories.

Tariff: Supplement 4 to Western Trunk Lines tariff I. C. C. A-4241.

FSA No. 34855: *Commodities—New York and Pennsylvania points to southern ports.* Filed by O. E. Schultz, Agent (ER No. 2453), for interested rail carriers. Rates on various commodities, carloads, moving on export class and commodity rates from specified points in western New York and western Pennsylvania to South Atlantic and Florida ports, for export.

Grounds for relief: Rates constructed on basis of the so-called Oliphant formula through higher-rated intermediate origins including Virginia gateways.

Tariff: Supplement 172 to Central Territory Railroads Tariff Bureau tariff I. C. C. 4058.

FSA No. 34856: *Coal—Illinois, Indiana, and Kentucky groups to Chicago, Ill.* Filed by Illinois Freight Association, Agent (IFA No. 19), for interested rail carriers. Rates on bituminous fine coal, carloads from mines in Illinois, Indiana, and western Kentucky districts to Chicago, Ill., and points in the Chicago district in Illinois and Indiana.

Grounds for relief: Barge and rail-barge competition.

Tariff: Supplement 8 to Illinois Freight Association tariff I. C. C. 848 and other schedules listed in exhibit 1 of the application.

FSA No. 34857: *Styrene—Big Spring and Odessa, Tex., to Massachusetts points.* Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent (B-7348), for interested rail carriers. Rates on styrene, tank-car loads from Big Spring and Odessa, Tex., to Fitchburg, Holyoke, and Leominster, Mass.

Grounds for relief: Market competition.

Tariff: Supplement 499 to Southwestern Freight Bureau tariff I. C. C. 4139.

FSA No. 34858: *Cast iron pipe—Texas points to Memphis, Tenn., and New Orleans, La.* Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent (B-7343), for interested rail carriers. Rates on cast iron pipe, fittings, and related articles, carloads from Swan, Tyler and Lone Star, Tex., to Memphis, Tenn., and New Orleans, La.

Grounds for relief: Market competition with Birmingham, Ala.

Tariff: Supplement 39 to Southwestern Lines Tariff I. C. C. 4044.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. McCoy,
Secretary.

[P. R. Doc. 58-6119; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:48 p. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Wage and Hour Division

LEARNER EMPLOYMENT CERTIFICATES ISSUANCE TO VARIOUS INDUSTRIES

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 14 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1060, as amended; 29 U. S. C. 201 et seq.), the regulations on employment of learners (29 CFR Part 522), and Administrative Order No. 485 (23 F. R. 200), the firms listed in this notice have been issued special certificates authorizing the employment of learners at hourly wage rates lower than the minimum wage rates otherwise applicable under section 6 of the act. The effective and expiration dates, occupations, wage rates, number or proportion of learners, learning periods, and the principal product manufactured by the employer for certificates issued under general learner regulations (§§ 522.1 to 522.11) are as indicated below. Conditions provided in certificates issued under special industry regulations are as established in these regulations.

Apparel Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.20 to 522.24, as amended).

The following learner certificates were issued authorizing the employment of 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes. The effective and expiration dates are indicated.

Carbon Hill Manufacturing Corp., Carbon Hill, Ala.; effective 7-28-58 to 7-27-59 (boys' slacks).

Gary Co., Inc., Gallatin, Tenn.; effective 7-23-58 to 7-22-59 (men's dress shirts).

Kate Underwear Co., Sixth Street Plant, Sunrise Avenue Plant, Honesdale, Pa.; effective 7-23-58 to 7-22-59 (women's and misses' nightgowns and pajamas).

McMinnville Garment Co., McMinnville, Tenn.; effective 7-24-58 to 7-23-59 (cotton work trousers).

Royal Manufacturing Co., Inc., Washington, Ga.; effective 7-22-58 to 7-21-59; workers engaged in the production of woven sport shirts (sports shirts).

Wood Garment Manufacturing Co., Inc., Crane, Mo.; effective 7-23-58 to 7-22-59 (dress trousers, dungarees).

Wood Garment Manufacturing Co., Inc., Republic, Mo.; effective 7-23-58 to 7-22-59 (men's dress slacks).

The following learner certificates were issued for normal labor turnover purposes. The effective and expiration dates and the number of learners authorized are indicated.

Classic City Overall Co., Inc., 112 Foundry Street, Athens, Ga.; effective 7-24-58 to 7-23-59; 10 learners (men's work clothes).

Rhea Manufacturing Co., Watertown Division, Watertown, Wis.; effective 7-25-58 to 7-24-59; 10 learners (junior sportswear, one and two piece dresses, etc.).

Sand Ridge Blouse Co., Inc., Kunkletown, Pa.; effective 7-24-58 to 7-23-59; five learners (ladies' and children's blouses).

Glove Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.60 to 522.65, as amended).

Indianapolis Glove Co., Inc., Richmond, Ind.; effective 7-30-58 to 7-29-59; 10 learners for normal labor turnover purposes (combination leather and cotton work gloves).

St. Johnsbury Glovers, Inc., St. Johnsbury, Vt.; effective 7-31-58 to 1-30-59; 15 learners for expansion purposes (ladies' knit fabric gloves).

Hosiery Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.40 to 522.43, as amended).

Bear Brand Hosiery Co., Henderson, Ky.; effective 8-1-58 to 1-31-59; 50 high school students only for part time employment in the occupation of looping only for a learning period of 816 hours, at the rates of 80 cents an hour for the first 432 hours of employment, and not less than 87½ cents an hour for the remaining 384 hours of the 816-hour learning period (seamless).

Diamond Mills Corp., Hanover Division, Carolina Beach Road and Marion Drive, Wilmington, N. C.; effective 7-28-58 to 10-28-58; 20 additional learners for plant expansion purposes (supplemental replacement certificate) (seamless).

Diamond Mills Corp., Hanover Division, Carolina Beach Road and Marion Drive, Wilmington, N. C.; effective 7-28-58 to 10-28-58; 60 learners for plant expansion purposes (replacement certificate) (seamless).

Diamond Mills Corp., Hanover Division, Carolina Beach Road and Marion Drive, Wilmington, N. C.; effective 7-28-58 to 10-1-58; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (replacement certificate) (seamless).

Southland Sox, Inc., 937 Gault Avenue, North, Fort Payne, Ala.; effective 8-1-58 to 7-31-59; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (seamless).

Wayne Knitting Mills, 641 Knitters Avenue, Fort Wayne, Ind.; effective 7-28-58 to 1-27-59; 40 learners for plant expansion purposes (full-fashioned).

Wayne Knitting Mills, 641 Knitters Avenue, Fort Wayne, Ind.; effective 7-28-58 to 7-27-59; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (full-fashioned).

Knitted Wear Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.30 to 522.35, as amended).

East Tennessee Undergarment Co., Inc., P. O. Box 111, Elizabethton, Tenn.; effective 7-28-58 to 7-27-59; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (ladies' and children's knit rayon underwear).

Ithaca Textiles, Inc., 402-406 East State Street, Ithaca, N. Y.; effective 7-28-58 to 1-27-59; 15 learners for plant expansion purposes (women's sleepwear, acetate and nylon briefs, etc.).

Royal Manufacturing Co., Inc., Washington, Ga.; effective 7-22-58 to 7-21-59; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers engaged in the production of men's and boys' undershorts for normal labor turnover purposes (men's and boys' woven drawers, cotton shorts).

Regulations Applicable to the Employment of Learners (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended).

Dust Proof Mattress Cover Co., Ellwood City, Pa.; effective 7-28-58 to 1-27-59; five

learners for normal labor turnover purposes in the occupation of sewing machine operator, for a learning period of 320 hours at the rate of 85 cents an hour (mattress covers, pillow covers, bedspreads).

Hi-Jac Corp., 717 Williams Avenue, Fort Payne, Ala.; effective 7-28-58 to 1-27-59; five learners for normal labor turnover purposes in the occupation of machine knitter, for a learning period of 120 hours at the rate of 85 cents an hour (coasters, shoe bags, knit goods).

Lauhala Sportswear Co., 1722 Kalakaua Avenue, Honolulu, Hawaii; effective 8-1-58 to 7-13-59; three learners for normal labor turnover purposes in the occupation of sewing machine operator, for a learning period of 480 hours, at the rates of at least 85 cents an hour for the first 320 hours and not less than 90 cents an hour for the remaining 160 hours (replacement certificate) (dresses).

Each learner certificate has been issued upon the representations of the employer which, among other things, were that employment of learners at sub-minimum rates is necessary in order to prevent curtailment of opportunities for employment, and that experienced workers for the learner occupations are not available. The certificates may be annulled or withdrawn, as indicated therein, in the manner provided in Part 528 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Any person aggrieved by the issuance of any of these certificates may seek a review or reconsideration thereof within fifteen days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER pursuant to the provisions of 29 CFR 522.9.

Signed at Washington, D. C., this 30th day of July 1958.

ROBERT G. GRONEWALD,
Authorized Representative
of the Administrator.

[P. R. Doc. 58-6022; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:46 a. m.]

LEARNER EMPLOYMENT CERTIFICATES

ISSUANCE TO VARIOUS INDUSTRIES

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 14 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1060, as amended; 29 U. S. C. 201 et seq.), the regulations on employment of learners (29 CFR Part 522), and Administrative Order No. 485 (23 F. R. 200) and Administrative Order No. 507 (23 F. R. 2720), the firms listed in this notice have been issued special certificates authorizing the employment of learners at hourly wage rates lower than the minimum wage rates otherwise applicable under section 6 of the act. The effective and expiration dates, occupations, wage rates, number or proportion of learners, learning periods, and the principal product manufactured by the employer for certificates issued under general learner regulations (§§ 522.1 to 522.11) are as indicated below. Conditions provided in certificates issued under special industry regulations are as established in these regulations.

Apparel Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.20 to 522.24, as amended).

The following learner certificates were issued authorizing the employment of 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes. The effective and expiration dates are indicated.

Bannon mills, Inc., Seventh and Union Streets, Lebanon, Pa.; effective 7-18-58 to 7-17-59 (children's knit goods).

Colonial Blouse Co., 112 Lane Street, Pottsville, Pa.; effective 7-16-58 to 7-15-59 (women's cotton and nylon blouses).

Greenwood Manufacturing Co., 331 Weller Avenue, Greenwood, S. C.; effective 7-21-58 to 7-20-59; learners may not be employed at special minimum wage rates in production of separate skirts (women's dresses and housecoats).

Edward Hyman Co., Prentiss, Miss.; effective 7-15-58 to 7-14-59 (men's coats and smocks—doctor, technician, etc.; pants; semi-dress army coats, men's army shirts).

Lanier Manufacturing Co., Easley, S. C.; effective 7-19-58 to 7-18-59 (men's sport shirts).

Linden Manufacturing Co., Linden, Ala.; effective 7-21-58 to 7-20-59 (cotton dresses).

Mahaffey Garment Manufacturing Co., 212 Market Street, Mahaffey, Pa.; effective 7-15-58 to 7-14-59; learners may not be employed at special minimum wage rates in the production of separate skirts (women's sportswear).

C. A. Neuburger Co., Division of H. W. Sherman Corp., 913 South Main Street, Oshkosh, Wis.; effective 7-30-58 to 7-29-59; learners may not be employed at special minimum wage rates in the production of suits, separate skirts and lined jackets (children's misses' and junior cotton and wool dresses; jackets, sportswear, etc.).

Pioneer Manufacturing Co., Inc., 83 Waller Street, Wilkes-Barre, Pa.; effective 7-15-58 to 7-14-59 (children's dresses).

Renovo Shirt Co., Inc., Mena, Ark.; effective 7-27-58 to 7-26-59 (sport shirts).

Levi Strauss and Co., 501 Travis Street, Wichita Falls, Tex.; effective 7-21-58 to 7-20-59 (denim waistband overalls).

Wildwood Clothing Co., Inc., 112 East Schellenger Avenue, Wildwood, N. J.; effective 7-20-58 to 7-19-59 (ladies' shorts, slacks, etc.).

The following learner certificates were issued for normal labor turnover purposes. The effective and expiration dates and the number of learners authorized are indicated.

Dee Vee Garment Manufacturing Co., Stanley, Va.; effective 7-21-58 to 7-20-59; 10 learners (men's dress pants).

Fairmont Manufacturing Co., Inc., P. O. Box 143, Fairmont, N. C.; effective 7-26-58 to 7-25-59; 10 learners (ladies' nightgowns, pajamas).

Newport Manufacturing Co., Inc., Newport, Vt.; effective 7-21-58 to 7-20-59; 10 learners (women's dresses).

Violetta Brassiere Co., Inc., Grayville, Ill.; effective 7-21-58 to 7-20-59; two learners (brassieres and ladies' foundation garments).

The following learner certificates were issued for plant expansion purposes. The effective and expiration dates and the number of learners authorized are indicated.

Clinton Garment Co., 1058 South Fourth Street, Clinton, Ind.; effective 7-21-58 to 1-20-59; 50 learners (playsuits, slacks, pedal pushers, etc.).

Curtis Manufacturing Co., Ocoee, Fla.; effective 7-21-58 to 1-20-59; five learners (men's shirts).

Robville Manufacturing Co., Robersonville, N. C.; effective 7-21-58 to 1-20-59; 25 learners (children's slacks, shirts, sport-coats).

Williamson-Dickie Manufacturing Co., Wealaco, Tex.; effective 7-15-58 to 1-14-59; 50 learners (men's, boys' cotton casual pants).

Glove Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.60 to 522.65, as amended).

Indianapolis Glove Co., Inc., Houlika, Miss.; effective 7-30-58 to 7-29-59; 10 learners for normal labor turnover purposes (canton flannel work gloves).

Indianapolis Glove Co., Inc., Coshocton, Ohio; effective 8-3-58 to 8-2-59; 10 learners for normal labor turnover purposes (canton flannel work gloves).

Hosiery Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.40 to 522.43, as amended).

Halifax County Hosiery Mills, Inc., Scotland Neck, N. C.; effective 7-26-58 to 7-25-59; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (seamless).

Melrose Hosiery Mills, Inc., 1541 English Street, High Point, N. C.; effective 8-1-58 to 7-31-59; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (seamless).

Regulations Applicable to the Employment of Learners (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended).

Alco Canning Co., Inc., Water Street, Lubec, Maine; effective 7-17-58 to 1-16-59; 10 learners for normal labor turnover purposes in the occupation of sardine packer, for a learning period of 160 hours at the rates of at least 85 cents an hour for the first 80 hours, and not less than 90 cents an hour for the remaining 80 hours (sardine canning).

Cricketeer Manufacturing Corp., Harrodsburg, Ky.; effective 7-21-58 to 1-20-59; 50 learners for plant expansion purposes in the occupations of, sewing machine operator, hand sewer, final presser, and finishing operations involving hand sewing, each for a learning period of 480 hours at the rates of at least 90 cents an hour for the first 280 hours, and not less than 95 cents an hour for the remaining 200 hours (men's sport and suit coats).

Palm Beach Co., Talladega, Ala.; effective 7-24-58 to 1-23-59; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes in the occupations of, sewing machine operator, and final presser, each for a learning period of 480 hours at the rates of at least 90 cents an hour for the first 280 hours, and not less than 95 cents an hour for the remaining 200 hours (men's summer wash pants, wash shorts, wash suit pants).

The following learner certificates were issued in Puerto Rico to the companies hereinafter named. The effective and expiration dates, learner rates, occupations, learning periods, and the number or proportion of learners authorized to be employed, are as indicated.

Consolidated Cigar Corp. of Puerto Rico, P. O. Box 545, Caguas, P. R.; effective 6-25-58 to 6-24-59; authorizing the employment of 117 learners for normal labor turnover purposes in the occupations of, (1) cigar making, and packing, each for a learning period of 320 hours at the rates of 60 cents an hour for the first 160 hours and 68 cents an hour for the remaining 160 hours; (2) sorting, selecting, sizing and tying, each for a learning period

of 240 hours at the rate of 60 cents an hour; (3) machine stripping, inspectors, each for a learning period of 160 hours at the rate of 60 cents an hour (cigar manufacturing).

Fairfield Manufacturing Co., Inc., P. O. Box 6367, Loliza Street Station, Santurce, P. R.; effective 6-23-58 to 6-22-59; authorizing the employment of 10 learners for normal labor turnover purposes in the occupations of, assembler, welder, racker, plater, dipper, box maker and inspector, nip maker, and wire former, each for a learning period of 480 hours at the rates of 65 cents an hour for the first 240 hours and 76 cents an hour for the remaining 240 hours (drapery pleater hooks).

Pan American Screw Corp., P. O. Box 932, San Juan, P. R.; effective 6-26-58 to 12-25-58; authorizing the employment of 8 learners for plant expansion purposes in the occupations of, header operator, roll threader operator, plating and cleaning, slotter and shaver operator, inspector and shipper, and heat treating, each for a learning period of 480 hours at the rates of 75 cents an hour for the first 240 hours and 88 cents an hour for the remaining 240 hours (screws and bolts).

Precision Products, Inc., P. O. Box 9235, Santurce, P. R.; effective 7-2-58 to 1-1-59; authorizing the employment of 18 learners for plant expansion purposes in the occupations of, (1) grinding, for a learning period of 480 hours at the rates of 68 cents an hour for the first 240 hours and 80 cents an hour for the remaining 240 hours; (2) mounting, and inspecting, each for a learning period of 320 hours at the rates of 68 cents an hour for the first 160 hours and 80 cents an hour for the remaining 160 hours (industrial jewel grinding).

Sangamo Electric Co., Puerto Rico Division, Km. 13.5 Old Caguas Road, P. O. Box 16, Hato Rey, P. R.; effective 7-1-58 to 12-31-58; authorizing the employment of 15 learners for plant expansion purposes in the occupations of, punch press operators, beam gauge operators, and mica inspectors, each for a learning period of 240 hours at the rate of 54 cents an hour (mica).

Testor Adhesive and Paint Co., P. O. Box 641, Bayamon, P. R.; effective 6-23-58 to 12-22-58; authorizing the employment of 12 learners for plant expansion purposes in the occupations of, tube machine operator, and tube machine packer, each for a learning period of 240 hours at the rates of 65 cents an hour for the first 160 hours and 75 cents an hour for the remaining 80 hours (plastic cement).

Each learner certificate has been issued upon the representations of the employer which, among other things, were that employment of learners at subminimum rates is necessary in order to prevent curtailment of opportunities for employment, and that experienced workers for the learner occupations are not available. The certificates may be annulled or withdrawn, as indicated therein, in the manner provided in Part 528 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Any person aggrieved by the issuance of any of these certificates may seek a review or reconsideration thereof within fifteen days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER pursuant to the provisions of 29 CFR 522.9.

Signed at Washington, D. C., this 24th day of July 1958.

ROBERT G. GRONEWALD,
Authorized Representative
of the Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6023; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958; 8:46 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Alien Property

[Vesting Order SA-252]

HUNGARIAN COMMERCIAL BANK OF PEST

In re: Debt owing to the Hungarian Commercial Bank of Pest, also known as Pester Ungarische Commercial Bank; F-34-256.

Under the authority of Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended (69 Stat. 562), Executive Order 10644, November 7, 1955 (20 F. R. 8363), Department of Justice Order No. 106-55, November 23, 1955 (20 F. R. 8993), and pursuant to law, after investigation, it is hereby found and determined:

1. That the property described as follows: That certain debt or other obligation of The New York Trust Company, One Hundred Broadway, New York 15, New York, arising out of an account entitled, "Hungarian Commercial Bank of Pest (new account)," maintained by the aforesaid bank, together with any and all rights to demand, enforce and collect the same,

is property within the United States which was blocked in accordance with Executive Order 8389, as amended, and remained blocked on August 9, 1955, and which is, and as of September 15, 1947, was, owned directly or indirectly by the Hungarian Commercial Bank of Pest, also known as Pester Ungarische Commercial Bank, Budapest, Hungary, a national of Hungary as defined in said Executive Order 8389, as amended.

2. That the property described herein is not owned directly by a natural person.

There is hereby vested in the Attorney General of the United States the property described above, to be administered, sold, or otherwise liquidated, in accordance with the provisions of Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended.

It is hereby required that the property described above be paid, conveyed, transferred, assigned and delivered to or for the account of the Attorney General of the United States in accordance with directions and instructions issued by or for the Assistant Attorney General, Director, Office of Alien Property, Department of Justice.

The foregoing requirement and any supplement thereto shall be deemed instructions or directions issued under Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended. Attention is directed to section 205 of said Title II (69 Stat. 562) which provides that:

Any payment, conveyance, transfer, assignment, or delivery of property made to the President or his designee pursuant to this title, or any rule, regulation, instruction, or direction issued under this title, shall to the extent thereof be a full acquittance and discharge for all purposes of the obligation of the person making the same; and no person shall be held liable in any court for or in respect of any such payment, conveyance, transfer, assignment, or delivery made in good faith in pursuance of and in reliance on the provisions of this title, or of

any rule, regulation, instruction, or direction issued thereunder.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on July 30, 1958.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] DALLAS S. TOWNSEND,
Assistant Attorney General,
Director, Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6095; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:47 a. m.]

[Vesting Order SA-253]

HUNGARIAN GENERAL CREDITBANK

In re: Debt owing to Hungarian General Creditbank, also known as Ungarische Allgemeine Creditbank, and as Magyar Altalanos Hitelbank; F-34-228, F-34-1694.

Under the authority of Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended (69 Stat. 562), Executive Order 10644, November 7, 1955 (20 F. R. 8363), Department of Justice Order No. 106-55, November 23, 1955 (20 F. R. 8993), and pursuant to law, after investigation, it is hereby found and determined:

1. That the property described as follows: That certain debt or other obligation of The New York Trust Company, One Broadway, New York 15, New York, in the sum of \$682.24, arising out of a blocked account entitled, "Hungarian General Bank & Trading Co. Ltd.," maintained at the aforesaid bank, together with any and all rights to demand, enforce and collect the same,

is property within the United States which was blocked in accordance with Executive Order 8389, as amended, and remained blocked on August 9, 1955, and which is, and as of September 15, 1947, was owned directly or indirectly by the Hungarian General Creditbank, also known as Ungarische Allgemeine Creditbank, and as Magyar Altalanos Hitelbank, Budapest, Hungary, a national of Hungary as defined in said Executive Order 8389, as amended.

2. That the property described herein is not owned directly by a natural person.

There is hereby vested in the Attorney General of the United States the property described above, to be administered, sold, or otherwise liquidated, in accordance with the provisions of Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended.

It is hereby required that the property described above be paid, conveyed, transferred, assigned and delivered to or for the account of the Attorney General of the United States in accordance with directions and instructions issued by or for the Assistant Attorney General, Director, Office of Alien Property, Department of Justice.

The foregoing requirement and any supplement thereto shall be deemed instructions or directions issued under Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended. Attention is directed to section 205 of said Title II (69 Stat. 562) which provides that:

Any payment, conveyance, transfer, assignment, or delivery of property made to the President or his designee pursuant to this title, or any rule, regulation, instruction, or direction issued under this title, shall to the extent thereof be a full acquittance and discharge for all purposes of the obligation of the person making the same; and no person shall be held liable in any court for or in respect of any such payment, conveyance, transfer, assignment, or delivery made in good faith in pursuance of and in reliance on the provisions of this title, or of any rule, regulation, instruction, or direction issued thereunder.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on July 30, 1958.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] DALLAS S. TOWNSEND,
Assistant Attorney General,
Director, Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6096; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:47 a. m.]

[Vesting Order SA-254]

HUNGARIAN GENERAL CREDITBANK

In re: Debt owing to Hungarian General Creditbank, also known as Ungarische Allgemeine Creditbank, and as Magyar Altalanos Hitelbank; F-34-228, F-34-1694.

Under the authority of Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended (69 Stat. 562), Executive Order 10644, November 7, 1955 (20 F. R. 8363), Department of Justice Order No. 106-55, November 23, 1955 (20 F. R. 8993), and pursuant to law, after investigation, it is hereby found and determined:

1. That the property described as follows: That certain debt or other obligation of Manufacturers Trust Company, 55 Broad Street, New York 15, New York, in the sum of \$38,385.33 arising out of an account entitled, "Hungarian General Bank & Trading Co., Ltd., Budapest, Blocked Account," maintained at the aforesaid bank, together with any and all rights to demand, enforce and collect the same,

is property within the United States which was blocked in accordance with Executive Order 8389, as amended, and remained blocked on August 9, 1955, and which is, and as of September 15, 1947, was owned directly or indirectly by the Hungarian General Creditbank, also known as Ungarische Allgemeine Creditbank, and as Magyar Altalanos Hitelbank, Budapest, Hungary, a national of Hungary as defined in said Executive Order 8389, as amended.

2. That the property described herein is not owned directly by a natural person.

There is hereby vested in the Attorney General of the United States the property described above, to be administered, sold, or otherwise liquidated, in accordance with the provisions of Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended.

It is hereby required that the property described above be paid, conveyed, transferred, assigned and delivered to or for the account of the Attorney General of the United States in accordance with

directions and instructions issued by or for the Assistant Attorney General, Director, Office of Alien Property, Department of Justice.

The foregoing requirement and any supplement thereto shall be deemed instructions or directions issued under Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended. Attention is directed to section 205 of said Title II (69 Stat. 562) which provides that:

Any payment, conveyance, transfer, assignment, or delivery of property made to the President or his designee pursuant to this title, or any rule, regulation, instruction, or direction issued under this title, shall to the extent thereof be a full acquittance and discharge for all purposes of the obligation of the person making the same; and no person shall be held liable in any court for or in respect of any such payment, conveyance, transfer, assignment, or delivery made in good faith in pursuance of and in reliance on the provisions of this title, or of any rule, regulation, instruction, or direction issued thereunder.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on July 30, 1958.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] DALLAS S. TOWNSEND,
Assistant Attorney General,
Director, Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6097; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:47 a. m.]

[Vesting Order SA-255]

MOBILIARE VERKEHRS A. G.

In re: Property owned by Mobiliare Verkehre A. G.; F-63-10226.

Under the authority of Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended (69 Stat. 562), Executive Order 10644, November 7, 1955 (20 F. R. 8363), Department of Justice Order No. 106-55, November 23, 1955 (20 F. R. 8993), and pursuant to law, after investigation, it is hereby found and determined:

1. That the property described as follows: That certain debt or other obligation of American Trust Company, 70 Wall Street, New York 5, N. Y., arising out of an account entitled "Mobiliare Verkehre A. G., Zurich, Switzerland," maintained by said banking institution, together with any and all rights to demand, enforce and collect the same,

is property within the United States which was blocked in accordance with Executive Order 8389, as amended, and remained blocked on August 9, 1955, and which is, and as of September 15, 1947 was, owned directly or indirectly by Mobiliare Verkehre A. G., Zurich, Switzerland, which is a national of Hungary as defined in said Executive Order 8389, as amended, by reason of the ownership, direct or indirect of all, or a substantial part, of its shares, by Hungarian Discount and Exchange Bank, also known as Ungarische Escompte & Wechselbank, Budapest, Hungary, a national of Hungary as defined in said Executive Order 8389, as amended.

2. That the property described herein is not owned directly by a natural person.

There is hereby vested in the Attorney General of the United States the property described above, to be administered, sold, or otherwise liquidated, in accordance with the provisions of Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended.

It is hereby required that the property described above be paid, conveyed, transferred, assigned and delivered to or for the account of the Attorney General of the United States in accordance with directions and instructions issued by or for the Assistant Attorney General, Director, Office of Alien Property, Department of Justice.

The foregoing requirement and any supplement thereto shall be deemed instructions or directions issued under Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended. Attention is directed to section 205 of said Title II (69 Stat. 562) which provides that:

Any payment, conveyance, transfer, assignment, or delivery of property made to the President or his designee pursuant to this title, or any rule, regulation, instruction, or direction issued under this title, shall to the extent thereof be a full acquittance and discharge for all purposes of the obligation of the person making the same; and no person shall be held liable in any court for or in respect of any such payment, conveyance, transfer, assignment, or delivery made in good faith in pursuance of and in reliance on the provisions of this title, or of any rule, regulation, instruction, or direction issued thereunder.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on July 30, 1958.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] DALLAS S. TOWNSEND,
Assistant Attorney General,
Director, Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6098; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:48 a. m.]

[Vesting Order SA-256]

MOBILIARE VERKEHRS A. G.

In re: Property owned by Mobiliare Verkehre A. G.; F-63-10226.

Under the authority of Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended (69 Stat. 562), Executive Order 10644, November 7, 1955 (20 F. R. 8363), Department of Justice Order No. 106-55, November 23, 1955 (20 F. R. 8993), and pursuant to law, after investigation, it is hereby found and determined:

1. That the property described as follows: That certain debt or other obligation of Manufacturers Trust Company, 55 Board Street, New York 15, N. Y., arising out of an account entitled, "Mobiliare Verkehre A. G., Zurich," maintained by said banking institution, together with any and all rights to demand, enforce and collect the same,

is property within the United States which was blocked in accordance with Executive Order 8389, as amended, and remained blocked on August 9, 1955, and which is, and as of September 15, 1947, was, owned directly or indirectly by Mobiliare Verkehre A. G., Zurich, Switzer-

land, which is a national of Hungary as defined in said Executive Order 8389, as amended, by reason of the ownership, direct or indirect of all, or a substantial part, of its shares by Hungarian Discount and Exchange Bank, also known as Ungarische Escompte & Wechselbank, Budapest, Hungary, a national of Hungary as defined in said Executive Order 8389, as amended.

2. That the property described herein is not owned directly by a natural person.

There is hereby vested in the Attorney General of the United States the property described above, to be administered, sold, or otherwise liquidated, in accordance with the provisions of Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended.

It is hereby required that the property described above be paid, conveyed, transferred, assigned and delivered to or for the account of the Attorney General of the United States in accordance with directions and instructions issued by or for the Assistant Attorney General, Director, Office of Alien Property, Department of Justice.

The foregoing requirement and any supplement thereto shall be deemed instructions or directions issued under Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended. Attention is directed to section 205 of said Title II (69 Stat. 562) which provides that:

Any payment, conveyance, transfer, assignment, or delivery of property made to the President or his designee pursuant to this title, or any rule, regulation, instruction, or direction issued under this title, shall to the extent thereof be a full acquittance and discharge for all purposes of the obligation of the person making the same; and no person shall be held liable in any court for or in respect of any such payment, conveyance, transfer, assignment, or delivery made in good faith in pursuance of and in reliance on the provisions of this title, or of any rule, regulation, instruction, or direction issued thereunder.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on July 30, 1958.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] DALLAS S. TOWNSEND,
Assistant Attorney General,
Director, Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 58-6099; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:48 a. m.]

[Vesting Order SA-257]

MOBILIARE VERKEHRS A. G.

In re: Property owned by Mobiliare Verkehre A. G.; F-63-10226.

Under the authority of Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended (69 Stat. 562), Executive Order 10644, November 7, 1955 (20 F. R. 8363), Department of Justice Order No. 106-55, November 23, 1955 (20 F. R. 8993), and pursuant to law, after investigation, it is hereby found and determined:

1. That the property described as follows: That certain debt or other obligation of Sterling National Bank & Trust Co. of New York, Broadway at 39th

Street, New York 18, New York, arising out of an account entitled, "Mobiliare Verkehre A. G., Zurich, Switzerland," maintained by said banking institution, together with any and all rights to demand, enforce and collect the same,

is property within the United States which was blocked in accordance with Executive Order 8389, as amended, and remained blocked on August 9, 1955, and which is, and as of September 15, 1947, was, owned directly or indirectly by Mobiliare Verkehre A. G., Zurich, Switzerland, which is a national of Hungary as defined in said Executive Order 8389, as amended, by reason of the ownership, direct or indirect of all, or a substantial part, of its shares by Hungarian Discount and Exchange Bank, also known as Ungarische Escompte & Wechselbank, Budapest, Hungary, a national of Hungary as defined in said Executive Order 8389, as amended.

2. That the property described herein is not owned directly by a natural person.

There is hereby vested in the Attorney General of the United States the property described above, to be administered, sold, or otherwise liquidated, in accordance with the provisions of Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended.

It is hereby required that the property described above be paid, conveyed, transferred, assigned and delivered to or for the account of the Attorney General of the United States in accordance with directions and instructions issued by or for the Assistant Attorney General, Director, Office of Alien Property, Department of Justice.

The foregoing requirement and any supplement thereto shall be deemed instructions or directions issued under Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended. Attention is directed to section 205 of said Title II (69 Stat. 562) which provides that:

Any payment, conveyance, transfer, assignment, or delivery of property made to the President or his designee pursuant to this title, or any rule, regulation, instruction, or direction issued under this title, shall to the

extent thereof be a full acquittance and discharge for all purposes of the obligation of the person making the same; and no person shall be held liable in any court for or in respect of any such payment, conveyance, transfer, assignment, or delivery made in good faith in pursuance of and in reliance on the provisions of this title, or of any rule, regulation, instruction, or direction issued thereunder.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on July 30, 1958.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] DALLAS S. TOWNSEND,
Assistant Attorney General,
Director, Office of Alien Property.

[P. R. Doc. 58-6100; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:48 a. m.]

[Vesting Order SA-259]

MOBILIARE VERKEHRS A. G.

In re: Property owned by Mobiliare Verkehre A. G., F-63-10226.

Under the authority of Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended (69 Stat. 562), Executive Order 10644, November 7, 1955 (20 F. R. 8363), Department of Justice Order No. 106-55, November 23, 1955 (20 F. R. 8993), and pursuant to law, after investigation, it is hereby found and determined:

1. That the property described as follows: That certain debt or other obligation of Bankers Trust Company, 16 Wall Street, New York 15, N. Y., arising out of an account entitled, "Mobiliare Verkehre A. G., Zurich, Switzerland," maintained by said banking institution, together with any and all rights to demand, enforce and collect the same,

is property within the United States which was blocked in accordance with Executive Order 8389, as amended, and remained blocked on August 9, 1955, and which is, and as of September 15, 1947, was, owned directly or indirectly by Mobiliare Verkehre A. G., Zurich, Switzerland, which is a national of Hungary as defined in said Executive Order 8389 as amended, by reason of the ownership, direct or indirect of all or a substantial

part, of its shares by Hungarian Discount and Exchange Bank, also known as Ungarische Escompte & Wechselbank, Budapest, Hungary, a national of Hungary as defined in said Executive Order 8389, as amended.

2. That the property described herein is not owned directly by a natural person.

There is hereby vested in the Attorney General of the United States the property described above, to be administered, sold, or otherwise liquidated, in accordance with the provisions of Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended.

It is hereby required that the property described above be paid, conveyed, transferred, assigned and delivered to or for the account of the Attorney General of the United States in accordance with directions and instructions issued by or for the Assistant Attorney General, Director, Office of Alien Property, Department of Justice.

The foregoing requirement and any supplement thereto shall be deemed instructions or directions issued under Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended. Attention is directed to section 205 of said Title II (69 Stat. 562) which provides that:

Any payment, conveyance, transfer, assignment, or delivery of property made to the President or his designee pursuant to this title, or any rule, regulation, instruction, or direction issued under this title, shall to the extent thereof be a full acquittance and discharge for all purposes of the obligation of the person making the same; and no person shall be held liable in any court for or in respect of any such payment, conveyance, transfer, assignment, or delivery made in good faith in pursuance of and in reliance on the provisions of this title, or of any rule, regulation, instruction, or direction issued thereunder.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on July 30, 1958.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] DALLAS S. TOWNSEND,
Assistant Attorney General,
Director, Office of Alien Property.

[P. R. Doc. 58-6101; Filed, Aug. 5, 1958;
8:48 a. m.]